Frequency of stress lesions of the upper gastrointestinal tract in paediatric patients after cardiac surgery: effects of prophylaxis

R Behrens, M Hofbeck, H Singer, J Scharf, T Rupprecht

Abstract

Background—Stress lesions of the upper gastrointestinal tract are well recognised in adult patients in intensive care. There are no controlled studies of the incidence of these lesions and the effects or side effects of prophylactic treatment in high risk paediatric patients.

Methods—79 paediatric patients in intensive care were studied prospectively after operation for congenital heart disease. All patients had at least one endoscopic examination. The first 36 patients were not given prophylactic medication: later 43 children were treated randomly either with pirenzepine ($n = 21$) or with famotidine ($n = 22$). Gastric and tracheal secretions were taken daily for culture in those patients given prophylactic medication.

Results—Severe inflammation or ulceration of the upper gastrointestinal tract was less common in those patients who were given prophylactic medication (18% *v* 44%). Prophylactic treatment did not, however, reduce the total incidence of postoperative stress lesions: it shifted the severity of these changes towards mild lesions and reduced the incidence of ulcerations from 25% to 2%. None of the patients developed a pneumonia caused by an organism previously isolated from the stomach.

Conclusions—The incidence of stress lesions in children after cardiac surgery resembles that in high risk adult patients. Children in intensive care after cardiac surgery should be treated prophylactically with famotidine or pirenzepine until they can be fed by mouth.

(Br Heart J 1994;72:186-189)

Stress-induced lesions of the upper gastrointestinal (GI) tract often develop in adults in intensive care units. Lesions include diffuse or petechial bleeding, erosions, severe inflammation, ulcerations, and perforations.^{1,2} Predisposing risk factors are septic or hypovolaemic shock, cerebrocranial trauma, and extensive surgery.³⁻⁵ Up to 75% of adult patients in intensive care develop a lesion of the upper GI tract.^{6,7} Prophylaxis with antacids, H₂ antagonists, or pirenzepine reduced the incidence of these lesions to 16-20%.⁸⁻¹⁰

Antacids and H₂ antagonists increase the gastric pH to >4. Pirenzepine is an anticholinergic agent that is highly specific for M1

receptors of the stomach and reduces the production of hydrogen ions in the gastric mucosa. In addition it improves perfusion of the gastric mucosa, which leads to an increased production of mucus and bicarbonate,¹¹ but does not change the gastric pH.

There is evidence, however, that prophylactic treatment with antacids or H₂ antagonists promoted bacterial overgrowth in the stomach and thus increased the risk of nosocomial pneumonia in adults.^{12,13}

Stress-induced ulcers of the upper gastrointestinal tract were detected in infants by pathological examinations in 1926.¹⁴ Nevertheless, so far there have been no controlled studies of the incidence of stress lesions and the effects of prophylactic treatment in infants and children in intensive care.¹⁵ The purpose of our study was to obtain reliable data about the incidence of these lesions and about effects and side effects of prophylactic treatment in infants and children who underwent surgery for congenital heart disease.

Patients and methods

From October 1988 until November 1991 we prospectively examined 79 consecutive children who underwent corrective or palliative surgery for congenital heart disease. We did not include patients who had operations that usually have a short and uncomplicated postoperative recovery such as repair of an atrial septal defect, valvotomy for aortic stenosis, or repair of coarctation of the isthmus. The first 36 patients (group 1) were not given treatment to prevent lesions of the upper GI tract. Later, 43 patients (group 2) were randomised and treated either with pirenzepine, an anticholinergic, (21 patients) or famotidine, a H₂ antagonist, (22 patients). Both drugs were given intravenously at a dosage of 1 mg/kg/day. In older patients the drugs were given as two doses; children who weighed <10 kg were given three doses. The daily dosage was reduced to 0.5 mg/kg if the serum creatinine concentration exceeded 3 mg/dl. Prophylactic treatment was continued in all patients until they were fed fully by mouth.

Table 1 shows the diagnoses and the type of cardiac surgery. Except for six patients in group 1, cardiac surgery was performed with cardiopulmonary bypass. All patients had at least one endoscopic examination performed by the same examiner (RB) using endoscope (Pentax) with an outer diameter of 5.5 mm or 8.0 mm. If a patient had more than one examination, we based our assessment on the

Department of
Gastroenterology,
University Children's
Hospital, Erlangen,
Germany
R Behrens

Department of
Cardiology
M Hofbeck
H Singer

Department of
Intensive Care
Medicine
J Scharf
T Rupprecht

Correspondence to:
Dr R Behrens, University
Children's Hospital,
Department of Pediatric
Gastroenterology,
Loschgestrasse 15, D-91045
Erlangen, Germany.

Accepted for publication
22 November 1993

Table 1 Type of congenital heart disease and surgical procedures

Diagnosis	Surgical procedure	Group 1	Group 2
AVSD	Patch closure	6	15
AVSD	Pulmonary banding	1	—
VSD	Patch closure	10	5
VSD	Pulmonary banding	1	—
D-TGA	Senning procedure	4	5
ToF	Corrective surgery	2	3
ToF	Aortopulmonary shunt	1	—
DORV	Intracardiac repair	1	3
TA	Fontan procedure	2	2
TA	Aortopulmonary shunt	1	—
TAC	Rastelli procedure	2	1
TAPVD	Corrective surgery	—	2
SV	Fontan procedure	—	2
PA	Outflow tract patch	—	1
PA	Aortopulmonary shunt	1	—
Miscellaneous		4	4
Total:		36	43

AVSD, atrioventricular septal defect; VSD, ventricular septal defect; D-TGA, d-transposition of the great arteries; ToF, tetralogy of Fallot; DORV, double-outlet right ventricle; TA, tricuspid atresia; TAC, truncus arteriosus communis; TAPVD, total anomalous pulmonary venous drainage; SV, single ventricle; PA, pulmonary atresia.

Table 2 Endoscopic score describing the severity of upper GI tract lesions

Category	Score
Normal findings	0
Mild to moderate inflammation, few petechiae or erosions	1
Pronounced inflammation, multiple petechiae or erosions	2
Ulcer(s)	3

Table 3 Clinical score describing the postoperative state

Score A	
(1) Stable haemodynamic condition (intravenous catecholamines)	+
(2) No respiratory problems under mechanical ventilation	+
(3) Normal renal function	
Score B	
(1) Unstable haemodynamic condition requiring high dose intravenous catecholamines	or
(2) State after cardiac arrest, resuscitation, or repeat thoracotomy	or
(3) Renal failure requiring haemodialysis or haemofiltration	

examination that showed the most severe changes. The examination was performed while the patients still required mechanical ventilation. Patients did not need additional sedation for the endoscopic examination at this time because continuous intravenous fentanyl (0.02 µg/kg/min) and intravenous midazolam (0.1 mg/kg/h) were given routinely. All patients were treated with antibiotics after operation (cefuroxime or cefotiam at a dose of 100 mg/kg/day). At the time of endoscopy they were given full parenteral nutrition, because enteral nutrition was impossible in the immediate postoperative period. Total parenteral nutrition and routine intensive care were identical in both groups.

To assess the severity of lesions of the upper GI tract we developed a score based on the endoscopic findings (table 2). To correlate the endoscopic findings with the clinical course, patients were classified according to a clinical score describing the state of the patient at the time of examination (table 3). In addition patients in group 2 had continuous 24 hour measurement of pH (Synectics Medical, Stockholm, Sweden). The probe was placed endoscopically in the body of the stomach. While patients were being treated with pirenzepine or famotidine daily samples of tracheal and gastric secretions were cul-

tured for pathogenic bacteria by the Institute of Clinical Microbiology, University of Erlangen-Nürnberg. All patients had daily routine chest x-rays on the first three postoperative days. Afterwards chest x-rays were taken if indicated by the clinical findings.

The χ^2 and the Wilcoxon tests were used for statistical analysis. Exclusion criteria were participation in another clinical trial during the past 30 days, a history of upper GI tract lesions, leucopenia of < 3500/µl or thrombocytopenia (platelets < 65 000/µl). Informed consent was obtained from all parents before the study. Only two patients were excluded because their parents refused their consent.

The study was approved by the ethics committee on human research of the University of Erlangen-Nürnberg.

Results

Age, weight, cardiopulmonary bypass time, aortic cross clamp period (table 4) and mean interval between endoscopy and cardiac surgery were not significantly different in the two groups (Wilcoxon test). The clinical course of patients in both groups was similar. According to our clinical score (table 3) 61% of patients in group 1 and 58% of patients in group 2 were in a stable haemodynamic condition (score A). Furthermore statistical analysis of group 2 did not show a significant difference of these variables between those patients who were treated with famotidine and those treated with pirenzepine.

Table 5 shows results of endoscopy. Normal findings or only mild inflammatory reactions (endoscopic score grade 0 or 1) were present in a higher percentage of patients in group 2 than in group 1 (81% v 56%). Although there was no difference between both groups in those patients who showed pronounced inflammation (19% in group 1 v 16% in group 2), ulceration was much more common in group 1 patients (25% v 2% in group 2). Normal findings or mild lesions were more common in group 2 and pronounced inflammation or ulceration were more common in group 1 (χ^2 , P < 0.05).

In both groups the postoperative course correlated with the severity of upper GI tract lesions. Most patients with an uncomplicated postoperative course in both groups (47 patients classified as clinical score A) either had normal findings or mild inflammatory changes (group 1 73%, group 2 92%). In those children with a more complicated postoperative course (32 patients classified as clinical score B), pronounced inflammation or ulceration was found in 71% of patients from group 1 and in 33% of patients from group 2. Those differences were statistically significant (χ^2 , P < 0.005). In the group 2 patients there were no differences between those treated with pirenzepine and those treated with famotidine.

Patients in group 2 who were treated with pirenzepine had a significantly lower mean gastric pH during 24 hour monitoring than patients who were treated with famotidine

Table 4 Data on 79 patients

Data	Group 1	Group 2
No of patients	36	43
Mean age (y)	3.12 (10 days–19.9 yr)	2.62 (35 days–9.85 yr)
Male	15	24
Female	21	19
Mean weight (kg)	11.02 (2.53–63.5)	11.47 (3.7–42)
Mean cardiopulmonary bypass time (min)	142	146
Mean aortic cross clamp time (min)	67	70
Mean interval between surgery and gastroscopy (days)	6	4
Patients in stable haemodynamic condition (clinical score A)	22	25
Patients with a complicated postoperative course (clinical score B)	14	18

Table 5 Endoscopic findings

Endoscopic score	Group 1	Group 2
0	2	1
1	18	34
2	7	7
3	9*	1†

*Site of ulceration in patients in group 1: oesophagus (n=2), stomach (n=6), duodenum (n=1 including one perforation).

†Site of ulceration in group 2: stomach (n=1).

Table 6 Gastric and tracheal colonisation in patients given prophylactic treatment

	Pirenzepine group (stomach/trachea)	Famotidine group (stomach/trachea)
<i>Candida</i> spp	12/3	10/8
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp	6/8	9/3
<i>Streptococcus</i> spp	1/7	5/8
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	3/3	2/3
<i>Enterococci</i>	2/3	4/-
<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp	1/2	3/-
<i>Staphylococcus</i> spp	1/2	2/1
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp	0/2	2/-
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	0/1	2/-
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	1/0	0/1
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	0/1	-
<i>Bacteroides</i> spp	-	1/-
<i>Veillonella</i> spp	-	1/-
Aerobic spore forming bacilli	-	1/-
<i>Campylobacter freundii</i>	-	1/-
Total	27/32	43/23

(2.18 v 6.20, χ^2 , $P < 0.001$). Three patients from each group, however, had unexpected high or low mean pH values (mean pH > 4 in children treated with pirenzepine or mean pH < 4 in children treated with famotidine).

In children from group 2 cultures of gastric secretion were positive in 95% of patients with a mean gastric pH > 4 and in 80% of patients with a mean gastric pH < 4 (χ^2 , NS). Table 6 shows the type and number of organisms cultured from gastric and tracheal secretion. An organism cultured from the stomach was grown from tracheal secretion one to four days later in six patients (29%) treated with pirenzepine and in five children treated with famotidine (23%). Nine patients treated with pirenzepine and four patients treated with famotidine had high temperatures in the early postoperative period. In one patient coagulase negative staphylococci were grown from blood cultures; in the rest of the patients all cultures were negative. Only one patient from the pirenzepine group developed pneumonia, which was diagnosed on the third postoperative day. None of the nine patients treated with pirenzepine had an organism cultured from tracheal secretion that was previously isolated from the stomach.

Discussion

Stress lesions of the upper GI tract develop in up to 75% of adult high risk patients.^{6,7} Considerable variation in the reported incidence can be explained by the fact that not all of the studies were based on the results of endoscopic examinations and that some patients were being fed by mouth, which protects to some extent against those lesions.^{16,17} In paediatric patients upper GI tract lesions have been described in case reports¹⁸ and in retrospective studies.¹⁹⁻²¹

This is the first prospective study reporting the incidence of these stress lesions based on endoscopic findings in a homogenous group of paediatric patients in intensive care after surgery for congenital heart disease. Thirty four (94%) of those patients who did not receive prophylactic treatment had stress

lesions of the upper GI tract. There were severe lesions in 44% of these children who had either pronounced inflammation (19%) or even ulceration (25%). One patient required surgical treatment of a perforated duodenal ulcer. The incidence of stress lesions in children after cardiac surgery resembles that in adults at high risk.

We believe that the effects of prophylactic treatment can be gauged only by endoscopic findings. There are several studies of prophylactic treatment in babies and children but none includes the results of routine endoscopy.²²⁻²⁴ Our study confirms previous observations in adult patients that there is a positive correlation between the incidence of upper GI tract lesions and the severity of the underlying disease.¹⁰ Severe changes were noted in only 17% of children with an uncomplicated postoperative course; whereas 50% of children in whom recovery took longer had pronounced inflammation or ulceration. In these high risk patients the incidence of severe stress lesions was significantly reduced in children who received prophylactic treatment compared with those who did not (33% v 71%). Though in our experience prophylactic treatment does not reduce the total incidence of postoperative stress lesions, it promotes a shift in the severity of those changes towards mild lesions with a considerable reduction in the incidence of ulcerations (25% of untreated patients and 2% of patients given prophylaxis had ulcers). There have been several reports of a higher incidence of nosocomial pneumonias in adult patients treated with H₂ antagonists or antacids.^{13,25} This was attributed to an increase in bacterial colonisation of the stomach caused by an increase in gastric pH.²⁶ We believe that the results of some of those studies are questionable because they included a high percentage of patients who were already at an increased risk of nosocomial pneumonias (for example, patients who had a tracheostomy²⁷ or patients who were mechanically ventilated for a long time²⁸). Usually changes in gastric pH were recorded as single measurements despite the fact that a protective bactericidal effect was reported in patients who had a gastric pH of < 3 for at least 15 minutes.²⁹ Different studies can be compared only if patients are examined under standardised conditions including endoscopic examination, continuous 24 hour pH measurement, repeat cultures from tracheal and gastric secretion, and standardised oral or parenteral nutrition. These criteria were fulfilled in our patients who received prophylactic treatment with either pirenzepine or famotidine. During 24 hour pH measurement 20% of our patients who were treated with famotidine had periods of gastric pH of < 3 lasting more than 15 minutes. Without continuous measurement such periods of bactericidal gastric pH would not have been detected. None of our patients developed pneumonia caused by an organism previously isolated from the stomach.

Our study confirms the results from Cook *et al*³⁰ who found that prophylactic treatment

of upper GI tract lesions does not increase the risk of nosocomial pneumonia. Because medical treatment reduced the risk of severe stress lesions we recommend prophylactic medication with either famotidine or pirenzepine in all high risk patients after corrective surgery of congenital heart disease. In our experience those at high risk are children in unstable haemodynamic condition who require high doses of intravenous catecholamines, children after cardiac arrest, resuscitation, or repeat thoracotomy, and children in whom renal failure requiring haemodialysis or haemofiltration develops.

- 1 Greenburg AG. Stress ulcers. *World J Surg* 1981;5:148-9.
- 2 Koelz HR, Aeberhard P, Hassler H, Kunz H, Wagner HE, Roth F, et al. Prophylactic treatment of acute gastroduodenal stress ulceration. *Scand J Gastroent* 1987;22:1147-52.
- 3 Taylor PC, Loop FD, Hermann RE. Management of acute stress ulcer after cardiac surgery. *Ann Surg* 1972;178:1-5.
- 4 Le Gall JR, Mignon FCh, Rapin M, Redjemi M, Harari A, Bader JP, et al. Acute gastroduodenal lesions related to severe sepsis. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1976;142:377-80.
- 5 Kamada T, Fusamoto H, Kawano S, Nogushi M, Hiramatsu K, Masuzawa M, et al. Gastrointestinal bleeding following head injury: A clinical study of 433 cases. *J Trauma* 1977;17:44-7.
- 6 Zuckermann GR, Shuman R. Therapeutic goals and treatment options for prevention of stress ulcer syndrome. *Am J Med* 1987;83(suppl 6A):S29-S35.
- 7 Gyr K, Meier R. Stressulcuskrankheit und deren Prophylaxe. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr* 1989;119:423-9.
- 8 Rohde H, Lorenz W, Fischer M. Eine randomisierte klinische Studie zur Stressulcusprophylaxe mit Cimetidin beim schweren Polytrauma. *Z Gastroenterol* 1980;18:328-9.
- 9 Zinner MJ, Zuidema GD, Smith PL, Mignosa M. The prevention of upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding in patients in an intensive care unit. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1981;153:214-20.
- 10 Breucha G, Imhof M. Pirenzepin in der Allgemeinchirurgie. *INA* 1987;59:81-7.
- 11 Tryba M, Zevounou F, Wruck G. Stressblutungen und post-operative Pneumonien bei Intensivpatienten unter Ranitidin oder Pirenzepin. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1988;113:930-3.
- 12 Du Moulin GC, Hedley-White J, Paterson DG, Lisbon A. Aspiration of gastric bacteria in antacid-treated patients: a frequent cause of postoperative colonisation of the airway. *Lancet* 1982;i:242-7.
- 13 Driks MR, Craven DE, Celli BR, Manning M, Burke RA, Garvin GM, et al. Nosocomial pneumonia in intubated patients given Sucralfat as compared with antacids or histamine type 2 blockers. *N Engl J Med* 1987;317:1376-82.
- 14 Kennedy RLJ. Etiology and healing process of duodenal ulcer in melena neonatorum. *Am J Dis Child* 1926;31:631-8.
- 15 Cichowicz E, Alvarez-Ruiz JR. Acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding in children. *Bol Assoc Med P R* 1985;75:214-20.
- 16 Pingleton SK, Hadzima SK. Enteral alimentation and gastrointestinal bleeding in mechanically ventilated patients. *Crit Care Med* 1983;11:13-7.
- 17 Valentine RJ, Turner WW, Borman KR, Weigelt JA. Does nasogastric feeding afford adequate gastroduodenal stress prophylaxis? *Crit Care Med* 1986;14:599-602.
- 18 Zamir O, Hadary A, Goldberg M, Nissán S. Spontaneous perforation of the stomach in the neonate. *Z Kinderchir* 1987;42:43-5.
- 19 Spencer R. Gastrointestinal hemorrhage in infancy and childhood: 476 cases. *Surgery* 1964;55:718-34.
- 20 Kumar D, Spitz L. Peptic ulceration in children. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1984;159:63-6.
- 21 Barron PT, Watters JM, Wesley-James T. Perforated ulcers in critical illness. *Crit Care Med* 1987;15:584-6.
- 22 Lacroix J, Infante-Rivard C, Gauthier M, Rousseau E, van Doesburg N. Upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding acquired in a pediatric intensive care unit: Prophylaxis trial with cimetidine. *J Pediatr* 1986;108:1015-8.
- 23 Hartemann E, Berthier JC, Gerbier F. Assessment of intragastric pH in critically ill children. Effects of ranitidine [abstr]. *Int Care Med* 1987;13:448.
- 24 Eddlestone JM, Booker PD, Green JR. Use of ranitidine in children undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass. *Crit Care Med* 1989;17:26-9.
- 25 Tryba M. The risk of acute stress bleeding and nosocomial pneumonia in ventilated ICU-patients. Sucralfate versus antacids. *Am J Med* 1987;83(suppl 3B):S117-S24.
- 26 Nachtkamp J, Bares R, Winkeltau G, Klinge U, Schumpelick V, Büll U, et al. Keimbeseidlung des Magens unter medikamentöser Stressprophylaxe—Ursache von bronchopulmonalen Infektionen bei Beatmungspatienten? *Z Gastroenterol* 1988;26:493.
- 27 Cross AS, Roup B. Role of respiratory assistance devices in endemic nosocomial pneumonia. *Am J Med* 1981;70:681-5.
- 28 Inthorn D, Seide K. Ursachen und Prognose des respiratorischen Versagens chirurgischer Intensivpatienten. *Munch Med Wochenschr* 1985;127:1006-9.
- 29 Petersen K-U. Protonenpumpenhemmung zur Behandlung peptischer Läsionen. *Dt Arztebl* 1991;88:4012-3.
- 30 Cook DJ, Laine LA, Guyatt GH, Raffin TA. Nosocomial pneumonia and the role of gastric pH. A metaanalysis. *Chest* 1991;100:7-13.