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Abstract

Objective—To compare two new power sources for catheter ablation in patients with the Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome. Design—120 consecutive patients with accessory pathways had catheter ablation. Low energy direct current (DC) was used in the first 60 patients and radiofrequency current in the next 60 patients. Setting—Electrophysiological laboratory of a large heart institute.

Patients—72 men and 48 women (mean (SD) age 35 (14) years (range 9–75)). The accessory pathways were in the left free wall in 73 patients. They were posteroseptal in 35 patients, in the right free wall in five, and anteroseptal in seven. There was no significant difference in the clinical or electrophysiological variables between the two ablation groups.

Results—Catheter ablation with low energy direct current was successful in 55/60 patients (92%) and radiofrequency energy was successful in 52/60 patients (87%). Low energy direct current was also successful in four of the eight patients in whom radiofrequency ablation had failed. Radiofrequency ablation was successful in two of the five patients in whom low energy direct current ablation had failed. The mean (SD) procedure and fluoroscopy times for successful ablation were 3·2 (1·5) h and 61 (40) min respectively. These times were similar for both power sources. Accessory pathway conduction occurred in 17 patients (28%) who had low energy direct current and four patients (7%) who received radiofrequency energy (p < 0·004). All patients with recurrence of an accessory pathway had successful re-ablation.

Conclusions—Both new power sources successfully ablated accessory pathways, (overall success rate 94% (113/120 patients)). Radiofrequency ablation, however, did not require general anaesthesia and was associated with a significantly lower rate of recurrence of accessory pathway conduction. Therefore radiofrequency should be used initially for ablation. Low energy direct current may be most useful as a back-up in patients in whom radiofrequency ablation fails.
Heart Institute. Written consent was obtained from all patients. In 100 patients (83%) both the diagnostic electrophysiological study and catheter ablation were performed during the same session. All procedures were done with the patients fasting after antiarrhythmic drugs had been stopped for at least five half-lives.

PATIENTS

Between May 1989 and January 1992, 120 consecutive patients (72 men and 48 women, mean (1 SD) age 35 (14) (range 9–75)) with accessory pathways underwent catheter ablation at the Montreal Heart Institute. There was associated congenital heart disease in four patients (Ebstein’s anomaly in three patients and L-transposition of the great vessels in the other). Surgical ablation of a left free wall accessary pathway had previously been unsuccessful in two patients, high energy direct current ablation had failed in three, and in one patient radiofrequency ablation had failed at another institution. All patients had symptomatic tachycardias with a mean (1 SD) duration of 13 (9) years. Patients had been refractory to a mean (1 SD) of 2 (2) (range 0–6) antiarrhythmic drugs, including amiodarone in nine cases. Orthodromic reciprocating tachycardia was present in 102 patients and atrial fibrillation was present in 18, leading to cardiovascular collapse in two (associated with malignant syncpe (car accident) in one and ventricular fibrillation in the other).

TYPE OF ENERGY DELIVERY

In the first 60 patients (before April 1991) low energy direct current was used exclusively. Briefly, this new ablator uses an unmodified capacitive discharge to deliver a peak voltage of 2000 V for shocks of 20 J over energy directed current. Ablation had failed in three, and in one patient radiofrequency ablation had failed at another institution. All patients had symptomatic tachycardias with a mean (1 SD) duration of 13 (9) years. Patients had been refractory to a mean (1 SD) of 2 (2) (range 0–6) antiarrhythmic drugs, including amiodarone in nine cases. Orthodromic reciprocating tachycardia was present in 102 patients and atrial fibrillation was present in 18, leading to cardiovascular collapse in two (associated with malignant syncpe (car accident) in one and ventricular fibrillation in the other).

Unipolar anodal shocks (catheter as the positive pole) were given because they were shown during in vitro studies to increase arcing thresholds.\(^{18}\) The indifferent electrode consisted of a large patch positioned under the left scapula. A four channel eight bit digital storage oscilloscope (1604, Gould, Glen Burnie, MD, USA) was used to record voltage and current over time during each shock.

Radiofrequency ablation was performed in the next 60 patients. The 500 kHz generator was connected to a PC 386 computer with on line measurement and visualisation of the current, duration, impedance, and cumulative energy during each application of radiofrequency. Unipolar ablations were delivered between the tip of the catheter and a patch positioned under the left scapula. When radiofrequency failed the low energy direct current power source was used as a back-up device, and the ablation procedure was then continued. Failure of radiofrequency was defined as the absence of any effect of radiofrequency current on the accessory pathway during procedure times of at least three hours, associated either with endocardial recordings that appeared suitable for ablation or with catheter instability.

CATHETER ABLATION

A 7F quadripolar deflectable catheter with a 4 mm distal electrode (Mansfield-Webster catheters, Watertown, MA, USA) was used for all radiofrequency ablations and in the last 21 patients of the low energy direct current group. Early in our experience, a 7F bipolar deflectable catheter was used with the low energy power source in 14 patients, while in the remaining patients of this group, a 6F quadripolar catheter was used (both catheters from Bard-USCI, Tewkesbury, MA, USA). Therefore, because of the distinct advantage of a deflectable large tip catheter, this electrode was used for ablation in patients 39 to 120.

Table 1 shows the location of the accessory pathway in both groups of patients. Accessory pathways were localised according to previously published criteria.\(^{27}\) During mapping the mean cycle length and the mean shortest ventricular-atrial interval during orthodromic reciprocating tachycardia were 336 (50) and 92 (22) ms respectively for low energy direct current and 339 (51) 86 (21) ms respectively with radiofrequency. There was no significant difference between patients receiving low energy direct current or radiofrequency.

Continuous intravenous heparin (bolus of 2500 units followed by 1000 units/h in adults, bolus of 1000 units followed by 500 units/h in children) was started before ablation. Patients receiving low energy direct current or radiofrequency were anaesthetised with various drugs, including midazolam, fentanyl, nalbuphine, thiopentone, propofol, or ketamine. Patients who had radiofrequency ablation did not need general anaesthesia before ablation. The approach for ablation of left-sided accessory pathways consisted of mapping and ablation from a patent foramen ovale (one patient), directly within the coronary sinus (10 patients), and from a retrograde aortic approach to the region of the mitral annulus (63 patients, including 17
patients who were ablated with radio-frequency current by the single catheter technique.\textsuperscript{28} The low energy direct current power source delivered test shocks of 2–10 J. If they were successful in eliminating conduction through the accessory atrioventricular connection, four to six successive shocks of 15 to 30 J (aiming for 1500 to 2000 V per shock) were given to promote tissue damage. When radiofrequency current was used the initial applications consisted of 30 W. This generally was stopped within 20 seconds if accessory pathway conduction was not abolished. After the loss of preexcitation or of retrograde conduction over the accessory pathway, a total of 3–5 applications of 30 seconds of 30–50 W each of radiofrequency current were delivered. The radiofrequency current was always stopped immediately if impedance increased. In all patients, the maximum duration of a single session was limited to 6–7 hours. Oral anticoagulant was given for three months after low energy direct current ablation. A coated aspirin was given for three months after radiofrequency ablation. All patients have had at least six months of follow up.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES
All statistical comparisons were performed using an unpaired two-tailed Student's \( t \) test. A \( p \) value of <0.05 was considered significant. Continuous variables are expressed as mean (1 SD).

Results
Table 2 shows the outcome of catheter ablation in all patients. The accessory pathway was successfully ablated in 107 patients (89%) during the initial ablation session (no significant difference between radiofrequency and low energy direct current ablation). Thirty-four patients required additional ablation sessions. In 13 of these the initial ablation procedure had failed. The remaining 21 patients required an additional session because the accessory pathway recurred after an initially successful ablation. There was a significantly greater recurrence of accessory pathway conduction after low energy direct current (17/60 patients (28%)) than after radiofrequency energy (4/60 patients (7%)), (\( p < 0.004 \)). The interval between successful ablation and recurrence of accessory pathway conduction ranged from four hours to three months. Accessory pathway conduction recurred in hospital at three and 24 hours in two patients after radiofrequency ablation and within three weeks after hospital discharge in two other patients. In 17 patients who had low energy direct current ablation accessory pathway conduction, recurred within a week in six patients, between one to four weeks in five patients, and between one to three months in the six others.

The mean procedure time for successful ablation was 3.2 (1.2) with low energy direct current and 3.1 (1.7) h with radiofrequency (\( p = \text{NS} \)). The mean fluoroscopy times were 57 (30) min with low energy direct current and 66 (49) min with radiofrequency (\( p = \text{NS} \)).

Fluoroscopy times were significantly related to the site of the accessory pathway. Successful ablation of left free wall accessory pathways required 2.7 (1.3) h of procedure time and 53 (38) min of fluoroscopy compared with 3.6 (1.5) h and 71 (40) min for accessory pathways located at other sites (\( p < 0.002 \) for both procedure and fluoroscopy times). There was no significant difference between procedure or fluoroscopy times in patients with manifest or concealed accessory pathways.

ENERGY DELIVERY
With low energy direct current the mean number of shocks per session was 10 (5) (range 2–36, median 8) whereas the mean number of radiofrequency applications was 13 (9) (range 3–42, median 10) (\( p < 0.005 \)). The mean amount of energy was 20 (9) J per shock, corresponding to a cumulative value of 202 (125) J (range 40–650, median 170) when low energy direct current was used. Radiofrequency ablation required 34 (7) W per application for 28 (11) seconds of current delivery. This corresponded to cumulative energies of 13 679 (10 356) J (range 1070–60 360, median 10 850). Creatine kinase MB rose above the normal value (of 0–30 units/l) in 65% of patients who had low energy direct current (mean rise of 22 (25) units/l) and in 28% of patients who had radiofrequency ablation (mean rise of 7 (9) units/l) (\( p < 0.04 \)).

COMPLICATIONS AND FOLLOW UP
There were six complications (during our so-called learning phase of catheter ablation) with low energy direct current. Cardiac tamponade requiring a pericardial window occurred in one patient\textsuperscript{24} and transient periocarditis was seen in three patients. The other two complications were iliac arterial dissection in one patient and microemboli in both feet in a patient treated with coumadin.

Later, when we were using radiofrequency current, there was only one complication. Pneumothorax developed after central venous puncture and the patient required thoracic drainage for 48 hours but recovered uneventfully.

Intermittent palpitation during follow up
Catheter ablation in Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome occurred in 41% of patients who had low energy direct current ablation and 40% of patients who had radiofrequency, but none of these patients described symptoms that resembled the episodes of reciprocating tachycardia that they had before ablation. Late electrophysiological studies confirmed the absence of an accessory pathway in 48 (96%) of 50 patients who underwent low energy direct current ablation, and accessory pathway conduction was modified in two patients who were symptom free during 12 months of follow up. The late electrophysiological study was normal in 21 (91%) of 23 patients who received radiofrequency current. Two of these patients had a concealed accessory pathway with reciprocating tachycardia induced during programmed electrical stimulation; radiofrequency ablation was successful in both patients. The remaining patients, who are awaiting a control electrophysiological study, have not had recurrence of paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia during follow up. Ventricular tachycardia was not inducible (by two ventricular extrastimuli while the right ventricular apex was paced at 400 ms) in any of the patients who had a late electrophysiological study.

Discussion
Both new power sources were successful at ablating accessory pathways. The procedure time and fluoroscopy times varied according to accessory pathway location.23,29 Left free wall accessory pathways were ablated with very short sessions with either power source. Kuck et al successfully used radiofrequency ablation with the single catheter technique approach for manifest left free wall accessory pathways in 30 of 34 patients.28 We too were successful with this technique in all 17 ablations attempted. The mean procedure and fluoroscopy times were 1.8 (1.1) h and 34 (23) min, respectively. With both power sources accessory pathways in other locations require longer procedure times.

In the eight patients in whom radiofrequency ablation failed we used low energy direct current as a back-up and successfully ablated pathways in four of these patients (left free wall accessory pathway in two patients, posteroseptal in one patient, and anteroseptal in one patient). This suggests that low energy direct current is more likely than radiofrequency ablation to be associated with a favourable outcome in a select subgroup of patients, in whom catheter positioning and stability are very difficult or in whom accessory pathways are presumably located more epicardially than endocardially.30-33 Also low energy direct current has been shown to be safe in patients who require ablation within the coronary sinus.34,35 None the less radiofrequency ablation was achieved within the os of the coronary sinus without complications in three of our patients and Haissaguerre et al showed that radiofrequency current may be given within the coronary sinus.35

RECURRANCE OF ACCESSORY PATHWAY
In our study low energy direct current was associated with significantly more recurrences of accessory pathway conduction than radiofrequency ablation. Shocks delivered at sites remote from the accessory pathway can temporarily cause conduction block, without necessarily creating sufficient necrosis for permanent interruption of the accessory pathway. Unlike the application of low energy direct current, catheter positioning for the application of radiofrequency energy is critical, and ablation is successful only when the energy is delivered directly over the accessory pathway, which may explain the low recurrence rate in these patients.21-23

COMPLICATIONS
Both new power sources seem safe.21-24,36 There were only six complications (5%). Other studies of radiofrequency ablation have also reported a low incidence of non-cardiac and cardiac complications—so far cardiac tamponade,21 myocardial infarction22 and aortic leaflet perforation27 have been reported. We found that creatine kinase MB concentration rose above normal values significantly more often and were significantly higher after low energy direct current than after radiofrequency ablation. Although this suggests that low energy direct current is associated with greater amounts of necrosis, Haines et al have recently shown that increases in creatine kinase MB may be underestimated after radiofrequency ablation.28 Nonetheless, earlier pathological studies showed that low energy direct current ablation causes larger myocardial lesions than radiofrequency ablation.27,29

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY
We performed catheter ablation of accessory pathways in 120 consecutive patients over a three year period. Comparison between both groups of patients is limited by two principal factors. The patients who received radiofrequency current benefited from the early experience we acquired using low energy direct current. Also, a deflectable tip was not available in over half of the patients (39/60) who received low energy direct current. However, a recent prospective comparison study of catheter ablation of the atrioventricular junction in patients with atrial tachyarrhythmias showed that radiofrequency ablation was safer and more effective than high energy DC ablation.40 Radiofrequency current should be used initially in patients with accessory pathways who undergo ablation. Radiofrequency ablation does not require general anaesthesia and is highly successful for ablation of accessory pathways. Furthermore, the precise catheter positioning required for radiofrequency ablation is associated with a significantly lower recurrence of accessory pathway conduction than low energy direct current. The low energy DC power source may be most useful in patients in whom radiofrequency has failed. In some patients it is difficult to apply
radiofrequency energy for 30 seconds or more because of catheter instability. This can be overcome by giving low energy direct current shocks that are given over only a few milliseconds. Other patients with accessory pathways that are located away from the subependocardium or within the coronary sinus vein may also benefit more from low energy direct current ablation.
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