

**LETTERS TO THE EDITOR**

- The British Heart Journal welcomes letters commenting on papers that it has published within the past six months.
- All letters must be typed with double spacing and signed by all authors.
- No letter should be more than 600 words.
- In general, no letter should contain more than six references (also typed with double spacing).

**Endothelium in control**

**Sir,—**In his St. Cyres Lecture (British Heart Journal 1990;64:313-6) Professor A. H. Henderson states that the half life of endothelium derived relaxing factor (EDRF) nitric oxide is "likely to be less than a second in vivo" and that "each millimetre of endothelium controls its little bit of the vascular system." I believe it is possible to place these suggestions on a more precise basis. In the pimoclar concentrations of nitric oxide secreted by endothelium the half life of the reaction between nitric oxide and oxygen is far too slow to account for this proposed rapid removal. By contrast the rate of removal by haem groups is exceedingly rapid and the second order rate constant for reaction of nitric oxide with the red cell is 176 1 mmol\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) in vitro. There is growing evidence that this value may be applied to blood nitric oxide uptake in vivo at least in human pulmonary capillary. Though the rate of reaction of nitric oxide with oxy-haemoglobin in vitro is about 250 times as fast as the reaction with the red cell, because less than 0.03% of the haemoglobin in blood is in the free form, the reaction with the red cell is quantitatively more important. Similar arguments apply to tissue haem groups and also superoxion ion. The halfe life of nitric oxide in blood is obtained as follows:

\[
\frac{1}{t_1/2} = \frac{0.693}{(167 \times 9)} = 4.6 \times 10^4 \text{seconds}
\]

where 0.693 is in 2, 167 is the second order rate constant (see above), and 9 is the concentration of Hb in mmol/l corresponding to a haemoglobin concentration of 14.6 g/dl. The distance travelled in one half life is obtained as the velocity of blood flow (1 m/s in the aorta during systole, 0.3 m/s in the vena cava, 5 10\(^{-4}\) m/s in a capillary\(^2\)) multiplied by \(\frac{1}{t_1/2}\): that is,

\[
4.6 \times 10^4 \text{m in the aorta, } 1.4 \times 10^4 \text{m in the vena cava, } 2 \times 10^4 \text{m in capillary.}
\]

The half life of nitric oxide in vivo in blood can be seen to be exceedingly short and the distance "downstream" over which a section of endothelium can have an influence may be especially small in the capillary.

**COlIN BORLAND**

Department of Medicine, Hitchinbrooke Hospital, Huntingdon,
Cambridgeshire PE18 8NT


This letter was shown to the author, who replies as follows:

**Sir,—**I am grateful to Dr Borland for his interest and for the quantitative pyramid he builds on my point which was simply to emphasise the very localised nature of vasodilatation mediated by EDRF.

The half life of EDRF is documented as being in the order of seconds by bioassay of oxygenated effluent buffer from endothelialised artery segments. Nitric oxide oxidation in aqueous buffer is related to \(p_o\), but is some 30 times faster in transit through perfused hearts where its half life was shown to be about 0.1 s. This is consistent with previously reported evidence that the intramural dilator signal in the anaesthetised dog femoral artery is localised to within 1 cm. Clearly the presence of haemoglobin (which has about 1500 times greater affinity for nitric oxide than for carbon monoxide) will further reduce the half life of nitric oxide within the vascular compartment in vivo. Small amounts of "free" haemoglobin are in fact complexed to haptoglobin in circulating blood (< 0.03% of total haemoglobin in the blood, as Dr Borland states), accounting for the variable EDRF-inhibiting activity of plasma (the inhibitory activity in samples from some of our human volunteers was unusually high, and after appropriate experimentation, this was found to be attributed to alcohol intake.) The largest sink of haemoglobin is indeed within the erythrocytes, whose EDRF inhibitory activity we found to be that of similar concentrations of free haemoglobin, as expected from the lipid solubility of nitric oxide and its ready passage through red cell membranes. Superoxides anions, widely present in biological systems, further shorten the half life of nitric oxide (rate constant of the reaction \(\mathrm{NO} + \cdot \mathrm{O}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_2 + \cdot \mathrm{O}_2 \)) at physiological \(\mathrm{pH}, k = 3.7 \times 10^{-1} \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\). The concentration of oxygen free radicals is likely to vary with conditions under pathological conditions. Recent evidence suggests, for example, that the reduced EDRF activity shown in experimental hypercholes- terolaemias may be due to EDRF inactivation rather than decreased production, on the basis of a decrease in bioassayed dilator activity but an increase in nitric oxide production when measured by chemilumini- scence, the decrease in activity being attributable to increased superoxide anion production beneath atheromatous plaques.

**Novel exercise protocol suitable for use on a treadmill or a bicycle ergometer**

**Sir,—**The standardisation of exercise tests is now a major issue and the Working Group on Exercise Testing of the European Society of Cardiology has organised a conference to examine this problem. In November 1990 Dr Northridge and colleagues (British Heart Journal 1990;64:313–6) presented data on a new exercise protocol that is based on exponential (rather than linear) increments in workload. In their experience the rise in oxygen consumption (ml/kg/min) is very similar whether the test is performed on a bicycle or on a treadmill; also they mention that the highest stage required to test even relatively fit patients is reached after 15 minutes. We have tested prospectively and randomly these new protocols in 13 healthy men (mean age 33, range 25–49; mean weight 80, range 62–115 kg) and our data (fig 1) indicate that oxygen consumption was significantly greater (unpaired \(t\) test; \(p < 0.05\)) during the last nine minutes of the new protocol compared with the standardised exponential exercise protocol (STEEP). The heart rates were also greater (\(p < 0.05\)) with the bicycle protocol during the last six minutes of the test. All the subjects were able to perform the 15 minutes of the STEEP treadmill test while only six were able to reach the fifteenth minute of the bicycle protocol; at the fifteenth minute of the STEEP bicycle test, all patients complained of pain in the legs, a symptom that was almost absent at the fifteenth minute of the STEEP treadmill test. Also the reason for interrupting the STEEP protocol in the bicycle test was always muscle fatigue or pain. These observations about heart rate and exercise duration are very similar to those made by Northridge et al.

The explanation for our different results remains unclear. In our experience, we think that for the heaviest subjects the final increases in workload of the bicycle protocol are too large (from 25 to 40 w/min) to be tolerated by the leg muscles and that muscle soreness becomes the major limiting factor. Because of the differences in oxygen consumption and the STEEP test, these new STEEP tests are not the answer to our growing need for standardised exercise tests that can be used on both the treadmill.
and the bicycle ergometer. Also we feel that the very slow rise in oxygen consumption seen during the first six minutes of both STEEP tests make them an unsatisfactory basis for the extrapolation of maximal oxygen uptake from maximal workload and for measuring the subtle changes observed after a given treatment. Before being tested in patients, these new protocols should be extensively tested in healthy volunteers and compared with other exercise protocols.

Bahiya Essamri
Anne-Marie D’Honert
Jean-Marie Detry
Division of Cardiology,
Saint-Luc University Hospital,
B-1200 Brussels,
Belgium

This letter was shown to the authors, who reply as follows:

Sir,—The data presented by Dr Essamri and colleagues confirm many of our findings with the STEEP exercise test. There are, however, some important differences that may be explained, at least in part, by methodological considerations. It is likely that young men living in Belgium are more accustomed to cycling than our Glaskovian volunteers and this may explain why they are able to perform more aerobic work on a bicycle ergometer. Furthermore, the significance levels quoted were obtained using unpaired t tests, such that for the final stages the six fittest subjects who were able to complete the bicycle protocol were compared with the whole group who completed the treadmill protocol. It is not surprising that the six fittest subjects had a higher mean oxygen consumption than the group as a whole. It would be more appropriate to use a paired t test, such that data from subjects completing a given stage of one protocol are compared only with data from the same subjects during the other protocol.

We are encouraged that Dr Essamri and colleagues agree with the need for standardisation of exercise testing within Europe—but which protocol are we to use as a standard? The STEEP protocol has several advantages over existing protocols, including short stages (as recommended by Buchfuhrer et al.), suitability for both treadmill and bicycle testing, and adjustment for body weight during the bicycle protocol so that subjects of different size exercise at similar relative intensity at each stage, and exponential increments in workload making the test applicable to a very wide range of patients. None of these improvements over existing protocols is contested in the letter from Essamri et al. They have, however, demonstrated a difference in oxygen consumption during the later stages of the treadmill and bicycle protocols, which may indicate a need for a minor modification of one or other protocol. However, we did not suggest that the two tests were identical, only that they were comparable. Bicycle and treadmill testing have certain fundamental differences—which were discussed in our original report. The STEEP tests merely offer a pragmatic solution to the problem of standardisation, when some laboratories use treadmills while others use bicycle ergometers.

Their assertion that the final workload increments are too large in heavy subjects is clearly unwarranted because the whole point of adjustment for body weight is that all subjects experience the same relative workload and increments. In fact the data of Essamri et al confirm this principle because the standard deviations of the mean oxygen consumption for each stage of the bicycle protocol (assuming that their figure shows standard deviations rather than standard errors) are small even though the study included a remarkable range of body weights—from 62 kg to 115 kg. We fully agree that the STEEP protocol is not suitable for inferring maximal oxygen uptake from maximal workload in patients with cardiovascular disease—but this is not recommended for any protocol.2

Finally, we think that firm conclusions cannot be reached until new standard exercise protocols, such as the STEEP test, are validated in suitable patient populations.

David B Northridge
Stanley Grant
Henry J Dargie
Department of Cardiology,
Western Infirmary,
Glasgow G11 6NT


Fatal aortic rupture during balloon dilatation of recoarctation

Sir,—I read the paper by Balaji (British Heart Journal 1991;65:100-1) with interest. They reported aortic rupture and death in an eight year old child after balloon angioplasty for aortic recoarctation that developed after patch angioplasty procedure. They have had extensive experience with balloon angioplasty of aortic coarctations, both native and postoperative,4 and have not observed a similar complication. We do not agree with Balaji et al that balloon angioplasty should be avoided in cases of recoarctations after patch angioplasty. The complication reported in Balaji et al is a problem related to the technique of angioplasty that they adopted.

Firstly, I do not believe that balloon angioplasty should be performed without monitoring the pressure in the balloon. The purpose of monitoring the pressure is not to prevent