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Pacemaker syndrome was first described in 1969 by Mitsui when it was referred to as the pacemaking syndrome. The name pacemaker syndrome was first coined by Erbel (using the German Schrittmacher syndrome) in 1979. It can present with symptoms as severe as syncope, presyncope, oedema, dyspnoea, and chest pain, or more moderately and subtly as lethargy, palpitation, or an awareness of venous pulsation all of which may occur when there is atrial systole during ventricular systole. This is most frequent when there is ventriculoatrial conduction from the paced ventricle to the atrium usually via the atrioventricular node. The symptoms can be identical to those prompting implant. Occasionally pacemaker syndrome can occur in the absence of ventriculoatrial conduction when ventricular pacing is in competition with sinus rhythm.

Incidence of pacemaker syndrome
The incidence of pacemaker syndrome varies with the vigour with which it is sought and it probably affects 7% of all ventricularly paced patients in its severe form in which it is essential to revise the pacemaker. If mild to moderate symptoms are considered it affects 20% of the ventricularly paced. This group too can benefit clinically from pacemaker upgrade. All too often the symptoms and signs of the syndrome are not sought and patients who previously experienced syncope, now relieved, complain little. This leads to a widespread impression that the syndrome is rare.

Clinical diagnosis of pacemaker syndrome
The diagnosis is made by reproduction of symptoms during ventricular pacing and depends on the history and the search for hypotension, signs of congestive cardiac failure, and venous cannon waves associated with ventricular pacing. Usually the diagnosis can be made clinically without recourse to special investigations. However, Doppler echocardiography can be useful in its diagnosis: Doppler ultrasound measurement of cardiac output in nine patients with ventriculoatrial conduction or symptoms consistent with pacemaker syndrome showed a 30% improvement when fully automatic (DDD) pacing rather than ventricular inhibited (VVI) pacing was used. In the remaining 20 patients with no history of pacemaker syndrome or ventriculoatrial conduction Doppler derived cardiac output improved by 14% when pacing was changed to DDD from VVI.

Haemodynamics of pacemaker syndrome
Contractile of the atria against closed atrioventricular valves during ventricular systole leads to raised atrial pressures, loss of atrial contribution to ventricular filling, and a consequent fall in cardiac output which causes a fall in arterial pressure if the baroreceptor mediated rise in systemic vascular resistance is insufficient. In a study on 20 open-chest dogs with experimental complete heart block left atrial angiography showed retrograde blood flow into the pulmonary venous system at atrioventricular intervals of −50 and −100 ms. Therefore in addition to the loss of atrial contribution to ventricular filling there is a “negative atrial kick” further compromising haemodynamic function. In three patients studied by Alicantri et al there was an absent or smaller rise in peripheral resistance in response to a fall in cardiac output. The mechanism was thought to be due to vasodilatation in response to activation of atrial stretch receptors by atrial cannon waves which dominated over the baroreceptor mediated increase in resistance that occurs secondary to the fall in systemic arterial pressure. Similar findings were observed in a group of 20 patients studied haemodynamically more than 24 hours after coronary artery bypass grafting or aortic valve replacement. Hypotension with ventricular pacing occurred only in those patients with left atrial cannon waves. It has been suggested that patients with left ventricular disease, especially hypertrophy of any cause, are more sensitive to the correct timing of atrial systole and are, therefore more liable to be symptomatic with retrograde atrioventricular conduction.

A recent study of a large group of patients with intact ventriculoatrial conduction showed similar results, with peripheral resistance failing to rise in seven patients requiring upgrade to dual chamber mode because of symptoms of pacemaker syndrome whereas it rose during ventricular pacing in the symptom...
free group. The difference between the response of the cardiac output between the two groups was negligible and the authors argued that the behaviour of the blood pressure was crucial. They advised that a cuff recording of blood pressure should be made at the onset of ventricular pacing during pacemaker implant and that a fall in systolic pressure of greater than 25 mm Hg should be regarded as predictive for the possible development of pacemaker syndrome and they recommended dual chamber pacing. We suggest that if clinicians allow their choice of pacing mode to be guided by haemodynamic variables during temporary ventricular pacing before implant, they must assess the patient in the upright posture (60° head up tilt with appropriate safety restraint) before considering it appropriate to implant a VVI unit.

Pacemaker syndrome in different pacing modes

In a small group of patients, paced in VVI mode and presenting with symptoms of pacemaker syndrome, changing the mode from VVI to atrial inhibited (AAI) relieved symptoms and was associated with a fall in both the mean right atrial and pulmonary capillary wedge pressures as well as a rise in cardiac output. In view of the high prevalence of ventriculoatrial conduction in patients with sinus node disease, AAI pacing with the possible addition of a sensor-driven facility for those patients who do not show an increase in sinus rate on exertion (chronotropic incompetence) is advocated by some for all patients in whom atrioventricular conduction is sound.

Two large randomised controlled trials to compare ventricular and dual chamber pacing in patients with sinus rhythm identified improved well-being even in "asymptomatic" patients when the dual chamber mode was used. However, ventricular pacing is the preferred mode in patients with symptomatic bradycardia in the presence of atrial fibrillation. Although the early dual chamber pacing mode (atrial synchronous ventricular inhibited (VDD)) was an improvement over the atrioventricular sequential (DVI) mode, it was still associated with pacemaker syndrome in those patients whose sinus rate dropped below the programmed lower rate at which point the pacing mode effectively became VVI. With the advent of fully automatic dual chamber pacing systems (DDI) it became apparent that pacemaker syndrome can still occur in properly functioning dual chamber pacing systems in the DDI mode if the atrioventricular delay is programmed either too short or too long. The importance of the timing of left atrial depolarisation was addressed in a study of 16 patients with dual chamber pacemakers who had haemodynamic variables measured at different atrioventricular delays when they were supine and erect. A wide range of interatrial conduction delay (70 ms–380 ms using oesophageal recording) between right atrial pacing artefact and left atrial depolarisation was seen and the optimal atrioventricular delay was more easily identified during 80° head up tilt than with the patient supine. In those patients in whom the interatrial conduction delay exceeded 150 ms (three of 16 patients) a programmed atrioventricular delay of 150 ms resulted in left atrial activation after the ventricular spike, yielding left atrial contraction during left ventricular systole. The temporal difference between sensing of spontaneous right atrial activation and right atrial pacing is such that at a given atrioventricular interval, the sequence between atrial and ventricular contraction is longer in the atrial synchronous ventricular inhibited (VDD) than in the atrioventricular sequential mode (DVI). Some pacemakers now take this delay into account as a programmable feature. The DDI mode has been recommended for patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, and atrioventricular conduction.

Pacemaker syndrome in rate responsive pacing

Sensor driven ventricular pacing (VVIR) would be expected to result in pacemaker syndrome at resting heart rates in the same way as non-sensor driven ventricular pacing. In patients with sinus node chronotropic incompetence, a group of patients who are often considered suitable for the VVIR mode, ventricular pacing is often programmed so as to be inhibited at rest but triggered by the sensor during exercise. This may result in pacemaker syndrome during exercise with retrograde atrial activation as the rate of the paced rhythm exceeds that of the intrinsic rhythm. Syncpe on exercise has been reported even in the absence of regular ventriculoatrial conduction.

Sensor driven AAI pacing causing pacemaker syndrome—The onset of pacemaker syndrome was reported during exercise in a patient with a
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dual atrioventricular nodal pathway in whom conduction through the fast anterograde pathway was prolonged to such an extent by concomitant therapy with disopyramide that conduction occurred over the slow pathway. This resulted in pacemaker syndrome during moderate exercise when the paced atrial event was conducted with a long spike Q interval leading to atrial systole just after the preceding QRS during ventricular systole.

Treatment of pacemaker syndrome

Because symptoms of pacemaker syndrome overlap with those of pacemaker malfunction it is vital to exclude malfunction as the first step in investigation. Optimal therapy for symptomatic bradycardia of any cause is use of a pacing system that includes atrial sensing and pacing wherever atrial electrophysiology permits. In practice this will frequently be a dual chamber device where the atrial refractory period should be programmed to at least 25% longer than the measured ventriculoatrial conduction time to avoid pacemaker mediated or endless loop reentry tachycardia. Furman's group evaluated ventriculoatrial conduction in 432 patients receiving a permanent pacemaker. One hundred and sixty two had intact ventriculoatrial conduction including 14% of patients with complete anterograde atrioventricular block. Most patients with intact anterograde conduction had ventriculoatrial conduction. They evaluated these patients by means of incremental ventricular pacing and observed that the ventriculoatrial conduction interval was prolonged in most at faster rates with complete retrograde ventriculoatrial block at rates exceeding 120 pulses per minute in 50% of patients with intact atrioventricular conduction at rest. They estimated that programming the postventricular atrial refractory period to 300 ms, an upper rate limit of 140 ppm and an atrioventricular delay of 125 ms would prevent endless loop tachycardia in 90% of their patients.

Pacemaker syndrome can be avoided prospectively by choice of the most physiologically appropriate pacing mode for the individual patient. While this will inevitably lead to a higher incidence of dual chamber pacing and increased cost, the cost of upgrading as many as 20% of ventricularly paced patients must be considered together with the technical difficulties of passing a second lead and the increased risk of infection that is attendant upon second and subsequent pacemaker procedures. Increased cost need not be enormous if AA1 pacing is considered as first choice mode for patients with sick sinus syndrome. Furthermore, the cost of many excellent dual chamber units has now fallen to within the range of many of the most sophisticated single chamber units. If improved physiological performance of dual chamber pacemakers with well demonstrated improved quality of life is ignored and patients most likely to have retrograde conduction are targeted the increased cost is moderate and comparable with the need for VVI upgrade. VVI pacing can still be considered for patients who are mostly in sinus rhythm and only show rare episodes of conduction defect and also for patients who are either severely disabled and show no retrograde conduction or who have a poor prognosis because of another disease.

As the use of dual chamber pacing systems with the ability to sense P waves and at least one other physiological variable (by means of sensors such as those for respiratory minute volume and QT interval) increases, a far lower incidence of pacemaker syndrome should result. Furthermore, development of pacemaker artificial intelligence to diagnose the syndrome and automatic adjustment of the pacing mode to avoid it is expected within a few years.

Alternatives to dual chamber pacing therapy of pacemaker syndrome

(a) If pacemaker syndrome is encountered, it may be ameliorated by reducing the pacing rate so that competition between sinus rhythm and pacing is minimal with the possible addition of rate hysteresis in which pacing will only be triggered after a pause significantly longer than the pacing interval.

(b) Antiarrhythmic drugs may be used to block retrograde atrioventricular conduction. Flecainide has previously been used for this purpose but in the light of the CAST study we do not think that it can be recommended. It and other antiarrhythmic drugs can also paradoxically result in artificial circus movement tachycardia by prolonging the retrograde atrioventricular conduction time without complete retrograde block and may result in a retrograde P wave occurring outside the atrial refractory period thereby precipitating pacemaker mediated tachycardia.

(c) In those patients who are not pacemaker dependent and in whom the pre-implant symptoms are minor serious consideration should be given to the device being turned off and subsequently, if appropriate, explanted.

New approach to the identification of potential pacemaker syndrome

If a policy of DDD pacing for all suitable patients is not adopted a reliable test to identify patients at risk of developing pacemaker syndrome would be clinically valuable.

Atrial natriuretic peptide was discovered in 1981 and is released directly into the circulation in response to atrial wall stretch within the heart and it has potent vasodilator properties in addition to natriuretic and diuretic ones. Peripheral venous plasma atrial natriuretic peptide concentrations were measured in patients with DDD and VVI pacemakers and they were significantly lower in both the short and long term when the DDD mode was in operation and the concentrations were similar to those observed in controls. This difference is maintained during exercise. Concentrations of atrial natriuretic peptide were lower at physiological delays than at either long or short atrioventricular delays. Atrial natriuretic
peptide concentrations were measured in patients with ventriculoatrial conduction both with and without symptoms of pacemaker syndrome. Concentrations were normal during sinus rhythm or DDD pacing (atrioventricular delay 150 ms) in patients with pacemaker syndrome but rose to 7–8 fold during ventricular pacing with intact ventriculoatrial conduction. These data prompt reconsideration of the mechanism of hypotension in pacemaker syndrome. Animal studies (which have not been reproduced by others) offer only weak support to the suggestions of Alicandri et al., Erlebacher et al., and Witte et al., that the mechanism is an exaggerated or inappropriate neural reflex. Atrial natriuretic peptide has well defined vasodilator properties at concentrations seen in patients with symptomatic pacemaker syndrome. These effects may be additive to the neural reflex or may be the sole mechanism of inappropriate vasodilation. The higher concentrations found in patients with symptomatic pacemaker syndrome compared with those who had retrograde atrioventricular conduction without symptoms supports the involvement of an endocrine mechanism in this syndrome. 

Pacemaker syndrome particularly in its milder form probably has a higher incidence and prevalence than is currently realised. It may be avoided by carefully programmed dual chamber pacing for all patients with normal atrial activity and atrioventricular conduction disease and by atrial pacing in patients with intact atrioventricular conduction. Pacemaker upgrade should be avoided on grounds of patient discomfort (including infection risk), operator difficulty in passing a second lead, and cost. Thus a policy of VVI pacing for all is no longer tenable.
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