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Abstract
Pacemaker lead infection is a rare condition, most often occurring when intervention is needed after pacemaker implantation. Diagnosis is by blood cultures and confirmation by transoesophageal echocardiography; transthoracic echocardiography is often inadequate. A literature review indicated the microorganism most responsible for late lead infection is *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (which can grow on plastic material). A retrospective analysis of patient files from the authors’ institution (1993–97) yielded three patients with proven pacemaker lead endocarditis. The diagnosis of pacemaker endocarditis was by transoesophageal echocardiography. The endocarditis appeared after a long period and in two of the three patients there was *S epidermidis* infection. Thoracotomy with removal of the infected system was performed because of the large dimensions of the vegetations. A new pacemaker was implanted: in one patient with endocardial leads, in the other two with epicardial leads. All three patients recovered well and follow up was uneventful for at least one year.

Case reports

**PATIENT 1**
Patient 1 was a 77 year old woman with a euthyroid multinodular struma. In 1990 she received a DDD pacemaker in another hospital because of sick sinus syndrome with intermittent AV block. In 1991 there was a malfunction of the pacemaker with cardiovascular collapse, followed by ventricular lead extraction and a replacement with a similar lead at the same intervention. The pacemaker was programmed in VVI mode.

One year later the patient had a pocket infection with penicillin sensitive *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. At that time the useless atrial pacemaker lead was removed. Another year later the patient was admitted several times because of fever and came finally to our department for further investigation. Blood cultures were positive for penicillin resistant *S epidermidis*. Transthoracic echocardiography showed a mobile mass but transoesophageal echocardiography showed a large mobile mass (32 × 12 mm) attached at the pacemaker lead (fig 1).

The differentiation has to be made between a thrombus and a vegetation. Endocarditis was suspected and treatment with high dose antibiotics started. Because of recurrent septicemia, and despite her age, the patient was referred for thoracotomy with removal of the whole pacemaker system. She was given an epicardial pacemaker and six weeks' postoperative treatment with antibiotics. Follow up of three years was uneventful.

**PATIENT 2**
Patient 2 was a 40 year old man who received a DDD pacemaker via the right cephalic vein in April 1996, because of recurrent syncope from sinus arrest. One month later, an unacceptable threshold in the ventricle was detected and a
new intervention with reposition of this lead was necessary.

Four months later he had a high fever (39.6°C) and was treated empirically by his family physician. Six months after the initial implantation he was admitted to our hospital because of persisting high fever.

Transoesophageal echocardiography showed a large vegetation (19 x 16 mm) attached to the ventricular pacemaker lead (fig 2). Heart valve function was normal.

Blood cultures were positive for S epidermidis. He was treated initially with high dose intravenous antibiotics and then a thoracotomy was performed. After six weeks of antibiotic treatment a new transvenous DDD pacemaker was implanted via the left cephalic vein. Follow up of one year was uneventful.

PATIENT 3

Patient 3 was a 74 year old woman with diabetes. She had intermittent auricular fibrillation, an earlier cerebrovascular accident, arterial hypertension, and hyperlipidaemia.

In 1994 a DDDR pacemaker was implanted because of extreme bradycardia and dizziness. Two days later, a new intervention needed to be performed because of lead displacement.

In 1995 she was in an accident and suffered multiple rib fractures and left haemothorax. She recovered well but in November 1996 a malfunction of the atrial lead was noted and a switch to VVI mode was needed.

Two years after implantation the patient was admitted with cold hyperdynamic sepsis and may be associated with bacteraemia, with or without concomitant infective endocarditis. Documented septicaemia is rare (1–3%). To the best of our knowledge the incidence of pacemaker endocarditis has not been reported.

Septicaemia is diagnosed when at least two haemocultures are positive or when one culture is positive together with at least one clinical manifestation of septicaemia (fever, chills, raised white blood cell count, sedimentation).

Review of the literature presents some predisposing factors for pacemaker infection. As in our three cases, repeated surgical procedures on the pacemaker system is the most important and these are responsible for 66–73% of the infections. The incidence of infection decreases with a higher degree of aseptic care. Older series noted that the highest rate occurs when implantation is performed in the x ray department or angiography unit rather than the operating room.

General recommendations now allow implantation in the angiography or electrophysiology unit, as long as strictly aseptic conditions are present.

Other predisposing factors for lead infection are postimplantation pocket haemorrhage, necrosis, or infection. Some systemic factors contributing to a higher incidence are diabetes mellitus, a thin skin, the use of corticosteroids, age, intravenous catheters, neoplasm, the use of anticoagulants, temporary pacing, dermatological diseases, and other infectious foci.

Early diagnosis of a pacemaker lead infection is difficult. One should be suspicious in the case of a patient with a pacemaker with persisting bacteraemia or fever without another focus of infection. Endocarditis should be considered until proven otherwise.

The use of transoesophageal echocardiography is becoming increasingly useful as a diagnostic technique and it seems to be much more sensitive in identifying left side endocarditis and lead vegetations than the transthoracic view. There are three reasons why the transthoracic approach is less valuable:

- inadequate precordial acoustic window
- the pacemaker lead produces reverberations
- the transoesophageal approach reveals a better view on the right atrium and the superior vena cava.

One study suggests that transoesophageal echocardiography is not superior to transthoracic echocardiography in detecting right sided endocarditis in intravenous drug abusers. Vila-cost and colleagues showed that in patients with the clinical suspicion of an infected permanent transvenous pacemaker, transoesophageal echocardiography is superior in detecting lead vegetations. Transthoracic echocardiography detected vegetations in only two
patients whereas transoesophageal echocardiography demonstrated them in seven out of 10 patients. In a prospective study of 23 patients, transoesophageal echocardiography was positive in 21 and its use contributed to early diagnosis. Pacemaker lead infection is a life threatening situation. Haematogenous dissemination can produce relapsing septic episodes, pulmonary symptoms from emboli and involvement of the tricuspid valve leading to regurgitation or, rarely, stenosis.10 Treatment of an infected pacemaker system depends on the knowledge of the clinical course and microbiological features. Most pacemaker lead infections are caused by staphylococci11; other microorganisms may also be responsible (such as corynebacterium, enterococci). Early infections after implantation tend to be caused by Staphylococcus aureus, whereas late infections are caused by S epidermidis.7 11 One can imagine that microorganisms can grow on native tissue, but it is hard for them to survive on plastic lead surfaces. It has been shown17 that staphylococci are able to adhere to plastic surfaces, to grow on plastic material (with the possibility of using plastic components for growth), and to produce an extracellular amorphous material (slime).

Peters and colleagues12 have shown, in vitro and in vivo, that some staphylococci can grow on the plastic sheath of the pacemaker wire without external supplies. They produce a slimy amorphous material that may be important in the staphylococcal defence against host defence mechanisms and antibiotic treatment, and in the maintenance of colonisation. This may explain why lead infections are hard to manage conservatively and lead extraction is virtually always advisable.

A complete removal of all the foreign material is suggested when pacemaker system infection occurs5 7 11 whether it is endocarditis or an obvious pocket infection. Two techniques can be used7 13 14 16:

- surgical removal by thoracotomy and extracorporeal circulation
- percutaneous removal by constant traction or intravascular extraction techniques.

It has been shown that in the hands of experienced operators, with appropriate precautions and patient selection, the intravascular extraction technique allows the removal of up to 98% of intravascular leads. The chosen technique depends on the size of the vegetation on transoesophageal echocardiography, the alteration of the tricuspid valve, and the general condition of the patient. It is recommended to remove vegetations of more than 10 mm by thoracotomy, as we have done in our patients. Implantation of a new pacemaker can be safely done during the same operation. There is no need for two stage intervention with interim temporary pacing.

The mortality in pacemaker endocarditis is high. A 1994 report concerning 44 Israeli patients with a documented pacemaker endocarditis noted an overall mortality of 24%.17 Mortality was 20% in the patients who had the infected pacemaker wire surgically removed, compared to 31% in those treated with antibiotics alone.

The mortality in other series varied in the surgical group from 0–28% (mean 13%) and in the conservative group from 0–40% (mean 32%).17 Prevention is better than cure and we recommend the following:

- if temporary pacing is necessary, it should be as short in time as possible
- implantation should be done in the best aseptic conditions
- no more people should be in the room than necessary to perform the implantation
- good surgical haemostasis should be enhanced; try to avoid postoperative drainage
- prophylactic antibiotics has been recommended but is not routine.

CONCLUSION
We report our experience in management of pacemaker lead infection. This is a rare but life threatening condition. It can be prevented by strict aseptic circumstances. The diagnosis must be considered in patients with fever, positive blood cultures (especially staphylococci) or septicemia and a transvenous permanent pacemaker. It has been shown that transoesophageal echocardiography is very helpful in the diagnosis. The results of several series indicate it is best to remove the whole pacemaker system by lead extraction or thoracotomy when endocarditis or septicemia is present.

In our three cases, the diagnosis of pacemaker endocarditis was made by transoesophageal echocardiography. The endocarditis appeared after a long period and in two of the three patients we found S epidermidis infection, consistent with the literature. We performed a thoracotomy with removal of the infected system because of the large dimensions of the vegetation. A new pacemaker was implanted: in patient 1 with endocardial leads, in the other two patients with epicardial leads. All three patients recovered very well and follow up was uneventful for at least one year.

Eustachian valve endocarditis diagnosed by transoesophageal echocardiography

A 75 year old woman who had been paced with a VVI system some years earlier underwent an elective incisional hernia repair and sigmoidoscopy. Her postoperative course was initially uncomplicated but on day 6 she developed spiking temperatures and rigors accompanied by paroxysms of hypoxia. Examination confirmed known mitral regurgitation. There were no signs of endocarditis. Chest radiography and ventilation/perfusion scan were unremarkable. Transthoracic echocardiography was unchanged from a previous study with normal A-V and semilunar valves, and mild mitral regurgitation. Blood cultures grew *Enterobacter cloacae*.

The triad of pyrexia, hypoxic paroxysms, and positive blood cultures strongly suggested right sided endocarditis, and transoesophageal echocardiography was performed. This revealed a 1.3 cm² highly mobile vegetation adherent to the eustachian valve. There was no evidence of endocarditis elsewhere. The patient responded well to intravenous antibiotics, with full resolution of the vegetation.

The eustachian valve is a remnant of the right sinus venosus valve, which is vestigial in the adult heart where it is highly variable morphologically, ranging from absence to a long thread-like, fenestrated structure or Chiari’s network. All five previously reported cases of eustachian valve endocarditis were secondary to staphylococcal infections: four cases related to intravenous drug use and one following staphylococcal pneumonia. This case is unusual as *E cloacae* is present in normal intestinal flora. There was no evidence that the pacing wire was either an infected site or source. However, intermittent contact between the eustachian valve and pacing wire may have resulted in endothelial damage, predisposing the valve to infection.
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