Hypertension, hypertrophy, heart failure
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Heart failure presents a number of difficulties. Its very definition has proved taxing, and the clinical diagnosis is frequently incorrect. Patients with objective abnormalities of left ventricular function are not infrequently asymptomatic, hence treatment is often delayed. Even when a firm diagnosis is secured, only a minority of patients receive optimal medical treatment. Of concern is the increasing incidence and prevalence of heart failure in Western countries, with attendant high morbidity and mortality rates and escalating costs of management. The close association, as cause and effect, between hypertension and heart failure—well recognised in earlier years—appears to be dismissed or ignored by some observers, especially in the development of guidelines for the management of hypertension or heart failure.

Some of these matters will be discussed to emphasise the importance of long-standing hypertension as a preventable risk factor for left ventricular hypertrophy and heart failure in Western countries.

Heart failure: a public health problem

Heart failure affects around one to three per cent of the population in Western countries, and the incidence and prevalence are increasing.\(^1\) In fact, the one to three per cent prevalence quoted is likely to be an underestimate when one considers the difficulties in making a clinical diagnosis of heart failure\(^2\) and the high incidence of asymptomatic cardiac dysfunction,\(^3\) especially after acute myocardial infarction.\(^4\)

The economic cost of managing established heart failure is approximately one to two per cent of total health care expenditure.\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^10\) This enormous burden to patients and the taxpayer, accounted for largely by costs associated with hospital admission, is likely also to be an underestimate and to be increasing.

Hypertension as a risk factor for heart failure

Before the widespread availability of antihypertensive drugs, the commonest cause of heart failure in Western countries was hypertension. For example, 55% of patients who died from heart failure between 1910 and 1938 in a Minnesota study had, at necropsy, underlying hypertensive heart disease.\(^1\) The figure was 38% in the necropsy series of Wartman and Hellerstein carried out in Cleveland, Ohio, between 1935 and 1940.\(^2\)

There are few long term observational studies of sizable hypertensive populations receiving no, or limited, treatment. What studies exist\(^3\) indicate that congestive heart failure was the cause of death in more than 50% of hypertensive patients (table). Bechgaard determined that a lesser fraction (24%) of total deaths in 1938 hypertensive (blood pressure 160/100 mm Hg), middle aged (53 years old) Danish patients, followed for 40 years from 1932, was due to heart failure, but this exceeded deaths from stroke (17%) or myocardial infarction (16%).\(^4\) In the study that Perera reported in 1955, 50% of 500 essential hypertensive patients in New York followed until death in the absence of antihypertensive treatment developed heart failure.\(^5\) Here, as in the study of Bechgaard,\(^6\) heart failure was considered to have contributed to death in a much greater number of patients (38%) than did stroke (9%), myocardial infarction, and renal insufficiency (6%).

The association between hypertension and heart failure was shown by Smirk in 1957 to be “dose-related”,\(^7\) that is, the higher the arterial pressure, the greater was the risk of developing heart failure (fig 1). Workers in Framingham noted the same phenomenon 15 years later.\(^8\) Such a “dose” relation would be anticipated if the link between hypertension and heart failure was one of cause and effect.

The Framingham study, initiated in 1948, was pivotal in confirming an association between hypertension and the subsequent development of heart failure in Western society. Of 5192 subjects in the general population of Framingham followed for 16 years, 142 developed heart failure, of whom 75% had prior hypertension on routine biennial examinations.\(^9\) The original Framingham study was extended in 1971 to include children of the original participants and spouses of these children, in the Framingham Offspring Study.\(^10\) Among the total of 9405 participants followed from 1948 to 1988, heart failure developed in 652, of whom 70% of men and 78% of women...
had an antecedent diagnosis of hypertension. Forty per cent of patients had both coronary heart disease and hypertension before developing heart failure (fig 2). Of importance is the observation that there was no change in the frequency of hypertension as the attributable cause of heart failure during the four decades of observation. Additional data from Framingham reveal that even borderline isolated systolic hypertension was associated with an increased risk of developing heart failure.

The primacy of hypertension as the main risk factor for heart failure was confirmed in the Swedish study of men born in 1913, even though hypertensives were defined narrowly as those receiving antihypertensive drug treatment or who had blood pressure readings of 175/115 mm Hg or higher.

More recently, hypertension was found in the USA to be the strongest predictor of hospital admission for congestive heart failure in both blacks and whites over a median period of 9.5 years (starting from 1978–1984) after an insurance examination. This study suggested that differences in the prevalence of hypertension, and diabetes and obesity to a lesser extent, accounted for the contrasting hospital admission rates for heart failure between blacks and whites. Even in the 1970s and 1980s, therefore, hypertension appears to be the dominant risk factor for heart failure.

Underestimation of hypertension as a risk factor for heart failure

It is probable that the impact of hypertension as a cause of heart failure has been underestimated by available data. Why is this likely to be so?

First, patients with hypertension and impaired left ventricular function, whether systolic, diastolic, or mixed, are not infrequently asymptomatic and would not have been defined as having heart failure, particularly in the early studies noted above.

Second, heart failure generally takes a considerable period of time to develop in hypertensives—except in the elderly. The numerous antihypertensive drug trials lasting two to five years in young and middle aged patients carried out over the last three decades are too brief to reveal either the association of hypertension and heart failure in patients taking placebo, or the protective effects of antihypertensive drug treatment. Although the incidence of heart failure, compared to coronary heart disease and stroke, in placebo treated elderly hypertensives is difficult to define from published data, the protective effect of antihypertensive treatment in regard to heart failure is clear.

Third, while exceptions can occur, arterial pressure generally falls with the development of heart failure. Previously hypertensive patients presenting to medical attention for the first time because of heart failure will often, therefore, be normotensive or hypotensive. Predisposing hypertension remains unsuspected in a percentage of these patients, especially since the earlier hypertrophied left
ventricle can, through impaired coronary flow reserve with chronic myocardial ischaemia, transform into a dilated, failing ventricle.\(^2\)

Fourth, blood pressure declines after acute myocardial infarction.\(^3\) Here again, prior hypertension can remain unsuspected since the first clinical presentation is acute myocardial infarction in a patient with now normal or low blood pressure. The true impact of hypertension as a predisposing factor for acute myocardial infarction and subsequent heart failure can only be appreciated from long term observational studies in unselected populations—as in the Framingham study. Hospital based studies will, predictably and inevitably, provide a different picture.\(^4\)

Fifth, mortality after acute myocardial infarction in previously hypertensive men is almost three times that in men who had been normotensive.\(^5\) Those dying of heart failure soon after infarction are often hypertensive, and prior hypertension may again remain unsuspected.

Sixth, hypertensives have a disproportionately high rate of clinically unrecognised myocardial infarction.\(^6\) These patients are likely to seek medical attention when symptoms of cardiac failure develop—at which stage they may be normotensive, and the underlying disorder labelled as coronary heart disease. Yet again, only a long term Framingham-like study can reveal the likely contribution of longstanding hypertension to heart failure in this population of patients.

Finally, there is, experimentally, an association between left ventricular overload and arrhythmias,\(^7\) and, clinically, between hypertension, left ventricular hypertrophy, frequency of arrhythmias (including atrial fibrillation), and sudden death.\(^8\) The first clinical presentation in a patient’s evolution from hypertension to heart failure may be with a symptomatic arrhythmia, at which time the arterial pressure can be near normal. Established heart failure may supervene, with no awareness that hypertension was the primary predisposing disorder and arrhythmia simply a precipitating factor.

At the risk of excessive repetition, the true relation between longstanding hypertension and the development of left ventricular hypertrophy\(^9\) and heart failure can only be gauged with accuracy from long term studies of unselected populations “undistorted by the selective bias of hospital admission practices”.\(^10\) Recent and frequent claims that hypertension is now a lesser risk factor for heart failure than in earlier years seem unlikely and are not proven by the data presented.

### Does antihypertensive treatment prevent heart failure?

In that epidemiological associations may not necessarily indicate cause and effect, it is pertinent in the present discussion to inquire whether antihypertensive treatment has an impact on the development of heart failure.

The Veteran’s Administration Cooperative study reported in 1970 that antihypertensive drug treatment of males with diastolic pressures in hospital of 90–114 mm Hg prevented the subsequent development of heart failure (no cases in treated patients, 11 cases in those randomised to placebo).\(^15\)

A review of recent antihypertensive drug trials in the elderly shows a lesser frequency of clinical heart failure in patients receiving active antihypertensive therapy compared to those randomised to placebo. For example, there was a 63% reduction in severe congestive heart failure in the EWPHE\(^24\); 39 patients developed heart failure in the placebo arm of STOP\(^25\) compared to 19 in the actively treated group; and in SHEP, 109 placebo treated patients developed left ventricular failure compared to 56 in the active group.\(^26\)

Whereas this protective action of antihypertensive drug treatment is clear in the elderly and in severe grades of hypertension, it is less obvious from many recent studies. But cardiac failure, like mortality,\(^27\)\(^28\) is an end point of dubious usefulness for short term (two to five years) interventional studies in mild to moderate hypertension in the early and middle decades of life. Life expectancy—in large part through the development of heart failure—is shortened considerably in untreated young, mild essential hypertensives,\(^29\)\(^30\) but premature death may be decades away and, at least for heart failure, is unlikely to be detectable in brief interventional studies—except in the elderly.

If antihypertensive treatment is truly protective, how does one explain the paradox of increasing use of antihypertensive drug therapy—along with (in the USA) a decline in the population average for blood pressure\(^4\)—yet an increasing prevalence of, hospital admissions for, and mortality from heart failure?\(^31\)\(^32\) The answer is, most likely, that antihypertensive treatment postpones the development of clinical heart failure by some years or decades, but does not prevent it for ever.\(^33\) In addition, populations in Western countries are living longer and are therefore able to develop heart failure directly from the myocardial effects of hypertension, or following myocardial infarction.

### Differing perspectives on preventing heart failure

In view of the discussion so far, it is of interest to consider the recommendations of various individuals and the numerous “guidelines” published in recent years regarding treatment of hypertension and prevention of heart failure.

Framingham workers stated in 1972, “It is clear that a prophylactic approach (to preventing heart failure) is indicated and that the key to this is the early, vigorous and sustained control of hypertension”.\(^34\) They went on to state, “To await the onset of symptoms or evidence of target-organ involvement before treating hypertension seems imprudent”.\(^35\) They have reinforced this message repeatedly since,\(^36\)\(^37\) and others concur.\(^38\)

By contrast, recent guidelines for the management of hypertension, while acknowledging...
treatment benefits are probably being underestimated, focus firmly on coronary heart disease and stroke as the potentially preventable complications,64,65 basing this perspective largely on short term antihypertensive drug studies in middle aged patients.66 The extreme end of the spectrum is enunciated by the New Zealand guidelines, which suggest that antihypertensive drug treatment for hypertension (150–170 mm Hg systolic and/or 90–100 mm Hg diastolic) should, in general, be given only if the risk of a major cardiovascular disease event in five years is more than about 10%.51 The focus is on a five year time interval for stroke and myocardial infarction; heart failure receives no substantial mention.51 These recommendations would leave the vast majority of young and middle aged hypertensives without drug treatment for years, even decades.

Assessments of the cost-effectiveness of treating hypertension also tend to ignore long term implications and heart failure in particular, concentrating again on prevention of stroke and coronary heart disease from short term antihypertensive drug trials.52–54 The World Hypertension League, at least, is aware that “the full economic burden of hypertension is incompletely documented since the attributable risk of hypertension to diseases other than stroke and coronary heart disease is uncertain”.55 Some commentators, while lamenting the high economic costs of treating established heart failure, fail to mention the possibility that the early diagnosis and treatment of hypertension might be cost-effective.56 The longer term view, discussed recently by Zanchetti, should be considered.40 Clearly, the perspective of individual commentators and committees is dependent upon whether they focus dominantly on brief antihypertensive drug trials of middle aged patients, or on data noted earlier in this paper, especially those from Framingham. Only the latter emphasise the link over the long term between hypertension and its complications, including heart failure. The link between hypertension and end stage renal failure, another disorder of interest to both clinicians and economists, likewise needs to be considered in the development of management guidelines for hypertension, if the long term view is felt to be important.57

The future: selecting hypertensive patients for early treatment

There is unlikely ever to be a placebo controlled trial of antihypertensive treatment in middle aged patients lasting decades that is capable of defining clearly the protective effect against heart failure (and other complications of hypertension); uncertainties will remain regarding which patients with mild or moderate essential hypertension, particularly in the early and middle decades of life, should receive drug treatment.

The presence of left ventricular hypertrophy in hypertension has powerful prognostic implications for the development of heart failure, as well as arrhythmias, sudden death, and myocardial ischaemia.58,60 Furthermore, regression of left ventricular hypertrophy by antihypertensive therapy is associated with a reduced risk of cardiovascular events, compared to a lack of regression.61 A case might be made, therefore, for estimation of left ventricular mass by echocardiography as a guide to drug treatment in all hypertensive individuals where advisability of treatment is otherwise uncertain.62 Such a policy has major implications since 12–20% of mild essential hypertensives and 50% of mild to moderate hypertensives have left ventricular hypertrophy.59,63 A bonus of widespread use of echocardiography is the detection of abnormal left ventricular function, either systolic or diastolic, as a further guide to implementation of antihypertensive drug treatment.

Hypertensive patients with increased circulating concentrations of natriuretic peptides (or more likely their propeptides, which have slower plasma clearance rates and prolonged half lives in the circulation) may be entering an early stage of atrial distension or ventricular decompensation.64 Use of these markers has been proposed for the diagnosis of heart failure,65 and as a guide to angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor treatment after acute myocardial infarction.66 Their use, perhaps along with echocardiography, in deciding when to introduce antihypertensive treatment, might repay scrutiny.

Other circulating factors could provide a guide for antihypertensive treatment, although available data are preliminary. Procollagen peptides, for example, might reflect increased myocardial synthesis of type I and type III collagens in the hypertensive state.67 Attenuation of heightened collagen synthesis within the left ventricle by early antihypertensive treatment68 seems a laudable goal; however, more information is needed.

Antihypertensive drugs, left ventricular hypertrophy, and heart failure

If early and vigorous antihypertensive treatment seems desirable with a view to preventing long term complications, especially heart failure, is one group of antihypertensive agents preferable to others? No answer based on satisfactory study data exists.

In theory, antihypertensive drugs which block growth promoting and sodium retaining activities could provide more protection against heart failure than drugs with equal antihypertensive effects but which stimulate growth promoting and sodium retaining activities. There is widespread speculation that ACE inhibitors (and angiotensin II receptor blockers) might more successfully prevent and reverse the cardiac consequences,69–72 and perhaps the vascular effects of hypertension73–77 rather than alternative drug groups—especially those which activate the renin-angiotensin and sympathetic nervous systems. Hope for such speculation comes from evidence, still short of being definitive, that some agents, especially ACE inhibitors, induce more rapid and possibly more complete reversal of left ventricular
hypertrophy than other drug groups.  

Seemingly contradictory results from "TOMES" are understandable since it was a study ill equipped to address this particular issue.  

Additional support for the concept comes from SOLVD, in which a retrospective analysis suggested that ACE inhibition reduced morbidity and mortality, and also hospital admissions for heart failure, when compared to placebo in hypertensive patients with impaired left ventricular systolic function.  

Although a percentage of placebo patients received diuretic therapy, this was not a formal diuretic versus ACE inhibitor trial, so there is no definite comparative information.

Overview

Heart failure in Western countries is a common, debilitating disorder with a high short term mortality. It accounts for one to two per cent of total health care budgets. The main risk factors identified in the first half of this century, and from subsequent long term population studies, is hypertension. Antihypertensive treatment delays, by years and perhaps decades, the onset of heart failure. Recent published recommendations and cost-benefit analyses for antihypertensive drug treatment largely ignore the potential benefits in the long term from delaying the onset or preventing heart failure (and other disorders such as chronic renal failure).

Consideration should be directed to the early identification of hypertensive patients with evidence of cardiac abnormalities, perhaps using a combination of echocardiography and plasma markers of myocardial dysfunction. Comparative effectiveness of the different antihypertensive drug groups in preventing and reversing the cardiovascular complications of hypertension needs to be clarified. In the case of systolic heart failure and chronic renal failure, such comparative antihypertensive drug studies will need to be long term, or in elderly hypertensives, to give useful information.
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