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Abstract

Objective—Exercise induced hypotension is a specific but insensitive indicator of severe coronary artery disease. Skin blood flow is subject to control by baroreceptor mediated reflexes as well as thermoregulatory reflexes. Monitoring skin temperature or the skin to central temperature gradient may be a more sensitive indicator of impaired cardiac output response to exercise than hypotension.

Design and participants—Central and skin temperature changes associated with exercise were studied in 10 normal volunteers and eight patients with impaired resting ventricular function due to ischaemic heart disease. Patients exercised according to a modified Bruce protocol. The two sample independent t test was applied to compare the central and peripheral temperatures in the two groups at three minute intervals during exercise and at two minute intervals after exercise.

Results—A significant decrease was found in mean (1 SEM) central temperature on exercise in our patient group (98.2±0.2°F to 97.2±0.3°F), compared with the normal increase in central temperature (97.7±0.2°F to 98.3±0.3°F). Mean (1 SEM) skin temperature changes reflected the expected skin blood flow changes with exercise in normal subjects. In the patient group skin temperature declined during exercise (89.7±2.1°F to 86.6±1.7°F) and was significantly lower than normal from six minutes onwards.

Conclusions—The abnormal peripheral temperature changes of patients with impaired resting ventricular function is an early and sensitive indicator of an abnormal haemodynamic response to exercise. It is possible that skin temperature measurement during exercise could help detect exercise induced ventricular dysfunction due to ischaemia or impaired cardiac output due to valvar heart disease.

Patients and methods

We measured simultaneous core (central) and peripheral temperature during exercise testing in 10 normal subjects and eight patients with impaired left ventricular function at rest due to coronary artery disease. Patients belonged to classes 2 and 3 of the New York Heart Association classification. Left ventricular function at rest was assessed by radionuclide scintigraphy or left ventricular angiography within two weeks of the exercise test. Angiography showed significant three vessel disease in all patients. The study was confined to men. Patients whose exercise capacity was limited by angina pectoris or who had sustained a myocardial infarction within the previous three months were excluded.

The subjects were exercised with a modified Bruce protocol. Blood pressure was measured with a sphygmomanometer and a continuous three channel electrocardiogram was recorded. Standard end points for finishing the exercise were used. Central temperature was measured with an oesophageal thermistor. This was swallowed by the patient to a depth of a quarter of the patient's height and then advanced and retracted to find the point of locally maximum temperature. Such placement makes the temperature recorded relatively insensitive to small displacements of the thermistor. All subjects tolerated the temperature probe well and all were able to complete the study. Peripheral skin temperature was measured on the middle finger of the left hand. Room temperature was maintained at 22°C during all studies. Core and periph-
heart rate, reason for ending the test, and the peak rise in systolic blood pressure in the eight patients with heart failure. Figure 1 shows the change in central temperature on exercise in the normal group. Mean (SEM) central temperature increased from 97-6 (0:22) to 98-4(0.26°F and remained high during the recovery period. Figure 2 shows the central temperature response to exercise in the patient group. Central temperature fell in seven of the eight patients with the mean (SEM) value falling from 98-2 (0-2) to 97-2 (0-3)°F (p < 0.05). At the end of exercise central temperature was significantly lower in the heart failure group than the normal group (p < 0.05). After exercise central temperature gradually increased to the pre-exercise value.

Figure 3 shows the skin temperature response in both groups. Skin temperature increased in the normal group, changing from a mean value of 92-6(0-4) to 94-3(0-4)°F (p < 0.05). In the patients with impaired ventricular function at rest skin temperature decreased with exercise from a mean (SEM) value of 89-7(2-1) to 86-6(1-7)°F. Skin temperature was significantly lower in the patient group from six minutes onwards (p < 0.005 at 12 minutes).

In normal subjects skin temperature shows an initial fall. This is thought to be due to reflex sympathetically induced vasoconstriction that takes place immediately on the initiation of exercise. The peripheral temperature then rises slowly and seems to parallel the increase in central temperature. This centrally mediated peripheral vasodilatation is generally believed to occur in an attempt to attenuate the rise in central temperature that takes place with exercise, and this overrides the initial vasoconstriction of the peripheral vessels.

The pattern is significantly different in the patient group. Central temperature actually decreases with exercise in these patients. The initially lower peripheral temperature reflects the compensatory increase in sympathetic tone in patients with impaired ventricular function at rest. Peripheral temperature shows a continuous decrease with exercise as sympathetic tone increases progressively. This takes place as part of the abnormal haemodynamic response to exercise that occurs in patients with impaired ventricular function. Also, the fact that central temperature tends to fall in these patients means that there is no thermoregulatory stimulus for vasodilatation to occur, as there is in normal subjects.

### Results

The mean age of the 10 normal volunteer subjects was 58-4 (range 52–66) years. The mean age of the group with poor left ventricular function was 62-1 (range 56–70) years. All of these eight patients had had a myocardial infarction more than three months before and all patients were on regular diuretic treatment. Three patients were on ACE inhibitors and no patients were on β-receptor blocking agents. Poor left ventricular function was due to previous myocardial infarction in all cases.

The table outlines the duration of exercise, mean age, and duration of heart failure for each patient.

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Duration of exercise (min)</th>
<th>Heart rate increase (beats/min)</th>
<th>Reason for cessation</th>
<th>Maximum increase in blood pressure (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Resting ejection fraction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>+20</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>+30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>+25</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>+25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>+15</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>+20</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>+30</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As core temperature falls with increasing exercise in the patient group, measuring the central peripheral gradient did not offer any advantage over studying peripheral temperature changes alone.

**Discussion**

A clinically practical and sensitive method of assessing the haemodynamic response to exercise is not yet available. An abnormal blood pressure response to exercise is a specific but very insensitive indicator of severe coronary artery disease and left ventricular function during exercise. Furthermore, most physicians are familiar with the difficulties involved in measuring blood pressure in patients undergoing treadmill exercise tests. We have investigated the central and peripheral temperature changes that occur with exercise in normal subjects and patients with impaired ventricular function at rest. Peripheral (skin) temperature directly reflects skin blood flow and shows a characteristic response to exercise. The patients with impaired ventricular function have a noticeably different pattern of peripheral temperature changes. This is because the baroreceptor reflexes and increasing sympathetic tone are predominant influences on skin blood flow in these patients and peripheral blood flow is compromised in an attempt to compensate for the abnormal response of stroke volume to exercise. Also, we now know that central temperature does not increase in such patients and hence the thermoregulatory mediated peripheral vasodilation, known to occur in normal people, is absent in this group. All except one of these patients had a normal blood pressure response to exercise, which confirms that this is not sensitive in detecting poor ventricular performance on exercise. Skin temperature profile was abnormal in all these patients indicating that blood pressure is maintained at the expense of perfusion of non-vital organs.

We were surprised to find that central temperature decreased on exercise in the patients with impaired ventricular function. A study with pulmonary artery temperature as an index of core temperature reported similar findings. It is not easy to explain this fall in temperature but recycling of blood from relatively cool vasoconstricted peripheries and decrease in the central temperature as this blood mixes with the central blood would seem to offer the best explanation. The temperature of blood returning from exercising muscle (femoral vein samples) in patients with heart failure has been measured and found to be consistently lower than core temperature and this would certainly support the theory. The fact that core temperature does not rise with exercise in the patient group is of some clinical significance. A pacemaker that increases rate with increasing temperature has recently been introduced but the failure of central temperature to rise in our group of patients suggests that they would not derive any benefit from these units. Also, many of the normal subjects showed an initial drop in central temperature at the start of exercise and central temperature was not consistently raised until three minutes after exercise.

The abnormal peripheral temperature response of patients with impaired ventricular function at rest is an early and sensitive indicator of abnormal haemodynamic response to exercise. It remains to be seen whether patients with exercise induced ventricular dysfunction have an abnormal peripheral temperature profile. The ability to detect ischaemia that affects ventricular function and has haemodynamic consequences would be an advance on simply detecting ischaemia as with the routine exercise electrocardiogram. The routine measurement of skin temperature would be a simple and useful adjunct to the standard exercise test and would seem to be much more clinically useful than attempts to monitor blood pressure. The failure of core temperature to rise in patients with decreased ejection fraction may have implications as to the choice of rate responsive pacemakers used in these patients.