on the fourth day to a peak on day 14. Thereafter titres (mean (SEM) gradually declined but remained significantly raised for two years after treatment presentation, 14 ± 3 (4); day 14; 3192 (771); 2 years, 86 (24); 23 years, 65 (26) (figure). No evidence of IgM antibody was found in our study indicating that the immunological response was a secondary one. We also found that specific antibody significantly impairs the action of streptokinase in vivo.\(^4\)

We agree with Buchalter et al that it would be prudent to avoid repeat treatment with streptokinase for a period after the initial 72 hours of therapy, until the significance of these antibodies has been evaluated in vivo. Our findings suggest this period may be for 24 years or more.

**MARY LYNCH**
Prince Charles Hospital, Chermside, Queensland 4032, Australia

**BRIAN PENTECOST**
WILLIAM A LITTLER
ROBERT A STOCKLEY
The General Hospital, Steelhouse Lane, Birmingham B4 6NH

---

**Hypoxia and the heart**

Sm,—We would like to comment on the excellent editorial on hypoxia and the heart by Davies and Wedzicha (British Heart Journal 1993;69:3-5). Unfortunately they omitted a clinical situation where hypoxaemia is well documented, namely the postoperative period. The pattern of postoperative hypoxaemia is clearly defined and recent studies reported in anaesthesia journals have been directed at determining the incidence of hypoxaemia and myocardial ischaemia.\(^2\)A study from our department showed that 20% of hypoxaemic episodes are associated with ischaemia and that this association was related to the severity and duration of the hypoxaemia. A further study in postoperative patients after aortic aneurysm repair showed a correlation between myocardial ischaemia and hypoxaemia after the withdrawal of supplemental oxygen.

We would also like to highlight the use of pulse oximetry. Davies and Wedzicha correctly suggest that hypoxia and its complications are underdiagnosed. We have shown that hypoxaemia is common in the period immediately after acute myocardial infarction and frequently missed on clinical grounds.\(^3\) We have also shown that only 4% of coronary care units in England use pulse oximetry to guide oxygen treatment despite the fact that 80% have an oxygen saturation monitor available.\(^4\) We believe from our experience in anaesthetic practice that much of this underdiagnosis of hypoxaemia is secondary to lack of monitoring and that easily correctable hypoxaemia is often not corrected with supplemental oxygen because the initial cyanosis is not noted.

We are engaged in further studies of the association between hypoxaemia and ischaemia in the postoperative period as well as the association between cardiac events or ischaemia and peri-infarct hypoxaemia.

**AT WILSON**
CS REILLY
Department of Surgical and Anaesthetic Sciences, University Department of Anaesthesia, K Floor, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Glossop Road, Sheffield S10 2JF

---


---

**This letter was shown to the authors, who reply as follows:**

Sm,—We thank Wilson and Reilly for their helpful comments. Perioperative hypoxia may well be common, and interactions with anaesthetic drugs that have myocardial depressant and arrhythmogenic actions will potentially increase the risks of uncorrected hypoxia.

**SW DAVIES**
JA WEDZICHA
*Cardiac Department and Department of Thoracic Medicine, The London Chest Hospital (Royal Brompton, Victoria Park), Bonner Road, London E2

---

**Small ductus arteriosus**

Sm,—May I add my support to the letters of Sturridge and Glickstein et al who recommend setting up national surveys "to discover the risk to life and health of the untreated small ductus".

In the past year I have investigated two adults in their 20s who were found on routine clinical examination to have a murmur and were subsequently, on investigations, found to have a patent ductus arteriosus with a shunt that was not haemodynamically significant and normal intracardiac pressures. In both cases the shunt was only detectable at angiography by contrast injection into the aorta. I discussed the risks of infective endocarditis and surgical closure with both patients. These risks are believed to be small. Both patients preferred to be treated medically.

I am sure it would be sensible to set up a national survey of those with a small patent ductus. Perhaps this is something that the British Cardiac Society should consider doing.

**JOHN L CAPLIN**
Department of Cardiology
Royal Infirmary
Aberdeen

---