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From its origins in renal physiology, the renin-angiotensin system has established its functional and pathological significance for many organs. For cardiovascular tissues, both circulating and tissue components of this system appear to be relevant. Changes in its activity have been associated with important effects on the normal and diseased circulation.1,2 Therapeutic interference with the system is of proven benefit in hypertension, cardiac hypertrophy, following myocardial infarction, and in heart failure.3‐5 Therefore it is not surprising that genes associated with the renin-angiotensin system are popular candidates for the investigation.

Genetics of the renin-angiotensin system

The discovery of the genes that encode renin, angiotensinogen, angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE), and angiotensin receptors has made genetic analysis a reality. Certain molecular markers reveal genetic variation between individuals which, in the case of angiotensinogen and ACE genes, are associated with significant differences in the activity of the renin-angiotensin system. These functional correlations may be relevant to normal physiological variation or cardiovascular disease, particularly where familial predisposition is evident.

Molecular tests are now so widely available that studies of the genetics of cardiovascular disease is a growth industry. In contrast to biochemical or physiological characteristics, genetic markers are constant, qualitative, and not confounded by the complications of disease or the effects of treatment. Thousands of genetic tests can be performed on a single blood sample, and new methods deal efficiently with many samples.6 The design of contemporary clinical trials, population surveys, and case-control studies often includes blood taken for future genetic analysis. We must remember, however, that the technological wizardry of molecular biology does not absolve investigators of the responsibilities for good research design.

The potential benefit from understanding the genetics of cardiovascular disease is remarkable7 and the genes of the renin-angiotensin system have been an area of considerable activity (figure). Clear answers have not yet crystallised and the task is far from simple.8

THE RENIN GENE

A logical contender for hypertension since the Goldblatt era, the renin gene was among the first genes to be cloned. However, available markers of the gene have not been linked with cardiovascular disease9 and interest has waned. Nevertheless, existing renin gene markers probably reflect a small amount of the individual variation in DNA in and around this gene. An important role for the renin gene cannot be discounted and new informative markers may rekindle interest.

THE ANGIOTENSINOGEN GENE

A better genetic prospect has been the angiotensinogen gene. Hypertension is of particular interest, as high angiotensinogen concentrations are found in young people with genetic predisposition to high blood pressure.10 The angiotensinogen gene has been linked in family studies with hypertension, and DNA variants associated with increased plasma angiotensinogen are found more commonly in hypertensive than normotensive individuals.11 Importantly, these relations have been largely confirmed in a variety of independent populations.12,13 However, two other studies have not shown any such links.14,15 There also appears to be a relation between the angiotensinogen gene and hypertension associated with pregnancy.16,17

The angiotensinogen gene may be of more general cardiovascular importance. Two recent reports, which used a Japanese22 and Caucasian23 patient population respectively, found that particular molecular variants of the angiotensinogen gene are found more commonly in patients with coronary atherosclerosis and myocardial infarction than in control subjects.

THE ACE GENE

DNA variation in the ACE gene is demonstrable by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as the presence or absence of a small stretch of DNA that defines the insertion (I) or deletion (D) variants (alleles) respectively.18 The D allele is associated with higher levels of ACE in plasma24 and lymphocytes,25 suggesting some genetic programming of the renin-angiotensin system. This does not appear to be the result of the DNA deletion per se, which probably serves as a marker for an adjacent mutation that affects ACE activity. Individuals carrying
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two copies of the D allele (DD genotype) appear to have faster conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II. However, ACE is not believed to be the rate limiting enzyme in the renin angiotensin cascade, and the ID polymorphism appears not to influence plasma angiotensin II or aldosterone.

There appears to be no relation between the ACE ID gene polymorphism and blood pressure. However, Cambien and colleagues reported that the DD genotype was more frequent in male Caucasian subjects with myocardial infarction (32%) than controls (27%). One Japanese study corroborated this association, reporting a DD frequency of 42% in cases vs 16% in controls. Several other attempts to link the DD genotype and myocardial infarction have been unsuccessful.

Even in the original report, the magnitude of the association between the DD genotype and myocardial infarction varied considerably between the four collaborating centres, some reporting no relation.

The ACE gene has attracted a great deal of attention since it was reported to be more frequent in subjects with electrocardiographic evidence of left ventricular hypertrophy. The D allele has also been implicated in cardiac dilatation in familial cardiomyopathy and after myocardial infarction, sudden death in cardiomyopathy, coronary artery stenosis after angioplasty, and in cardiovascular complications in diabetes. However, negative findings have also been reported for echocardiographic evidence of left ventricular hypertrophy and dilated cardiomyopathy.

THE ANGIOTENSIN TYPE 1 RECEPTOR GENE

This candidate has received attention recently. Some studies have shown a relation with hypertension, but there have been discrepancies between family and case-controlled approaches. The available receptor gene markers do not appear to show linkage to myocardial infarction. They have, however, been used in one study to subgroup individuals with the ACE D allele in a way that appeared to discriminate better the association between the ACE gene and myocardial infarction.

Inconsistency

Inconsistency and irreproducibility are recurring themes of molecular genetics. It is also true of genetic analysis of the renin-angiotensin system in cardiovascular disease. Many readers, having grasped a basic understanding of the technology of molecular biology and the potential for genetics, are starting to develop a certain scepticism. Can we explain the inconsistencies between studies, and what are the implications for our future practice? Differences in populations, the manner in which they are sampled, the characterisation of phenotypes, the nature of the genetic markers, and publication bias may all be relevant.

POPULATION EFFECTS

Populations differ in genes and environment. Migration effects and changes in coronary disease rates in stable populations over time reflect environmental influences. Inherited predisposition to coronary disease may not be expressed in very good (for example, healthy lifestyles) or very bad (for example, premature death from infectious disease) environments. Thus the difference between the French and Irish components of the ECTIM study may be related to important differences in lifestyle. It was only in the French, whose age adjusted mortality for coronary heart disease is one quarter that of the Irish, that a link between the ACE DD genotype and myocardial infarction could be demonstrated.

Population genetic differences may also be relevant. Cross-population comparisons show that there are important differences in the frequency of particular genetic markers, including those of the renin-angiotensin system. Contrasting genetic backgrounds have both quantitative and qualitative ramifications that may explain discrepancies in the literature. For example, the ACE gene DD genotype is found in only 2% of Samoans, but as many as 42% of Caucasians. The angiotensinogen TT genotype is found in 70% of African Americans, but only 17% of white Americans. Therefore, the variation between racial groups is greater than that reported in case-control comparisons of cardiovascular disease. Careful matching according to racial and population factors is important to minimise potential bias.

It is also possible that through evolutionary divergence a particular marker may no longer flag the presence of a mutation causing disease. This can occur if a marker and the mutation part company during chromosomal recombination at each generation. Different markers may be relevant in different populations.
Whether genetic or environmental, if population differences explain the observed inconsistencies, it is unwise to extrapolate the results from one community to another unless there is some evidence of uniformity across different groups.

POPULATION SAMPLING
To demonstrate linkage between a genetic marker (and the surrounding stretches of chromosome) and cardiovascular disease, it is necessary to study inheritance in families. Traditional multigenerational pedigree studies are difficult for conditions such as myocardial infarction which do not declare themselves until later in life. New methods using affected pairs of relatives are gaining favour but demand considerable expertise, time, and resources.

The most convenient sampling is the case-control study in which the frequency of a particular genetic marker is compared between two groups. Although not a strict test of inheritance, case-control studies are the ultimate test of the usefulness of genetic markers in the broader community.50 The statistical association between a marker and disease often rests on relatively small differences in the proportion of cases and controls with the marker. But statistical significance does not necessarily equate with biological significance. Uncontrolled influences of racial or environmental factors may cause significant bias. Spurious differences may be created and important differences obscured unless particular care is taken in sampling. It is not justifiable, either scientifically or ethically, to use blood stored for some other purpose as "control data".

Case-control studies have other limitations. For example, findings in 55 year old survivors of myocardial infarction tell us nothing about those who did not make it. As an explanation of increased numbers of myocardial infarction survivors with the ACE DD genotype,30 it is plausible that individuals with the ACE II genotype are predisposed to sudden death following coronary occlusion, artificially inflating the proportion of surviving cases with the DD genotype. Alternatively, the DD genotype might provide a non-specific protective effect against death rather than predisposition to ischaemic heart disease. The increased prevalence of the DD genotype in centenarians might support such a hypothesis.51 These possibilities are not discernable in cross sectional studies and require prospective research.

PHENOTYPE CHARACTERISATION
Inconsistency in genetic analysis may arise unless phenotypes are comparable. Phenotypes should be clinically relevant and measurable using standard criteria. For example, we cannot assume that all manifestations of coronary artery disease are the same. Atherosclerotic luminal narrowing on coronary angiography may not share the same genetic origins as myocardial infarction, which involves an interaction between thrombosis and atherosclerosis. The genetics of sudden coronary death may not mirror those of uncomplicated myocardial infarction. Genes associated with very high blood pressure31 may not necessarily be relevant to the more common clinical conditions of mild or moderate hypertension. Cardiac hypertrophy on electrocardiography52 defines a different group to that based on echocardiography.41

SUBGROUP ANALYSIS
Given the phenotypic diversity, do subgroups exist in which more precise genetic links can be defined? In the first study of the ACE gene and myocardial infarction,36 cases and controls were separated by body mass index, apolipoprotein B, and lipid lowering therapy into "high" and "low" coronary risk groups. The association between the DD genotype and myocardial infarction existed only in the low group.30 That the DD genotype should be detrimental only in the absence of other risk factors is unusual.32 The significance of this finding is also uncertain because the idiosyncratic "high/low" definition resulted in 74% of the controls categorised as "high" risk.

Subgrouping has also been based on molecular analysis. The combination of the DD genotype of the ACE gene and the C variant of the angiotensin type 1 receptor gene (AT/C) appeared to be more closely associated with myocardial infarction than either variant alone, although the AT/C was not itself associated with myocardial infarction.41

Attempts to define subgroups are understandable, but a note of caution is required. Unless subgroups are postulated a priori, their discovery may owe more to random variation than "potential" effect and only serve to generate rather than test hypotheses. Furthermore, subgrouping may be a case of diminishing returns. To be useful, genetic markers must not be so rare that screening becomes inefficient, nor so common that they are found in most people. Of 613 cases of myocardial infarction,50 the highlighted combinations of the ACE/DD genotype with "low" risk, and the ACE/DD genotype with the AT/C genotype comprised only 6% and 2% of cases respectively.30 41 The combination of the ACE/DD genotype and at least one C allele of the AT, gene was slightly more common, present in 18% of cases. However, it was also found in 13% of controls. As controls are at least twice as common as cases, a study of the general community would find this genetic duo more frequently associated with controls than cases. Such a combination would not really assist the practising physician to predict coronary risk. The expectations raised by terms such as "potential" risk factor do not seem to be justified.30

GENETIC MARKERS
It is easy to overlook the fact that molecular markers of the ACE, angiotensinogen, and angiotensin receptor genes are simply flags on the respective chromosomes. Disease mutations may be some distance from markers, even in adjacent genes that serve quite different functions independent of the renin-angiotensin system. The ability to resolve the
fine molecular details and pinpoint disease mutations is often very difficult. There is a need to complement the molecular approach to define the biochemical and physiological steps involving the renin-angiotensin system between DNA and disease. This not only strengthens the genetic case, but also provides new strategies for prevention and treatment.

PUBLICATION BIAS

It is not difficult to understand the reluctance of editors to publish negative studies. Because five out of 100 studies will produce a "statistically significant" result by chance alone, we must also foster the publication of studies that test rather than generate genetic hypotheses. Reproducibility both within and between populations has critical implications for our future practice.

Conclusion

Cardiovascular disease is of enormous public health importance. The potential magnitude and cost of genetic screening using markers of the renin-angiotensin system or other genes demand clear justification of the genetic approach. Resolving and understanding the inconsistency in the existing literature is an important step towards the time when we may include DNA analysis with blood pressure and cholesterol screening as part of a routine cardiovascular assessment, or fashion prevention and treatment on the basis of genotype.
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