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Abstract

Objective—To estimate the fall in coronary heart disease (CHD) mortality in Scotland attributable to medical and surgical treatments, and risk factor changes, between 1975 and 1994.

Design—A cohort model combining effectiveness data from meta-analyses with information on treatment uptake in all patient categories in Scotland.

Setting and patients—The whole Scottish population of 5.1 million, including all patients with recognised CHD.

Interventions—All cardiological, medical, and surgical treatments, and all risk factor changes between 1975 and 1994. Data were obtained from epidemiological surveys, routine National Health Service sources, and local audits.

Main outcome measures—Deaths from CHD in 1975 and 1994.

Results—There were 15 234 deaths from CHD in 1994, 6205 fewer deaths than expected if there had been no decline from 1975 mortality rates. In 1994, the total number of deaths prevented or postponed by all treatments and risk factor reductions was estimated at 6747 (minimum 4790, maximum 10 695). Forty per cent of this benefit was attributed to treatments (initial treatments for acute myocardial infarction 10%, treatments for hypertension 9%, for secondary prevention 8%, for heart failure 8%, aspirin for angina 2%, coronary artery bypass grafting surgery 2%, and angioplasty 0.1%). Fifty one per cent of the reduction in deaths was attributed to measurable risk factor reductions (smoking 36%, cholesterol 6%, secular fall in blood pressure 6%, and changes in deprivation 3%). Other, unquantified factors apparently accounted for the remaining 9%. These proportions remained relatively consistent across a wide range of assumptions and estimates in a sensitivity analysis.

Conclusions—Medical treatments and risk factor changes apparently prevented or postponed about 6750 coronary deaths in Scotland in 1994. Modest gains from individual treatments produced a large cumulative survival benefit. Reductions in major risk factors explained about half the fall in coronary mortality, emphasising the importance and future potential of prevention strategies.
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Since about 1965, coronary heart disease (CHD) mortality rates have halved in many Western countries. Explaining these remarkable falls has proven difficult. Studies in the 1980s in North America and Australasia suggested that reductions in coronary risk factors explained the greater part of the fall in mortality. Medical and surgical treatments were considered to account for only 40% of the reduction in coronary deaths. Recent Finnish studies concluded that up to 80% of the fall in coronary mortality was caused by favourable changes in risk factors. Yet many new cardiac therapies have been shown to reduce mortality, including thrombolytic therapy, aspirin, angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, and statins.

More precise estimates of the mortality benefits of treatments are now available from meta-analyses. In addition, several local and national audits, notably the UK action on secondary prevention through intervention to reduce events (ASPIRE) study, have recently provided crucial data on the uptake of effective therapies in routine British practice. These important developments make a reassessment of the contribution of cardiological treatments to the continuing fall in coronary mortality particularly timely. Scotland has a well established health service with good routine data collection. In addition, comprehensive cardiovascular epidemiological data have been collected in Scotland over the past three decades. We have therefore examined the contribution of modern treatments to the reduction in coronary deaths in Scotland since 1975.

Methods

CALCULATION OF THE FALL IN CHD DEATHS BETWEEN 1975 AND 1994

The number of CHD deaths expected in 1994, had there been no change in age specific mortality rates since 1975, was calculated by indirect age standardisation. The age and sex specific mortality rates for 1975 were applied to the Scottish population in 1994. The CHD deaths actually observed in 1994 were then subtracted to give the fall in CHD deaths between 1975 and 1994.
Treatment impact on heart disease mortality

SPECIFIC TREATMENTS EVALUATED AND THE CALCULATION OF TREATMENT EFFECTIVENESS

To permit comparison with previous studies, the same basic approach was used. The number of CHD deaths prevented or postponed was calculated for each specific intervention, such as coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). This was done by applying the absolute risk reduction obtained with each relevant treatment to the appropriate patient group in Scotland for the year 1994.

Wherever possible, the absolute mortality benefit of specific treatments was determined using systematic overviews and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. Otherwise, individual large randomised controlled trials were used. Survival benefit over a one year time interval was used for all treatments.

The interventions considered were as follows:

(1) Initial treatments for acute myocardial infarction: prehospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation, in-hospital resuscitation, aspirin, thrombolytic treatment, intravenous beta blockers, and ACE inhibitors.

(2) Secondary prevention following myocardial infarction: treatment with aspirin, beta blockers, warfarin, comprehensive rehabilitation (for which all patients are potentially eligible), ACE inhibitors, and HMG CoA reductase inhibitor treatment (where selected patients are eligible).

The effects of these treatments were evaluated for all patients alive in 1994 who had been discharged from hospital after an acute myocardial infarction during the previous five years, from 1989 to 1994. This total was adjusted for a subsequent readmission rate of 20%, and an annual mortality loss of 5%.

(3) Secondary prevention following angioplasty or cardiac surgery, using the same medications.

(4) Treatment of angina in hospital: aspirin, heparin, CABG surgery, and coronary angioplasty. Angioplasty was assumed to have a maximum mortality benefit equal to CABG surgery for one and two vessel disease and a minimum effect of zero.

Because revascularisation benefit may continue for at least 10 years, all angina patients alive in 1994 following admission to hospital within the past 10 years for CABG surgery (13 384) or for angioplasty (6114) were considered.

(5) Angina patients in the community given aspirin, beta blockers were assumed to have no mortality benefit in the absence of a previous infarct. The prevalence of angina in Scotland is approximately 11% in both men and women aged 45–64 years and 18% in those aged 65 years or older. This produced a total of 260 982 angina subjects. To prevent double counting, this total was reduced to 156 751 after adjusting for two potential overlaps: the hospital angina categories above; and the 39% of angina patients with a history of myocardial infarction (included in the secondary prevention categories above).

On the basis of ASPIRE and local audits, it was estimated that 33% of these patients were treated with aspirin.

(6) Treatment of heart failure with ACE inhibitors. Patients admitted to hospital and those managed in primary care were considered. Three quarters of the 9526 patients admitted to hospital in 1994 were assumed to have severe heart failure and to obtain the mortality reduction demonstrated in the first cooperative north Scandinavian enalapril survival study (CONSENSUS I) trial. The remaining quarter, and patients treated in the community, were assumed to have mild to moderately severe heart failure and to obtain a smaller absolute benefit from treatment.

Local and national audits indicated that in 1994 in Scotland some 40% of hospital patients and 20% of community patients received ACE inhibitor treatment (appendix 1). To adjust for overlap, it was assumed that half the community patients had received inpatient treatment.

(7) Treatment of hypertension, estimated as treatment of individuals. In 1994, the prevalence of hypertension (defined as a diastolic blood pressure > 95 mm Hg, or a systolic blood pressure > 160 mm Hg, or treatment with antihypertensive medication) was approximately 35% in men and 50% in women aged over 45 years. It was estimated that, overall, some 62% of patients were treated, and that half of these patients complied sufficiently to achieve an effective diastolic blood pressure reduction of 6 mm Hg or more.

Blood pressure was also analysed as a population risk factor (see below).

Patient groups considered for treatment

The numbers of individual CHD patients eligible for, and given, specific treatments were identified using a variety of sources detailed in an appendix available from the authors.

Adjustment for patient overlap

Adjustments for potential overlaps between patient groups, such as hypertension and heart failure, or angina and secondary prevention postinfarction, were made using data from the third monitoring trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease (MONICA) risk factor survey, and elsewhere, as detailed in the results section and appendix 1.

Combination therapy

In conditions where combination therapy is common, such as acute myocardial infarction and secondary prevention, clinical trial evidence is sparse, but suggests that the same relative benefit from each treatment may operate cumulatively. Cumulative benefit was therefore estimated using the formula: relative benefit = 1 − (1 − treatment a) × (1 − treatment b), etc.
Treatment adherence (compliance)

Adherence (compliance) was defined as the proportion of patients taking therapeutically effective levels of treatment.\textsuperscript{26, 27} Overall compliance was assumed to be 100% in hospital patients, 70% in symptomatic patients with angina or heart failure, and 50% in patients with hypertension. A modest age gradient was assumed.\textsuperscript{26, 27}

Impact of changes in population risk factors for CHD

Changes in the prevalence of measurable risk factors, including smoking, cholesterol, deprivation, and blood pressure, were obtained from recent Scottish epidemiological studies and surveys (appendix 1). The contribution of risk factor changes to the fall in coronary mortality between 1975 and 1994 was calculated as the average value obtained from using two independent methods. The first is a regression method, based on recent studies from Finland, Iceland, and elsewhere.\textsuperscript{1, 4, 18–20} This generated a regression $\beta$ coefficient value, describing the percentage reduction in mortality to be expected for each per cent reduction in that specific risk factor.\textsuperscript{1, 4, 18–20} The mortality effect of changes in population cholesterol concentration was also calculated using a recent meta-analysis.\textsuperscript{30} The second method is the population attributable risk method, based on the local Renfrew and Paisley study.\textsuperscript{1, 2, 19, 19} Of all CHD deaths in men, 40% were attributable to smoking, 31% to raised blood pressure, 25% to social class, and 24% to raised cholesterol (37%, 39%, 32%, and 21% in women, respectively). For each factor, this was an effect independent of all other factors.\textsuperscript{15}

Population blood pressure

Between 1986 and 1995, mean population diastolic blood pressure in north Glasgow adults aged 45–64 years fell by 0.8 mm Hg in men, and by 6.1 mm Hg in women (appendix 1). To extrapolate these changes to the Scottish population, the following assumptions were made: (a) that a similar fall occurred throughout Scotland; (b) that the fall in blood pressure in the period 1975 to 1986 was at least half that between 1986 and 1995; and (c) that the fall in subjects aged > 65 years of age was at least half that in those aged 45–64 (appendix 1).\textsuperscript{14, 26}

Cholesterol

Between 1985/86 and 1994/95, the mean serum cholesterol concentrations in the north Glasgow population, aged 45–64, fell by an average of 3.3% in men and 4.9% in women (appendix 1). To extrapolate these changes to the Scottish population, the same assumptions were made as for blood pressure.

Changes in socioeconomic status

Carstairs’ deprivation scores were obtained based on decennial census data comprising social class, overcrowding, male unemployment, and car ownership (appendix 1). The reduction in CHD deaths caused by changes in socioeconomic deprivation were then calculated on the basis of a population attributable risk of 25% in men and 32% in women.\textsuperscript{13}

Other, currently unquantified risk factors

It was not possible to obtain satisfactory trend data for other potentially important risk factors such as obesity, exercise, clotting factors, “Barker” cohort effects, or dietary changes apart from cholesterol.\textsuperscript{14} A pragmatic decision was, therefore, taken to attribute any shortfall in the total model estimate to these factors.

Mortality reduction from treatments already in use in 1975

A number of effective therapies were already in limited use in 1975. These included CABG, cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the community and in hospital, $\beta$ blockers (though not used systematically for secondary prophylaxis), and therapy for moderate and severe hypertension (defined as a diastolic blood pressure $> 105$ mm Hg).\textsuperscript{13, 22} Precise patient data for some of these interventions, such as CABG, and eligible hypertensives, were available from the data sources detailed above. Others were estimated after consultation with cardiologists in practice in 1975.

Statistical analyses

Mortality effects were analysed by sex and age categories. Almost all the key parameters were subject to uncertainty, with potential overestimation or underestimation of benefit. Multi-way sensitivity analyses were therefore performed using the analysis of extremes method. This generates the best estimate, along with the minimum and maximum plausible values.\textsuperscript{11} These sensitivity analyses examined the effects on the mortality reduction estimates of variations in the major parameters: patient numbers, group overlap, treatment uptake, treatment compliance (concordance), and treatment effectiveness.\textsuperscript{1, 2, 18, 20, 31} An example is given in appendix 2.

Results

CHD MORTALITY IN SCOTLAND IN 1975 AND 1994

There were 18 251 (10 321 male) CHD deaths in Scotland in 1975 (International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes 410–414). If the 1975 age specific mortality rates had continued unchanged, and adjusting for changes in the population age structure, 21 439 deaths would have been expected in 1994. In fact, only 15 234 deaths occurred in 1994, 6205 fewer deaths than expected (3690 fewer in men and 2515 in women).

Effects of medical and surgical treatments

In each treatment category, the best, maximum, and minimum estimates of deaths prevented or postponed were calculated by multiplying the number of eligible patients by treatment uptake, treatment compliance, and absolute risk reduction per 100 patients treated (table 1, appendix 2).
Myocardial infarction: initial treatment and secondary prevention

In 1994, the combined effects of individual treatments for acute myocardial infarction prevented or postponed some 694 deaths (minimum estimate 366, maximum estimate 1083) (table 1). Secondary prevention therapies after infarction, CABG surgery, and angioplasty together prevented a further 545 deaths.

Treatment of angina and heart failure

Angina therapies in hospital (unstable angina, CABG surgery, and angioplasty) and aspirin in the community together prevented an estimated 329 deaths (table 1). Heart failure treatment with ACE inhibitors in hospital and in the community together prevented an estimated 563 deaths.

Treatment of hypertension

Treatment for individual patients with hypertension prevented an estimated 590 deaths (table 1).

TOTAL TREATMENT MORTALITY REDUCTION

In total, the medical and surgical treatments for acute myocardial infarction, secondary prevention, angina, heart failure, and hypertension together prevented or postponed an estimated 2722 deaths in 1994 (minimum estimate 1373, maximum 5986) (table 1).

EFFECTS OF CHANGES IN POPULATION RISK FACTORS

The best, maximum, and minimum estimates of CHD deaths prevented or postponed are detailed in table 2.

Smoking

The mean population prevalence of smoking fell from 46% in 1975 to 28% in 1994 in men, and from 37% to 25% in women. This resulted in an estimated 2405 deaths prevented or postponed (minimum estimate 1792, maximum 3126) (table 2, appendix 2).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Patients eligible</th>
<th>Uptake of intervention</th>
<th>Absolute risk reduction</th>
<th>Death prevented or postponed*</th>
<th>Proportion of overall deaths prevented or postponed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute MI</td>
<td>15505</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>694 (366–1083)</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community CPR</td>
<td>6357</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>178 (168–188)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital CPR</td>
<td>1355</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>193 (55–271)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolysis alone</td>
<td>12181</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>4 (1–18)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolysis and aspirin</td>
<td>12181</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>190 (88–369)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin alone</td>
<td>12181</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>85 (33–148)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor</td>
<td>12181</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>13 (5–30)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Blocker</td>
<td>12181</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>32 (16–59)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary prevention post-MI</td>
<td>36196</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>431 (152–877)</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin alone</td>
<td>36196</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>97 (22–177)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b Blocker alone</td>
<td>36196</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>94 (23–55)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin and b blocker</td>
<td>36196</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>39 (42–218)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor</td>
<td>36196</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>62 (11–222)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simvastatin</td>
<td>36196</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>17 (2–59)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td>36196</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>15 (3–50)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation including exercise</td>
<td>36196</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>117 (43–258)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary prevention post-CABG/angioplasty</td>
<td>11267</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>114 (49–243)</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina</td>
<td>156751</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>329 (36–686)</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABG surgery</td>
<td>13384</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>131 (95–387)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angioplasty</td>
<td>6114</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>12 (0–26)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable angina</td>
<td>6450</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>34 (4–90)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin in community</td>
<td>156751</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>152 (32–570)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart failure</td>
<td>76978</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>9562</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>333 (105–738)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>76978</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>362 (38–1316)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1935200</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>590 (150–1132)</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total treatments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2722 (1373–5986)</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Minimum and maximum estimates.
MI, myocardial infarction; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor reduction</th>
<th>Patients eligible</th>
<th>% risk factor reduction</th>
<th>Absolute risk reduction</th>
<th>Total deaths prevented or postponed*</th>
<th>Proportion of overall deaths prevented or postponed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>1935200</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>2405 (1792–3126)</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>2492 (2233–3126)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population attributable risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>2318 (1792–2846)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td>1935200</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>383 (71–1859)</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>669 (501–1859)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population attributable risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>97 (71–1859)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population BP</td>
<td>1935200</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>1015 (319–2202)</td>
<td>6%†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1625 (1263–2202)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population attributable risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>404 (319–842)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation</td>
<td>1935200</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>213 (82–456)</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population attributable risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>600 (396–798)</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4025 (3417–4679)</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Minimum and maximum estimates; †6% = 15% for overall population blood pressure fall, minus 9% for hypertension treatment.
above those provided in 1975 would therefore have been approximately 6203 (6747 minus 544). This was consistent with the observed fall of 6205.

Of the estimated 6203 fewer deaths, 3558 (57%) were in men. The benefit in men occurred particularly in younger age groups (25% in those aged < 65 years, 17% in those aged 65–74 years, and 15% in men aged ≥ 75 years). In women, however, mortality benefit increased with age (9%, 11%, and 23%, respectively). There was good agreement with the actual mortality falls between 1975 and 1994 observed in specific sex and age groups.

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS**

The proportional contribution of each treatment and risk factor change to the total mortality reduction of 6747 is illustrated in fig 1. Irrespective of whether one considered the best, maximum, or minimum mortality, these proportions remained relatively consistent.

Furthermore, the relative rankings remained the same for treatments: acute myocardial infarction first, then secondary prevention, heart failure, and angina; and for risk factor reductions, smoking first, then other factors, cholesterol, population blood pressure followed by deprivation (fig 1).

**Discussion**

This analysis suggests that modern therapies may account for about 40% of the decline in CHD mortality since 1975. Half the reduction was apparently produced by falls in the four major risk factors: smoking, cholesterol, blood pressure, and deprivation. Although the estimation of each intervention was surrounded by uncertainty, their relative contributions remained remarkably consistent when subjected to a sensitivity analysis.

Relatively small scale interventions such as revascularisation by CAGB surgery or angioplasty had a small population mortality effect. However, modest gains from other treatments applied to larger numbers produced a substantial cumulative survival benefit, particularly acute myocardial infarction, secondary prevention, hypertension, and heart failure treatments. This also highlights the potential benefit which would arise from the more comprehensive application of effective treatments.

Risk factor reductions accounted for a larger mortality benefit than treatments, as found in studies from the USA, Holland, Scandinavia, and New Zealand. The reduction in smoking alone accounted for over one third of the total fall in Scottish coronary mortality. Most other studies also attributed 18–38% of their total mortality fall to smoking reduction, although one, interestingly, only attributed 6%.

This then was a major preventive success, over 2400 fewer deaths. Yet almost 30% of adult Scots still smoke, resulting in at least 6000 preventable coronary deaths each year. Furthermore, cholesterol has hardly fallen in Scotland whereas other countries have benefited from more comprehensive and effective prevention programmes.
Almost half the 15% mortality reduction associated with the fall in population blood pressure was attributable to secular trends, the remainder reflecting the treatment of hypertensive individuals. The mortality benefit attributable to increasing affluence was relatively modest, imprecise, and susceptible to confounding by smoking, diet, and blood pressure changes. Finally, the current model suggested that “other factors”, presently unquantified, may account for about 10% of the mortality fall. Our initial focus on the four “major” risk factors was deliberate and pragmatic.3,4,15–19–20 However, future studies will need to include potentially important factors such as obesity, exercise, diet, clotting factors, and cohort effects.15–18,19–20

There are limitations to any study of this type.18–20 A robust sensitivity analysis was therefore necessary to test the key assumptions concerning population prevalence, treatment uptake, compliance, and absolute benefit.30 Future research might usefully compare the different CHD mortality models now available.18–20 The analysis assumed a minimal time lag between risk factor falls and mortality reductions; this was perhaps not unreasonable.5–14,15,19–20

This study also focused entirely on mortality reduction, ignoring potential reductions in morbidity, hospital admissions, years of life lost, and quality of life. Symptom relief is a major benefit of modern therapies, including revascularisation, and should be explicitly considered in future work.

In conclusion, risk factor reductions and modern treatments contributed almost equally to the fall in coronary mortality in Scotland and, by implication, elsewhere in Britain. The more systematic application of proven medical therapies could produce large additional health gains. More active prevention strategies would substantially reduce deaths from CHD, as well as strokes and many cancers. Both are urgently required.

We thank many colleagues for very helpful discussions and comments, particularly Robert Beaglehole, Oliver Blatchford, Stuart Cсобе, Ian Cробмбй, George Davey-Smith, Andrew Davie, Iain Findlay, Ian Ford, Phil Haolon, Ray Jones, Ross Lorimer, Jim McTевen, Phil McLeod, Sandy Mур, John Nor-ris, Jill Pилл, Pelка Pruskа, Mary Seldon, Norman Sharpe, Susie Stewаrt, Hugh Tюnstаll-Pedое, Andrew Walker, Harvey Whаte, Robert Wilcos, Erkli Vаrtiаmеn, Charles Wаlrow, and David Wооd. We are also very grateful to Ed Juszaцak and James Bond, Information and Statistics Division in Edinburgh, who provided additional linked patient data. Population and mortality data came by courtesy of the Registrar General for Scotland.

Appendix 1 Epidemiological and audit studies used in this analysis


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient numbers (a)</th>
<th>Treatment uptake (b)</th>
<th>Absolute mortality reduction (c)</th>
<th>Deaths prevented or postponed (d×e×c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best estimate</td>
<td>4482</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum estimate</td>
<td>4034</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum estimate</td>
<td>4930</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Appendix 2 Two examples of mortality reduction estimation used in this analysis

EXAMPLE 1: MEN AGED 45–64 GIVEN THROMBOLYSIS PLUS ASPRIN

In the second international study of infarct survival (ISIS 2), thrombolysis and aspirin reduced absolute mortality in men aged 45–64 by 0.052. Data from Glasgow MONICAa and from Scottish surveys (Capewell 1996 and 1997, appendix 1) suggested that in Scotland in 1994, 4482 men aged 45–64 were admitted to hospital with an acute myocardial infarction, and that 30% were given thrombolysis and aspirin.

The deaths prevented or postponed for at least a year were therefore calculated as:

patient numbers × treatment uptake × absolute mortality reduction:

= 4482 × 30% × 0.052 = 70 deaths prevented or postponed.

Some uncertainty obviously surrounded each of the estimates (patient numbers, treatment uptake, compliance (not applicable in this case, but ±30% elsewhere), and effectiveness (±25%)).

An analysis of extremes was performed whereby the maximum and minimum feasible values were fed in to the model. By multiplying through, the resulting product then generated maximum and minimum estimates for deaths prevented or postponed (table AI).

This may be described as a “robust” approach for two reasons.

(1) Maximum and minimum values for each variable were deliberately forced to provide a wider range rather than a narrower one—for example, absolute mortality reduction ±25% rather than, say, ±15%.

(2) The resulting product, for instance the minimum estimate, was generated by assuming that the lowest feasible values all occurred at the same time, a most unlikely situation.

EXAMPLE 2: MORTALITY FALL CAUSED BY REDUCTION IN SMOKING IN MEN AGED 45–64

In Scotland smoking prevalence in men aged 45–64 years fell from 50.5% to 31%, an absolute reduction of 19.5%, and a relative reduction of 39%.4

Pooling of studies from Finland, Iceland, and elsewhere5 produced a β coefficient value of 0.43—that is, for every percentage point fall in relative smoking prevalence, the population CHD mortality would fall by 0.43%.

The deaths prevented or postponed between 1975 and 1994 were then calculated as:

CHD deaths in that group in 1975 × risk factor decline × β coefficient.

Thus 3615 × 39% × 0.43 = 606 deaths prevented or postponed.

This calculation was then repeated: (a) for maximum and minimum values in that group; (b) for men and women in each age group; and (c) for each risk factor.

Additional details on the methodology are available from the authors.