Variability of the Doppler gradient in pulmonary valve stenosis before and after balloon dilatation

M K LIM,* A B HOUSTON, W B DOIG, S LILLEY, E P MURTAGH
From the Department of Cardiology and Department of Child Health, Royal Hospital for Sick Children, Glasgow

SUMMARY The variability of the valve gradient measured by Doppler in pulmonary stenosis was compared with the variability of the gradient measured at catheterisation in 42 infants and children undergoing catheterisation with a view to balloon dilatation of the pulmonary valve. The maximum value measured by Doppler when the patient was unsedated was significantly higher than that measured when the patient was sedated for catheterisation, and the maximum gradient was significantly higher shortly after than several days later. In a patient with pronounced infundibular obstruction after dilatation the Doppler signal clearly showed that the obstruction was dynamic, with a superimposed lower fixed signal that correctly predicted the final low gradient.

The Doppler gradient in an alert and unsedated patient may be a better measure of the true physiological value. The highest Doppler value so obtained is a more appropriate indicator of the need for balloon dilatation than a single catheter measurement. The result of dilatation is best assessed by Doppler measurement at least a day after the procedure.

Doppler echocardiography accurately estimates the severity of pulmonary valve stenosis,1-3 and the agreement with the results of catheterisation is best when both measurements are performed simultaneously.4 5 This indicates that gradients vary under different physiological conditions. It has been generally accepted, but not confirmed, that the gradient in an alert and possibly apprehensive patient can be higher than that measured when the patient is sedated at catheterisation.

Decisions on the need for intervention have previously been based on the transvalvar gradient measured at catheterisation in sedated patients. But the values of the Doppler gradient may be different in an alert patient, and this might influence decisions on the need for or results of dilatation.

We report our findings with Doppler ultrasound in 42 patients with pulmonary valve stenosis undergoing catheterisation to establish whether they needed balloon dilatation. We wanted to see whether the measured gradient was different in sedated patients and whether it changed between the period immediately after dilatation (under sedation) and later follow up.

Patients and methods

A series of 42 patients aged six days to 15 years (mean 4 years 10 months) underwent cardiac catheterisation to assess their suitability for balloon dilatation of the pulmonary valve. In six patients dilatation was not undertaken because the physician considered that the gradient was not clinically significant. Dilatation was attempted in the remaining 36: one infant with critical pulmonary stenosis became hypotensive when the guide catheter traversed the pulmonary orifice, and it subsequently proved impossible to pass the balloon catheter into the pulmonary artery; the gradient seemed little changed by the procedure in five patients and reduced in the remaining 30.

Catheterisation was performed under sedation with either a mixture of pethidine, promethazine, and chlorpromazine as a single intramuscular injection or oral phenobarbitaline followed by a single intramuscular dose of papaveretum and hyoscine. Right ventricular and pulmonary arterial pressures were measured through fluid filled catheters attached to strain gauge transducers either simultaneously through two catheters or by withdrawal across the valve.
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Fig 1  Spectral recordings from a three month old patient with pulmonary valve stenosis and significant subvalvar dynamic muscular obstruction. Before dilatation (a) the dynamic concave signal (equivalent to a gradient of 85 mm Hg) was superimposed on the signal indicating fixed obstruction (91 mm Hg), but (b) was shown more clearly by adjustment of transducer angulation. Immediately after (c) the dynamic concave signal (85 mm Hg) remained but the fixed one was reduced to 42 mm Hg, but (d) 6 weeks later the gradient was 38 mm Hg with a low dynamic signal, equivalent to 12 mm Hg.
Doppler studies were performed with either a non-imaging Alfred Velocimeter (Vingmed) interfaced to a Doptek spectrum analyser or a Vingmed CFM 700 system with imaging and colour flow mapping. The entire left parasternal edge and the subxiphoid and suprasternal positions were explored and the position and angulation of the probe adjusted to obtain the maximum reproducible velocity signal showing the high velocity signals with a clear and complete envelope. Simultaneous electrocardiographic monitoring was used to exclude maximal frequency shifts caused by extrasystoles. The maximum frequency shift was measured from the Doppler signal and the maximum velocity (V) was derived and the pressure drop (P) estimated from the modified Bernoulli formula, P = 4V^2.

For further confirmation of the accuracy of our technique we compared Doppler values with simultaneous peak to peak pressure gradients before dilatation was attempted and just before the catheters were removed after dilatation. The difference between the values was calculated, the mean difference (and standard deviation) for the studies obtained, and the individual differences were plotted against the average values by the method of Bland and Altman.3

Then the highest Doppler estimate of the pressure drop obtained without sedation was compared with the value when the patient was sedated at catheterisation before dilatation, and the Doppler measurement 15 to 45 minutes after the procedure was compared with the value ≥ 1 days later.

Full Doppler and catheter studies were not available for review from every patient: Doppler gradients measured with and without sedation were available in 38; simultaneous Doppler and catheter gradients before dilatation in 40 (29 dual catheter and 11 withdrawal) and immediately after dilatation in 32 (25 dual catheter and seven withdrawal); and Doppler gradients immediately and ≥ 1 days after the procedure in 30.

Results

DOPPLER FLOW PATTERNS

When patients were under sedation before dilatation all the Doppler records showed the typical parabolic appearance of fixed obstruction, with a lower velocity concave (or scimitar) signal of dynamic obstruction clearly superimposed in six. The dynamic obstruction was relatively unimportant being < 20 mm Hg in all but two. In one it was 100 mm Hg for both the fixed and dynamic gradient and in the other patient the dynamic obstruction was 85 mm Hg and the fixed obstruction was 91 mm Hg (fig 1a and b). In this last patient the fixed element was 42 mm Hg and the dynamic element was 85 mm Hg immediately after dilatation (fig 1c); single catheter measurements showed a total pressure drop of 130 mm Hg between the pulmonary artery and ventricle—40 mm Hg from the pulmonary artery to a subvalve chamber and 90 mm Hg from there to the ventricle. The spectral signal was interpreted as indicating that the valve narrowing was sufficiently reduced but there was still appreciable dynamic infundibular obstruction, and we predicted that the dynamic obstruction would resolve leaving a final gradient of about
40 mm Hg; four months later the Doppler gradient was 38 mm Hg with only a minor dynamic component (12 mm Hg) (fig 1d).

**Comparison of Doppler Values with Peak to Peak Maximum Gradient**

Comparison of these by the method of Bland and Altman\(^1\) gave the mean difference (2 SD) between methods before dilatation of \(-0.1 (15.0)\) mm Hg (fig 2) and of 1.2 (14.6) mm Hg after dilatation (fig 3).

**Comparison of Doppler Values in the Sedated and Unsedated State**

The maximum values for the Doppler gradients with the patient unsedated were compared with those measured under sedation in 38 patients (fig 4) by a paired t test on the differences between values measured with and without sedation. The mean difference between sedated and unsedated values (2 SD) (8.2 (3.7) mm Hg) was statistically significant (p < 0.01). In general the values in unsedated patients were higher. In 22 patients the values without sedation were within 10 mm Hg of the values measured under sedation, in 14 cases the value in the unsedated patient was > 10 mm Hg higher, and in only two patients was it > 10 mm Hg less.

**Comparison of Doppler Values Immediately and > 1 Day after Dilatation**

The patient with considerable residual infundibular obstruction (see below) was excluded. In the remaining 30 patients (fig 5) a two tailed paired t test on the differences between Doppler values shortly after dilatation and > 24 hours after was significant (p < 0.01) (mean difference (2 SD), 6.8 (5.0) mm Hg). These results show that the values obtained immediately after dilatation were significantly lower than those 24 hours after the procedure. The changes in Doppler values were > 10 mm Hg in 11 cases. The greatest difference was in a patient who had a pulmonary valve gradient of 35 mm Hg by Doppler (measured as 35 mm Hg peak to peak) shortly after dilatation, but which rose to 64 mm Hg 48 hours after the procedure.

**Discussion**

Doppler ultrasound accurately measures the trans-valvar gradient in pulmonary valve stenosis,\(^1^4\) and...
the decision on whether to proceed to dilatation is now based on a Doppler gradient obtained when the patient is active, usually at an outpatient visit, rather than on catheter measurements of pressure under sedation. It is essential to have clear knowledge of possible changes in gradient that can result from this.

This study confirmed the accuracy of Doppler in comparison with simultaneous catheter readings but showed that the gradient usually fell with sedation: in most cases this fall was small (<10 mm Hg) and of little clinical significance but in some it can be so large that the gradient is no longer considered to be of clinical significance. Is the gradient measured under sedation an appropriate one on which to base the decision on the need for dilatation? We believe that it is more logical to use the highest value, even if this is obtained in an unsedated patient, because this will more accurately reflect the true physiological condition.

Robertson et al found no significant alteration in gradients measured immediately after dilatation and several days later but gave only the mean of the gradients in a group of 18 patients. Our study indicates that changes do occur, as shown by the t test, although in only two of the 30 was this change of clinical significance.

We found a statistically significant difference between the Doppler gradients measured in the active and the sedated states before and after dilatation, and it is important to consider these changes when patients are selected for dilatation or the results of this procedure are assessed. We consider the highest gradient, whether measured in a patient who is awake or sedated, is the most appropriate for selecting patients for dilatation and that a repeat Doppler study several days thereafter is essential in assessing the final result.
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