Pulmonary embolectomy for acute massive pulmonary embolism: an analysis of 71 cases
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SUMMARY Between 1964 and 1986 a total of 71 pulmonary embolectomies were performed for acute massive pulmonary embolism. All patients were severely compromised haemodynamically. Sixteen (64%) of 25 patients who had sustained significant periods of cardiac arrest before operation died. The principal cause of death in this group was severe neurological damage. Five (11%) of the 46 who had not had a cardiac arrest died. The 50 (70%) patients who survived did so largely without morbidity during their hospital admission and in the follow up period. Most were not treated with long term anticoagulants and only two had another embolism.

When a patient with acute massive pulmonary embolism is too ill to be given thrombolytic treatment, or when thrombolysis is either contraindicated or too slow in producing benefit, pulmonary embolectomy remains an effective alternative treatment with an acceptable mortality.

Acute massive pulmonary embolism is a life threatening disorder that must be treated immediately. Some believe that there are no indications for embolectomy1 while others justify prophylactic open embolectomy.2 This debate was particularly intense in the early 1970s once thrombolytic treatment was shown to hasten the resolution of pulmonary emboli.3,4 Although we often use thrombolytic agents to manage patients with acute massive pulmonary embolism we continue to refer patients for pulmonary embolectomy. We report the indications for this operation and our experience of its use in 71 patients.

Patients and results

Between 1964 and 1986 a total of 139 patients were admitted to the Brompton Hospital with acute massive pulmonary embolism. Until 1968 heparin was the only available drug and therefore all 30 patients with acute massive pulmonary embolism admitted during this period had pulmonary embolectomy. After 1968 streptokinase became available and was given to 68 patients, some of whom are described elsewhere.5 Although the introduction of thrombolytic treatment reduced the annual number of pulmonary embolectomies this operation was performed in 41 of 109 patients with acute massive pulmonary embolism. Sixteen patients (39%) had a definite contraindication to thrombolytic treatment (major surgery within the last week (n = 10), pregnancy (n = 2), haemorrhagic eye disease (n = 1), active gastrointestinal bleeding (n = 1), active ulcerative colitis (n = 1), and lung cavitation (n = 1) and 13 (32%) were considered to be too ill for a trial of streptokinase. Two patients (5%) started on streptokinase but they deteriorated and were referred for operation. A further five (12%) patients had undergone a major operation 8–14 days before their acute massive pulmonary embolism, and in the early days of our experience with thrombolysis we regarded this as a relative contraindication. In four patients (10%) thrombolytic treatment could have been given but pulmonary embolectomy was regarded as the best treatment.

So over 23 years 71 pulmonary embolectomies were performed (49 women, mean age 39.4 years, range 17–68 and 22 men, mean age 51.2 years, range 15–75). All patients had a clinical diagnosis of massive pulmonary embolism and an appreciable impairment of haemodynamic function. Twenty-five patients (35%) had required clinically significant periods of external massage before operation.£
of severe circulatory collapse. The patients’ symptoms had started less than 24 hours before in 65% and between 24 and 48 hours before in 25%. Seven patients (10%) had had documented symptoms suggestive of pulmonary emboli for 3–7 days but were referred only when a further acute episode resulted in major deterioration in haemodynamic function.

Potential risk factors for the development of pulmonary emboli included recent operation in 41 patients (58%), the contraceptive pill in nine (13%), and pregnancy in five (7%). Twenty three patients (32%) were considered to be too ill to undergo pulmonary angiography and in a further 13 (18%) patients cardiac catheterisation was believed to be unnecessary because the diagnosis of acute massive pulmonary embolism was clinically certain. These 36 patients were referred immediately for operation and in all cases the diagnosis was confirmed then. Thirty five (50%) underwent right heart catheterisation. Mean pressures (mm Hg) for the group were as follows: right atrium (mean) = 11, right ventricular systolic = 45, mean pulmonary artery = 29. Mean arterial oxygen saturation was 40% in the pulmonary artery and 86% in a systemic artery. Pulmonary arteriography was performed in all catheterised patients; this showed pulmonary emboli that occupied >50% of the pulmonary arterial tree. There was often a transient worsening of hypotension after the injection of contrast but this resolved rapidly. Three patients (9%) required short periods of external cardiac massage (<1 minute) after angiography but were quickly resuscitated and are not included in the group of 25 patients described above who required “considerable” periods of external cardiac massage.

Sixty four (90%) of the 71 pulmonary embolectomies were undertaken by one surgeon (MP) and all were performed on full cardiopulmonary bypass. The mean perfusion time was 27 minutes. Four patients were put on partial (femoro-femoral) bypass before the start of full bypass.

DEATHS (TABLE 1)
Twenty one patients (29.6%) died during their hospital admission and 16 of these had required considerable periods of external cardiac massage before operation. Three of these 16 died on bypass, nine died within four weeks with severe neurological damage, three died in the first postoperative week of circulatory or multisystem failure, and one died at five weeks of carcinomatosis. Of the five patients who died without having had preoperative external cardiac massage one died on bypass, one died of pleural bleeding, and one of gastrointestinal bleeding; one patient died within 24 hours of persistent hypotension; and one died at six days after iliocaval thrombectomy for extensive venous occlusion. The mortality was 64% in patients who had required preoperative external cardiac massage and 11% in those who had not.

SURVIVORS (TABLE 2)
Fifty (70.4%) patients survived to leave hospital; nine of them had required preoperative external cardiac massage. One of the 50 survivors needed haemodialysis but then made a full recovery, three had moderate neurological deficits that were thought to have been present before operation, and in one hemiplegia developed after operation. This was thought to have been caused by a paradoxical embolus through a patent foramen ovale.

After discharge from hospital seven (14%) patients were lost to follow up but data were available from general practitioner and hospital records on the remaining 43 (86%). Four (8%) patients died during follow up, one of carcinoma at 20 months, one of peritonitis at 12-5 years, one after a mitral valve replacement at 15 years, and one patient with nephrotic syndrome died of peritonitis five months after embolectomy. During his terminal illness the last patient had a further pulmonary embolus. We do not know whether he was taking anticoagulants at the time.

The 39 patients who were still alive at the last recorded attendance have been followed up for a total of 335 patient years (mean duration of follow up 94.6 months, range 1–254). All have remained well and in only one patient was there any suggestion of a further

---

### Table 1 Causes of death in patients undergoing pulmonary embolectomy who had had external cardiac massage (+ ECM) before operation and those who had not (− ECM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>+ ECM (%)</th>
<th>− ECM (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On cardiopulmonary bypass</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>9 (43)</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulatory/multisystem failure</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinomatosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhage</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive venous occlusion</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16 (76)</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Table 2 Morbidity in patients who survived pulmonary embolectomy and who had external cardiac massage before operation (+ ECM) and those who had not (− ECM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>+ ECM (%)</th>
<th>− ECM (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full recovery</td>
<td>8 (16)</td>
<td>37 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary haemodialysis</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate neurological damage:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present before operation</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developed after operation</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9 (18)</td>
<td>41 (82)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pulmonary embolus. In this patient, who also had nephrotic syndrome, some pleuritic chest pain developed but no other clinical features of pulmonary embolism and he was advised to restart warfarin. Subsequently he remained well.

**Anticoagulation**

We usually advise patients to remain on anticoagulants for 3–6 months after operation. When we reviewed the clinical information we could not be certain how long 12 (28%) of the 43 patients for whom follow up data were available were treated with anticoagulants. Twenty-two (71%) of the remaining 31 patients took warfarin for less than six months and a further two (6%) took it for up to one year. One patient required long term anticoagulation for mitral valve disease and six (19%) continued warfarin out of personal preference or on the advice of their medical practitioner. Thus 24 (77%) of the patients for whom information was available were not on long term anticoagulants. It seems likely that the proportion was similar in those for whom anticoagulant data were not available. Thus most survivors had only short courses of oral anticoagulants and, of these, one had a definite further pulmonary embolus as part of terminal illness and one otherwise fit individual may have had an acute minor embolism. At worst this represents a recurrence rate of 4-6%.

**Discussion**

The lung has an active fibrinolytic system and most pulmonary emboli resolve spontaneously over a few weeks. This spontaneous resolution makes it likely that many smaller emboli go undetected clinically. Clinically apparent episodes result in various syndromes, from "acute minor" to "acute massive" pulmonary embolism. Patients with little or no circulatory impairment after a pulmonary embolus can be treated symptomatically with anticoagulants such as heparin to reduce the likelihood of further emboli. Patients in this group are clearly not candidates for pulmonary embolectomy. Acute massive pulmonary embolism results in appreciable haemodynamic disturbance and carries a high mortality. Vigorous treatment for this group is mandatory but the choice of the most appropriate treatment has been the subject of some debate. There are two main alternatives—thrombolytic treatment and pulmonary embolectomy. Thrombolytic agents such as streptokinase, urokinase, and recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) were effective in lysing pulmonary emboli and acted more quickly than heparin. Controlled and uncontrolled trials showed that mortality tended to be lower in patients treated with thrombolysis than with heparin. These differences did not reach statistical significance.

None the less, a more rapid resolution of pulmonary emboli seems desirable because prolonged haemodynamic disturbance can only cause harm, and if further emboli develop their haemodynamic effects will be lessened if previous emboli have been partially removed. Thus the case for the urgent use of thrombolytic agents in patients with acute massive pulmonary embolism is powerful and our experience supports this view.

Opinions on the placement of pulmonary embolectomy vary considerably. Some claim that there are not indications for the operation while others believe that prophylactic open pulmonary embolectomy can be justified. It has been argued that pulmonary embolectomy is seldom a practical procedure because few patients survive long enough for the procedure to be undertaken and that those who do are likely to survive anyway. There is some truth in this because although pulmonary embolectomy can be performed without cardiopulmonary bypass with acceptable results, most patients who require operation for acute massive pulmonary embolism in the United Kingdom are transferred to a regional cardiothoracic centre. Inevitably some patients will die before or during transfer but a significant number with acute massive pulmonary embolism will deteriorate some hours after the initial embolic episode suggesting that although the risk of death declines with time it is not abolished. This has certainly been our experience; most patients in our series were transferred from other hospitals and were still severely compromised haemodynamically.

Although it has proved difficult to define these patients who are considered too ill to undergo a trial of thrombolytic treatment this does not deny their existence and 32% of the patients in this series belonged to this group. Clearly decisions regarding operation have to be made for individual patients but we think that some patients are so severely compromised haemodynamically by their massive pulmonary embolus that subjecting them to a trial of thrombolytic treatment is inappropriate and they should have pulmonary embolectomy. Also, even though the contraindications to thrombolytic treatment have relaxed with increasing experience in the use of these drugs, there is still a significant number of patients for whom thrombolytic treatment is believed to be inadvisable—they include patients who have recently undergone major operations or had a cerebrovascular accident, and those with active peptic ulceration or bleeding diatheses. These patients, together with the few who deteriorate haemodynamically after starting thrombolytic treatment seem to be suitable candidates for...
embolectomy. Unfortunately there has been no randomised trial of embolectomy and thrombolytic or anticoagulant treatment and there is unlikely to be one of sufficient size to be of value. This is because it is unethical to withhold embolectomy if a patient randomised to thrombolytic treatment deteriorates; this makes treatment failure a necessary end point as well as death. Also, few suitable patients with acute massive pulmonary embolism and significant haemodynamic impairment are likely to be recruited in any one centre. We therefore have had to turn to the published reports of clinical experience of this operation and make informed forecasts about likely benefit.

Many cases of pulmonary embolectomy have been reported since Trendelenburg's description in 1908. Recently these were reviewed by Del Campo who calculated an overall mortality of 40% for embolectomies performed on cardiopulmonary bypass. However, these results include early experiences where the reported mortality was often high. The subsequent reduction in mortality was at least partly related to the recognition that anaesthetic agents had profound hypotensive effects that were often fatal and could be prevented by vaso depressor agents.

Twenty one (29%) of our series of 71 patients died in hospital. But mortality was considerably lower (5/46, 11%) in patients who had not sustained a cardiac arrest before operation than in those who had (16/25, 64%). We do not know how our patients would have fared if they had not had embolectomy. But we believe that it was of benefit.

Morbidity in patients undergoing pulmonary embolectomy was principally neurological. In most this damage was believed to have occurred before operation, usually as a result of a cardiac arrest. The outcome was good in patients who reached the operating theatre without needing external cardiac massage. Although most patients were not given long term anticoagulants the frequency of recurrent pulmonary embolus in the follow up period was low (4.4%). This accords with the view that long term anticoagulants are unnecessary and that for patients who have an isolated episode of massive pulmonary embolism procedures to plicate or place filters in the inferior vena cava are inappropriate. These procedures should probably be reserved for the few patients who have recurrent pulmonary emboli and in whom oral anticoagulation is either contraindicated or fails to prevent recurrence.

We use thrombolysis to treat acute massive pulmonary embolism unless such drugs are contraindicated or haemodynamic function is severely compromised. We believe pulmonary embolectomy provides an effective alternative treatment with an acceptable mortality in these two groups and in those who deteriorate on thrombolytic treatment.
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