Central role of echocardiography in the diagnosis and assessment of heart failure
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Heart failure is a common condition that is becoming more prevalent. It could be defined as the inability of the heart to deliver a satisfactory output at normal filling pressures. It is a complex clinical syndrome, usually marked by progressive breathlessness on effort, and often including systemic venous congestion with resulting oedema and hepatic congestion. Clinical heart failure has a poor prognosis, and consumes very large amounts of health care resources. There are widespread inaccuracies in diagnosis when clinical methods alone are used, and many patients in whom the diagnosis is made in primary care prove not to have the condition on further investigation. There is some evidence of increased use of echocardiography in heart failure in UK hospitals.

It has become clear from recent studies that pharmacological interventions significantly improve outcomes in clinical heart failure due to left ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction, in asymptomatic LV dysfunction, and following myocardial infarction. Echocardiography is a non-invasive technique well suited to the evaluation of LV function, and most echocardiographic departments find that estimation of LV function occupies an increasing proportion of their workload.

Community studies of LV systolic dysfunction by echocardiography suggest a prevalence of 1.5–1.8% in symptomatic patients aged 25–74 years, depending on whether an ejection fraction of 0.3 or 0.35 was used as the criterion, with many more than that being asymptomatic.

Who should have echocardiography?
Almost all patients with symptoms or signs of heart failure, including those postmyocardial infarction, should have an echocardiographic evaluation as early as possible in their clinical course (table 1). There may be a few patients in whom, because of frailty or other complex pathology, the investigation would add little to management. However, many drugs (such as angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, digoxin, and potent diuretics) used in the treatment of heart failure can have serious consequences when inappropriately used—for example, in patients with severe valve stenoses. Current costs of ACE inhibitor treatment are at least £100 per year per patient. Breathlessness is frequently multifactorial, especially in elderly patients, and echocardiography is often helpful in identifying the contribution of the heart to the total symptom load.

Table 1 Who should have echocardiography?
Almost all patients with symptoms or signs of heart failure Echocardiography is more accurate than clinical judgment combined with chest x rays

Left ventricular systolic function may be found to be worse than expected from clinical and chest radiological parameters. Conversely, in some patients LV contraction at rest is shown to be unexpectedly good, prompting a search for evidence of diastolic dysfunction or reconsideration of the diagnosis.

What echocardiographic imaging data should be collected?
The heart should be imaged from parasternal and apical “windows” routinely; if no satisfactory images can be obtained a subcostal view often helps. Cross sectional images should be recorded onto videotape. Reliance on M mode imaging or frozen cross sectional images alone is unsatisfactory.

LEFT VENTRICULAR GLOBAL SYSTOLIC FUNCTION
If acceptable parasternal views can be obtained, it is useful to record LV chamber dimensions routinely and to measure wall thickness (table 2). In ischaemic heart disease, where wall motion abnormalities may be present, it is not satisfactory to use M mode estimations of ejection fraction. Major wall motion abnormalities should be noted. Image acuity can be variable from any “window”, and it is important to be honest about the accuracy of data obtained, as treatment decisions may be based on them. Provided visualisation of endocardium from apical views is good, it is useful to calculate ejection fraction (EF), using any of the well established methods. If there are major wall motion abnormalities, measurements of EF should be made in both four chamber and two chamber views. If LV function is clearly normal, there is little point in calculating EF. EF measurements should not be made if visualisation of the endocardium is insufficient; it is better then to describe global ventricular systolic function in more general terms. EF measurements made with echocardiography have been compared to angio- graphic and isotope methods, and it is clear that there is no ideal method for taking this measurement. However, prognostic information after myocardial infarction can be
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Quantitation of global left ventricular function and size

Description of regional wall motion

Echo and Doppler assessment of valve function

Description of right ventricular function

Aortic valves should be assessed, if possible. Wall motion scores have been shown to correlate acceptably with measurements of EF, and can be employed when it is not possible to visualise the endocardium in one viewing plane; they were used as a major end point in the TRACE (trandolopril cardiac evaluation) study.21

There is continuing controversy as to whether measurements of EF should be made, or whether rough “eyeball” estimates of LV global systolic function should be reported instead. Clinicians usually want this information on which to base treatment decisions, but need to realise the limitations of EF measurement, by whatever method, and not base treatment decisions purely on numbers. Conversely, echocardiographers need to be aware of clinician’s needs for information, and to be as accurate as possible. Inexperienced operators tend to underestimate ventricular volumes. Audit of interobserver variability and reproducibility should be a routine part of a department’s activity. There is good evidence that these measurements can be made with a very acceptable degree of accuracy. Interobserver variation is generally greater than intraserver variation.22

**LEFT VENTRICULAR REGIONAL SYSTOLIC FUNCTION**

Major wall motion abnormalities seen should always be described. Formal wall motion scores are often useful where measured, although they are most frequently used as research measures. Recommendations have been published for recording these findings.23

**RIGHT VENTRICULAR SIZE AND FUNCTION**

Right ventricular size and function are usually described qualitatively. Normal ranges for right ventricular dimensions have been published.24

**VALVE FUNCTION**

An assessment of the patient’s valvular function should be undertaken by a mixture of imaging and Doppler ultrasound. Valves should be inspected in all available views. Abnormal valves should be assessed by continuous wave Doppler. Aortic valves should be assessed, if abnormal, to determine peak and mean pressure gradients and valve area.25–27 Mitral valve area can be estimated from the rate of diastolic pressure decline.28 Mitral stenosis may be further evaluated by direct planimetry of the valve area from the short axis view. It is important to appreciate that valve gradients will not reflect the severity of stenosis in patients with low cardiac output. In such situations, it is better to calculate effective valve area using the “continuity equation”.26,27 Doppler ultrasound is extremely sensitive for the detection of regurgitant lesions. Clinically unsuspected mitral and aortic regurgitant jets are frequently seen and may be readily detected using colour flow mapping or spectral Doppler. Small jets with low intensity on continuous wave evaluation are unlikely to represent clinically significant disease. A broad jet penetrating deeply into the receiving chamber combined with an intense continuous wave Doppler signal is likely to indicate a haemodynamically significant regurgitant lesion. Mitral regurgitation is very frequently seen in patients with dilated ventricles, and is often more extensive than can be appreciated by auscultation alone.

**PULMONARY ARTERY PRESSURE**

Pulmonary artery pressure can also often be estimated, if patients have enough tricuspid regurgitation to provide a complete flow/velocity “envelope”.30-31

**LEFT VENTRICULAR DIASTOLIC FUNCTION**

There is emerging literature about the ability of cross sectional imaging and pulsed Doppler to appraise LV diastolic function.32 These measurements are of great interest, but few of them are sufficiently advanced for routine use. In particular, the familiar E/A ratio rises with age33-34 and provides insufficient data from which to draw conclusions about LV global diastolic function. A short early deceleration time, however, is associated with a poorer prognosis, and adds additional prognostic information to that provided by measurements of systolic function.35 This is an area of rapid development; new methods, such as analysis of LV filling propagation, also look promising.36

How much data should be collected routinely?

A minimum dataset should be recorded routinely. Other measures that are sometimes useful, according to availability of time and the clinical situation, are shown in table 3.

Accuracy and reliability of echocardiographic data

Echocardiography is now established as an accurate and reproducible technique. There is no room for complacency, however, and regular audit of standards should be an essential part of every unit’s activity. The British Society of Echocardiography has published guidelines for training and accreditation in echocardiography for all staff (whether technicians or medically qualified) who perform or report echocardiographic examinations.37 It is important that staff training is adequately funded and that training and audit measures are integrated into each unit’s programme.

How may echocardiographic findings guide management?

**IDENTIFICATION OF POOR GLOBAL SYSTOLIC FUNCTION**

Clinical data alone can predict ACE inhibitor benefit in severe heart failure2 and after myocardial infarction,30 but clinical and chest x ray findings underestimate the prevalence of
LV dysfunction. The studies of left ventricular dysfunction (SOLVD)\(^1\) and survival and ventricular enlargement (SAVE)\(^2\) trials demonstrated significant reductions in mortality and other end points when patients with poor LV function were treated with ACE inhibitors. Thresholds of 0.35–0.4 for EF, measured by gated radionuclide ventriculography, were used in these studies. Caution is appropriate in extrapolating these findings to echocardiographic measurements, but it has to be recognised that the only measurements of LV systolic function available to the majority of UK patients will be echocardiographic. Given caution and professional practice on the part of echo departments, it is reasonable to use echocardiographic data in this way (table 4). Clinicians need to take a global view of patients, and be aware of their local department’s accuracy.

### IDENTIFICATION OF ISOLATED DIASTOLIC DYSFUNCTION

It has been recognised for more than 10 years that some patients with the clinical syndrome of heart failure appear to have well preserved systolic function on echocardiography, although there is not universal agreement as to the frequency of this finding.\(^3\)–\(^6\) These patients should be evaluated with great care. Diastolic function should be assessed and the diagnosis should not be immediately dismissed. The optimum treatment for these patients is still a matter of dispute. Pericardial disease should also be considered in the differential diagnosis in these patients. Respiratory variation in mitral and tricuspid inflow patterns, with a short deceleration time, may be helpful in the differentiation from restrictive myocardial disease, as may tissue Doppler imaging.\(^7\)

### EMBOLIC RISK

Assessment of embolic risk in patients with heart failure, and the resulting consideration of warfarin therapy, may be assisted by echocardiography. Embolic risk can be assessed by a combination of clinical variables and echocardiographic features. Intracardiac thrombi, a large left atrium, poor left LV function and increased LV chamber size, and mitral stenosis have all been associated with increased risk.\(^8\)–\(^10\)

This information has to be considered alongside the risk posed by anticoagulation to the (often quite elderly) patient. Electrical cardioversion may be attempted. Poor LV function, a very dilated atrium, or severe valve lesions usually indicate that the chance of success is less, and risk of recurrence of atrial fibrillation afterwards is higher.\(^11\)

### NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY POTENTIALLY APPLICABLE TO HEART FAILURE

Automated wall detection algorithms\(^12\)\(^\text{–}\)\(^13\) can be used to record rate of change of volume in a graphical way, similar to gated radionuclide ventriculography, and hence aim to provide more precise measurement of EF measurement; they also allow determination of additional diastolic parameters. These methods only work satisfactorily on very good quality images.

---

**Table 3: How much data should be collected routinely?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum dataset</th>
<th>Often also helpful</th>
<th>Sometimes applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left ventricle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M mode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV chamber dimension at the level of the mitral leaflet tips</td>
<td>Calculation of fractional shortening</td>
<td>Calculation of LV mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of septum and posterior wall</td>
<td>Cardiac output measurement by Doppler (or stroke distance)</td>
<td>Estimation of LV dP/dt max from the mitral regurgitant Doppler signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional</td>
<td>Wall motion score</td>
<td>If LV function appears normal, a measurement of mitral valve and pulmonary vein inflow parameters may be useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF where possible, otherwise a qualitative description of LV systolic function</td>
<td>Colour flow search for tricuspid valves</td>
<td>Estimation of PA end diastolic pressure when possible and pulmonary regurgitant velocity and the behaviour of the IVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of major wall motion abnormalities</td>
<td>Inspections of aortic, mitral and tricuspid valves</td>
<td>Estimation of IVC parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous wave estimation of valve gradients if valve appears abnormal</td>
<td>Estimation of PA end diastolic pressure from pulmonary regurgitant velocity and the behaviour of the IVC</td>
<td>RV dimension measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right ventricle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative description of right ventricular size and function</td>
<td>Estimation of PA end diastolic pressure from pulmonary regurgitant velocity and the behaviour of the IVC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording of tricuspid regurgitant velocity when possible and estimation of RV systolic pressure</td>
<td>Continuity equation to assess AV area if output low and valve appears calcified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation of RA pressure from IVC parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVA, atrioventricular; EF, ejection fraction; IVC, inferior vena cava; LV, left ventricle; PA, pulmonary artery; RA, right atrium; RV, right ventricle.
Transoesophageal echocardiography obtains excellent pictures, even in subjects whose transthoracic images may be uninterpretable. It visualises posterior structures such as the left atrial appendage, which cannot normally be seen on routine recordings. Transoesophageal echocardiography should be considered if left atrial masses, bacterial endocarditis, or clots are suspected. However, it is more complex and “semi-invasive” than transthoracic echocardiography, and cannot be considered a routine investigation in heart failure at this time.

Dobutamine stress echocardiography shows promise in the “unmasking” of stunned or hibernating myocardium in patients with ischaemic heart disease.45 

Regional myocardial thickening and ventricular synchrony are readily apparent, and chamber volume measurements may be more accurately defined. Calculation of myocardial velocity gradients is more sensitive than measuring endocardial excursion alone.45–49 

The addition of myocardial contrast agents and second harmonic imaging49 and concurrent use of dobutamine stress49 are likely to make these clinically useful technologies.

Three dimensional reconstruction of cardiac chambers and valves shows great promise in the provision of additional information. This is an emerging technology, and the hardware requirements are currently considerable. Chamber dimensions can be estimated with greater accuracy than is possible using cross sectional methods.41 Valves can be seen and assessed with great accuracy.42

Conclusion

Although these technological advances are exciting, the priority is to apply current knowledge well, with increased use of transthoracic echocardiographic imaging in the syndrome of congestive cardiac failure. Possibly in no other condition with such a high mortality is such infrequent use made of diagnostic imaging. It is our belief that application of cross sectional imaging with Doppler ultrasound enhancement should be widespread in the evaluation of patients with this very common but highly lethal condition.
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