Impact of early accelerated dose tissue plasminogen activator on in-hospital patency of the infarcted vessel in patients with acute right ventricular infarction
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Abstract

Objective—To assess the efficacy of early accelerated dose tissue plasminogen activator on in-hospital patency of the infarct related artery in patients with inferior myocardial infarction with and without right ventricular involvement.

Design—Single centre prospective assessment before discharge of infarct related vessel patency after early thrombolysis.

Setting—Tertiary cardiac referral centre at a university hospital.

Patients and methods—90 consecutive unselected patients with acute myocardial infarction, of whom 35 (39%) had electrocardiographic evidence of right ventricular involvement (TIMI segment elevation greater than 0.1 mV in right precordial lead V4R), were studied. All patients received accelerated dose tissue plasminogen activator 100 mg within six hours from the onset of symptoms and had control angiography before discharge.

Main outcome measures—Infarct related coronary artery patency using the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) grading system before discharge. Incidence of prolonged systemic hypotension, sinus bradycardia, complete atrioventricular block, and ventricular tachyarrhythmia during early hospitalisation.

Results—Despite aspirin and bolus heparinisation before thrombolysis and high dose heparinisation thereafter for at least 48 hours the infarct related artery was more likely to be occluded (TIMI 0 or 1 flow) in patients with right ventricular involvement than in those without (69 v 29%, P < 0.001), as shown by control angiography performed a mean of 12.8 days after thrombolysis. These findings may be explained, at least in part, by predominant involvement of the proximal right coronary artery (66 v 31%, P < 0.05) and a low cardiac output syndrome, being indirectly reflected by a high incidence of prolonged hypotension (26 v 7%, P = 0.02), bradycardia (34 v 14%, P = 0.03), and complete atrioventricular block (37 v 5%, P = 0.0001).

Conclusion—Primary angioplasty should be considered as the treatment of choice in patients with acute inferior infarction with right ventricular involvement because of the high failure rate of thrombolysis.
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Thrombolytic treatment has been shown to improve the clinical course of acute myocardial infarction in large randomised trials.1, 2 Controversy exists, however, in some categories of patients, including those with late presentation, elderly patients, and those in cardiogenic shock, while the efficacy of thrombolysis has never been evaluated in others, such as those with right ventricular infarction (RVI).

RVI represents a highly prevalent high risk subgroup among patients with inferior infarction,3, 4 with a reported frequency of between 30% and 50%, as diagnosed by electrocardiography.5, 6 Typical features of RVI include proximal obstruction of the right coronary artery7, 8 and occurrence of a cardiogenic shock-like low output syndrome.9 The first feature represents a major determinant for failed early reperfusion10 or late reocclusion,11, 12 while the second may affect pharmacological reperfusion by impairment of flow mediated delivery of the fibrinolytic agent.13, 14

The aim of the present study was to evaluate in-hospital patency of the infarcted vessel in a consecutive unselected series of patients with RVI at a mean of 12.8 days after thrombolysis. The effect of conditions commonly associated with a low output syndrome, such as the frequency of prolonged systemic hypotension, bradycardia, a high degree of atrioventricular block, and ventricular tachyarrhythmia during the acute phase of hospitalisation, on in-hospital arterial patency were prospectively assessed.

Material and methods

Patients

During a 24 month period from April 1993 to May 1995, we prospectively studied all patients with acute inferior myocardial infarction who were admitted to the intensive care unit, University Hospital of Luebeck. Acute myocardial infarction was diagnosed, according to World Health Organisation criteria, by typical chest pain lasting at least 30 minutes, ST segment elevation in at least two of the electrocardiographic leads II, III, and aVF, or an R/S ratio greater than 1 in V1 or V2, and an increase in creatine kinase activity of more than.
Table 1 Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>RVI present (n = 35)</th>
<th>RVI absent (n = 55)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age (years)</td>
<td>60 (11)</td>
<td>63 (9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous infarctions (%)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) peak CK (IU/L)</td>
<td>1024 (567)</td>
<td>869 (579)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) CRMB (IU/L)</td>
<td>90 (51)</td>
<td>89 (56)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) systolic blood pressure at admission (mm Hg)</td>
<td>120 (31)</td>
<td>132 (29)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) heart rate at admission (beats/min)</td>
<td>69 (23)</td>
<td>75 (21)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) onset symptoms to treatment (hrs)</td>
<td>22 (186)</td>
<td>40 (180)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) time to coronary arteriography (days)</td>
<td>13 (7)</td>
<td>13 (9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CK, creatine kinase; RVI, right ventricular infarction.

Table 2 Angiographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>RVI present (n = 35)</th>
<th>RVI absent (n = 55)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multivessel disease (≥ 2VD)</td>
<td>18 (51)</td>
<td>27 (49)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant LAD</td>
<td>16 (46)</td>
<td>22 (40)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal RCA</td>
<td>23 (66)</td>
<td>17 (31)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMI grade 0 + 1</td>
<td>24 (69)</td>
<td>16 (29)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMI grade 2 + 3</td>
<td>11 (31)</td>
<td>39 (71)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) LVEF (%)</td>
<td>50 (14)</td>
<td>57 (14)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are number of patients (%) unless otherwise specified.
VD, vessel disease; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; RCA, right coronary artery; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; RVI, right ventricular infarction.

Grade 0 or 1 signified a closed artery, and grade 2 or 3 an open artery with normal or near normal blood flow.

STATISTICAL EVALUATION
Means (SD) were calculated for continuous variables and absolute and relative frequencies for discrete variables. Group differences in baseline characteristics and angiographic data were examined by a two-sample t test for continuous variables and the \( \chi^2 \) test for discrete variables. All tests of significance were two tailed and used a significance level of \( P < 0.05 \).

Results

PATIENTS CHARACTERISTICS
A total of 187 consecutive patients (120 men and 67 women of mean age (SD) 66.5 (12.6) years) with acute inferior myocardial infarction were recruited. Thirty patients were excluded, 69 for late presentation, 21 for contraindications to thrombolysis, and seven for primary coronary angioplasty (PTCA).

Ninety-five patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria for the angiographic study, of whom 55 had inferior or posterior myocardial infarction without right ventricular involvement. Thirty-five patients (39%) had electrocardiographic evidence of RVI at admission. Table 1 shows the similarity in baseline characteristics for both groups.

Thrombolytic treatment was started within 4–0 (3–0) hours from the onset of chest pain. Coronary angiography was performed after a mean interval of 12–8 (range 1–22) days after admission.

ANGIOGRAPHIC DATA
There was no significant difference in the left ventricular ejection fraction between patients with or without RVI. The severity or distribution of coronary artery atherosclerosis was comparable in both groups. Coronary multivessel disease or significant stenosis of the left anterior descending artery was not associated with the presence of RVI (table 2).

RVI was associated with obstruction of the right coronary artery proximal to the origin of the right ventricular branch, while the majority of patients without right ventricular involvement had obstruction of either the left circumflex artery or the right coronary artery distal to the origin of the right ventricular branch.

Coronary occlusion, defined as TIMI grade 0 or 1 flow, was present in 69% of patients (24 of 35) with RVI but in only 29% (16 of 55) of those without right ventricular involvement. Normal coronary flow, defined as TIMI grade 3, was present in 36 of 55 (65%) patients without right ventricular involvement, but only in 10 of 35 (29%) patients with RVI.

ANALYSIS OF IN-HOSPITAL COMPLICATIONS COMMONLY ASSOCIATED WITH LOW OUTPUT SYNDROME
Patients with RVI had a significantly higher probability to sustain in-hospital complications associated with low output syndrome than those without right ventricular involve-
Table 3  Frequency of major in-hospital events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>RVI present (n = 35)</th>
<th>RVI absent (n = 55)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systemic hypotension (&lt; 100 mm Hg)</td>
<td>9 (26)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradycardia (&lt; 60 beats/min)</td>
<td>12 (34)</td>
<td>8 (15)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>6 (17)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobitz type 2 AV block</td>
<td>7 (20)</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete AV block</td>
<td>13 (37)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular tachycardia</td>
<td>14 (40)</td>
<td>14 (25)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular fibrillation</td>
<td>7 (20)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial reinfarction</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reischaemia</td>
<td>14 (40)</td>
<td>16 (29)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are number of patients (%) unless otherwise specified. RVI, right ventricular infarction; AV, atrioventricular.

Discussion

The chief finding of this trial is that treatment with accelerated rt-PA seems to be associated with a substantially lower than expected in-hospital patency for patients with RVI compared with those without right ventricular inferior myocardial infarction. Although patients with RVI represent a highly prevalent subgroup among those with acute inferior myocardial infarction, no previous study has prospectively evaluated the effect of thrombolytic treatment on vessel patency.

By date, only two studies have raised the problem of vessel patency after thrombolytic treatment for RVI. The first study, a retrospective data analysis, was performed by the TIMI investigators involving 1110 patients with acute myocardial infarction. Patients with right ventricular dysfunction, diagnosed by predischarge radionuclide ventriculography, were identified as having an occluded right coronary artery at early coronary angiography obtained at a mean of nine hours after admission. This finding led to the conclusion that effective thrombolysis might prevent right ventricular infarction. A discrepancy between the frequency of right ventricular dysfunction of 6% as diagnosed by radionuclide ventriculography and that of 30–50% commonly found by electrocardiography, however, raises suspicion that the prevalence of right ventricular dysfunction has been underestimated in the TIMI study.

The second study involved 22 patients with inferior myocardial infarction who died soon after receiving thrombolytic treatment. The postmortem examination showed that the absence of coronary reperfusion, diagnosed by a longer mean interval from rt-PA infusion to peak creatine kinase level (19 v 11 hours, P < 0.03), a lower frequency of haemorrhagic necrosis (25 v 71.4%, P < 0.04), and a higher frequency of luminal thrombus in the infarct related artery (25 v 21.4%, P = 0.054) were associated with evidence of RVI. It was concluded that successful thrombolysis might prevent RVI.

All other studies, each involving no more than 200 patients with inferior myocardial infarction, made an indirect assessment of the effect of thrombolytic treatment, focusing on the improvement in right ventricular function and survival. Interestingly, the data conflict, even with respect to beneficial effects of thrombolysis in terms of right heart function or survival. Verani et al7 showed that improvement in right ventricular function was independent of reopening of the infarct related artery. This finding was supported by Roth et al8 who reported on 65 patients with predominant right ventricular involvement. Patients had a beneficial course and showed spontaneous improvement of right ventricular function independent of early thrombolytic treatment. Spontaneous improvement has even been reported for patients with predominant right ventricular dysfunction who did not receive thrombolytic treatment.9 In contrast, Schuler et al10 showed rapid improvement of an initially depressed right ventricular function and improved survival in 12 of 19 patients who had successful recanalisation. Zehender et al11 showed a significant reduction in mortality and in-hospital complications associated with thrombolytic treatment among patients with an inferior myocardial infarction that was almost exclusively restricted to those with RVI.

In our study, pretreatment diagnosis of RVI was performed with electrocardiography to allow a prospective evaluation of late vessel patency after thrombolysis.

Right precordial electrocardiography is the most readily available, simplest non-invasive technique for the detection of acute RVI. From the electrocardiographic criteria used to diagnose RVI, the highest accuracy is offered by the criterion of ST segment elevation of at least 0.1 mV in the right precordial lead V4R. Sensitivity ranges from 83–100%, specificity from 68–95%, and diagnostic accuracy from 70–93%. The incidence of RVI in the present study using significant ST elevation in lead V4R was 39%; a consistent finding with the commonly assessed 30–50% incidence.

An accelerated rt-PA treatment in combination with pretreatment bolus heparinisation and antiplatelet treatment followed by aPTT adjusted continuous heparinisation for at least 48 hours was chosen to provide the most advantageous thrombolytic regimen. We tried to exclude a selection bias by including consecutive patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria and received thrombolysis, regardless of age, prior myocardial infarction, cardiogenic shock, or uncomplicated resuscitation, thus including even high risk patients. A refer-
ral bias was ruled out by excluding patients who were referred from other hospitals after failed thrombolysis. Because of the timing of control coronary angiography after a mean of 12.8 days, however, we were unable to provide any angiographic data regarding recanalisation or reocclusion rates.

In our study, the late patency rate was found to be as low as 29% in patients with RVI, in contrast to 67% in those without right ventricular involvement. There is an obvious lack of long term benefit from lysis as suggested by a late patency rate of 29%, which is comparable to late patency rates in patients with infarcts receiving only high dose heparin and aspirin.26

Angiographic data from the GUSTO trial25 showed that normal coronary flow was present in only 54% of patients after successful thrombolysis with an accelerated dose regimen of rt-PA. In addition, reocclusion has been identified in up to 30% of patients after three months, being most frequent in the first week after lysis, thus leaving less than 50% of patients with successfully sustained full reperfusion.27-29 In addition, two features of RVI are known adversely to affect coronary vessel patency. First, reocclusion after lysis is more common when the right coronary is involved in the infarct.11 12 Second, prolonged hypotension, bradycardia, complete atrioventricular block, frequently encountered during RVI, result in a low cardiac output syndrome, thus impairing flow mediated delivery of the fibrinolytic agents.14 In support of the timing hypothesis, the incidence of a low output syndrome was present in as many as 73% of patients with RVI.4 The authors state that the high incidence of bradycardia may account in part for the low cardiac output syndrome. In addition, low heart rate may acutely aggravate right ventricular ischaemia, reduce right ventricular contractility and compliance, and decrease cardiac output as a result of an ineffective Frank-Starling mechanism.30 Concomitantly, thrombolysis has been found to be less effective in the setting of cardiogenic shock or hypotension.31 32

In our study the incidence of prolonged hypotension, bradycardia, complete atrioventricular block, and ventricular fibrillation was significantly higher in patients with RVI than in those without. Frequencies with which major complications are encountered in the setting of RVI commonly range from 13-48% for high degree atrioventricular block,3-4 13 from 24-41% for shock with low output,4-6 from 16-22% for ventricular fibrillation,4 8 and approximately 60% for hypotension with bradycardia.6

In contrast, patients in whom reperfusion failed to restore patency had a higher rate of morbidity, including sustained hypotension, a high degree of atrioventricular block,12 and sustained ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation,14 than those with successfully sustained patency.

Therefore, the cause-effect relation among these particular haemodynamic sequelae and vessel patency is difficult to distinguish.

Conclusions
Our findings suggest that rt-PA 100 mg (accelerated dose) fail to achieve an acceptable late patency in patients with RVI. The exact pathomechanism remains unclear, as we were unable to assess early patency and reocclusion rates. Whether other thrombolytic regimens, including non-fibrin selective agents, are more efficacious in the setting of RVI is a question to be assessed in further studies.

While the role of mechanical reperfusion is still controversial for the general population, primary angioplasty may be the preferential treatment for patients in certain high risk subgroups including those with RVI. This hypothesis is primarily based on our own, yet unpublished data, and a recently published retrospective study.9 Kinn et al4 showed that PTCA was successful in 17 of 27 patients and led to prompt haemodynamic improvement in right ventricular function, even after a mean interval to treatment beyond six hours.

However, a concordant benefit has not been confirmed for rescue PTCA of the right coronary artery. Two large scale series33 34 raised the possibility that rescue of the right coronary could be detrimental compared with rescue of the left anterior descending artery. Patients sustained significantly more in procedure adverse effects, including ventricular fibrillation, hypotension, severe bradycardia, Mobitz type 2, or complete atrioventricular block as well as abrupt closure, and subsequent reocclusion. The mechanism is unclear but may be related to an exaggerated Bezold-Jarisch reflex, distal shunting of thrombi into the microcirculation, or reperfusion injury.

In conclusion, this provocative report provides the first preliminary evidence that early conventional thrombolysis in patients with RVI fails to achieve in-hospital arterial patency. Further controlled studies are mandatory to confirm these findings and to assess the benefit of thrombolysis versus primary coronary angioplasty.

Limitations
Several shortcomings of our study need to be emphasised. First, the timing of control angiography was not suitable for the assessment of early infarct vessel patency or reocclusion rates. Our intention was to obtain as much information as possible about vessel patency, avoiding more than one angiographic procedure in the same patient. Therefore, elective coronary angiography representing the less hazardous approach for the individual patient was performed before discharge unless emergency angiography was required earlier.

Second, the study was limited by a relatively small sample size. Although baseline characteristics between both infarct groups were not statistically different, theoretically type 2 error could account for differences in outcome. In view of very small sample sizes generally evaluated in most previous studies,15-18 the largest group not exceeding more than 100 patients with RVI, our sample size is competitive and represents the only available prospective study...


