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Abstract
Objective—To compare the relative efficacy of anteroanterior v anteroposterior electrode pad positions for external cardioversion of atrial fibrillation.

Design—Prospective randomised trial.

Setting—Tertiary referral cardiology centre in the United Kingdom.

Patients—90 patients undergoing elective cardioversion for atrial fibrillation.

Interventions—Cardioversion was attempted with self adhesive electrode pads with an area of 106 cm² placed either in the anteroanterior (AA) or anteroposterior (AP) positions. Initial shock was 100 J which, if unsuccessful, was followed by 200 J, 300 J, and 360 J if required. Peak current and transthoracic impedance were measured.

Main outcome measures—Cardioversion success rate and energy requirements.

Results—Cardioversion was successful in 81% of the patients (73/90). There was no statistically significant difference in the cardioversion success rate (AA 84%, 38/45 patients; AP 78%, 35/45 patients; p = 0.42) or mean (SD) energy requirement for all patients (AA 223 (96.1) J; AP 232 (110) J) or for patients who were successfully cardioverted (AA 197.9 (82.4) J; AP 195.4 (97.2) J; p = 0.9) between the two pad positions. The mean transthoracic impedance (TTI) for the first shock (AA 77.5 (18.4) ohms; AP 73.7 (18.7) ohms; p = 0.34) was not significantly different between the two groups. TTI correlated significantly with body mass index, percentage body fat, and chest AP diameter. There was a progressive decrease in TTI with serial shocks. While aetiology and TTI were the two independent significant predictive factors for energy requirement, duration of atrial fibrillation was the only independent predictor of cardioversion success in a multivariate analysis.

Conclusions—Electrode pad position is not a determinant of cardioversion success rate or energy requirement.

(Heart 1999;81:576–579)
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Electrical cardioversion has been a successful technique for the treatment of atrial fibrillation ever since its introduction in the 1960s. However, the relative importance of factors determining the success rate and energy requirement have not been conclusively established.

Cardioversion occurs when a critical mass of atrial muscle is depolarised by transthoracic current. The current flow is dependent on the energy level selected and the transthoracic impedance (TTI). Several factors are known to influence the TTI: factors related to the defibrillator (shock strength), electrode system (electrode pad size, pad position, interface between the electrode and the skin), anthropometric factors (body mass index, percentage of body fat, chest anteroposterior diameter), or those related to the technique (time intervals between shocks, phase of ventilation, paddle electrode pressure, previous sternotomy). We and others have previously found a higher success rate with low energy shocks in the AP pad position. A computerised tomographic analysis of the thorax suggested that the current vector traverses a maximum mass of atrial muscle with electrodes in the AP electrode position. There has been only one study that directly compared AP with AA pad positions, although this was not prospectively randomised and used hand held metal paddles instead of the self adhesive electrode pads now in common use.

We therefore compared the success rate and energy requirements for cardioversion of atrial fibrillation using AP and AA electrode pad positions with self adhesive ECG/defibrillator pads.

Methods
Ninety consecutive patients undergoing elective cardioversion for atrial fibrillation were prospectively randomised to AA or AP pad positions. Patients with a permanent pacemaker in situ were excluded. The duration of atrial fibrillation was determined as the time from the first ECG documentation. Echocardiography was performed within the previous six months in 82 patients and the left atrial diameter recorded. Height and weight of all patients were recorded on the day of the procedure and body mass index calculated. Percentage body fat was measured using a bio-impedance machine (Bodystat Ltd, Douglas, Isle of Man, UK). Patients were fasted
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TTI was measured using a low amplitude current (18 kHz) passed across the patient’s chest between the self adhesive pads. The resultant direct current voltage is proportional to the TTI. We and others have previously validated the accuracy of this method using a 30 kHz current.14 15 The peak current generated for each shock was recorded.

The energy protocol was an initial shock of 100 J which, if unsuccessful, was followed by 200 J, 300 J, and 360 J if required. In the last 10 patients, if the initial 360 J shock was unsuccessful in one position cardioversion was attempted by the other route using a further 360 J shock; in these 10 patients TTI was recorded in both positions.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
All results for continuous variables are expressed as means (SD). The independent sample t test was used to compare continuous variables between the two subgroups. The p values for comparison of categorical variables were generated by the Pearson χ² for proportions with appropriate degrees of freedom, and p values < 0.05 were taken as significant. Multivariate analysis was done using forward stepwise (likelihood ratio) multiple regression analysis. All calculations were carried out with SPSS 7.1 software package.

Results
Forty five patients were randomised to each position. There were 60 male and 30 female patients with a mean (SD) age of 65.5 (10) years. The mean duration of atrial fibrillation was 14.1 (31.7) months and the mean left atrial dimension was 48.7 (8.6) mm. The aetiology of the atrial fibrillation was ischaemic heart disease in 28 (31%), hypertensive heart disease in 15 (16%), post-cardiac surgery in seven (7%), others in 21 (23%).

Cardioversion was successful in 81% of the patients (73/90). A mean of 2.4 (1.1) shocks was delivered to each patient, and the average energy of the successful shock (or maximum if unsuccessful) was 227.6 (102.8) J. The mean cumulative energy delivered per patient was 452 (331.1) J (range 100 J to 1320 J). Low energy shocks (< 200 J) were successful in 58% of the patients (52/90).

The mean TTI for the first shock was 75.6 (18.5) ohms (range 43 to 133 ohms). This significantly correlated with the body mass index, percentage body fat, and chest AP diameter. There was a progressive decrease in TTI with serial shocks, and the mean TTI for the final shock was 68.8 (15.4) ohms (p = 0.001).

Comparison of baseline characteristics revealed no statistically significant difference between patients randomised to either position (table 1). Cardioversion success rate was not significantly different between the two pad positions (AA 84%, 38/45 patients; AP 78%, 35/45 patients; p = 0.42). The energy requirements were not significantly different, as 62% of patients (28/45) with pads in the AA position were successfully cardioverted with shocks of < 200 J compared with 53% (24/45) of those with pads in the AP position (p = 0.39). The mean energy of the successful or final shock was not different between the two groups. Mean peak current, first shock TTI, and mean cumulative energy for all patients were also similar (table 2).

For patients who were successfully cardioverted, TTI was lower in the AP position although this was not statistically significant (AA 77.4 (17.7) ohms; AP 72.5 (17.1) ohms; p = 0.2). The mean energy (AA 197.9 (82.4) J; AP 195.4 (97.2) J; p = 0.9) and peak current (AA 33.6 (7.9) amp; AP 33.7 (10.5) amp; p = 0.9) were similar. When cardioversion success rate was analysed at each energy level, there was no significant difference in success rate between the two positions (fig 1).

To predict cardioversion success and energy requirement, the following factors were entered into a forward stepwise (likelihood ratio) multiple regression analysis: age, aetiology, drug

Table 1  Baseline characteristics according to pad position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AA</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.5 (4.9)</td>
<td>26.5 (5.3)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP diameter (cm)</td>
<td>25.9 (3.3)</td>
<td>25.2 (3.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF duration (months)</td>
<td>14.7 (40.2)</td>
<td>13.3 (20.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage body fat</td>
<td>29.8 (8.9)</td>
<td>31.2 (9.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA dimension (mm)</td>
<td>48.9 (7.2)</td>
<td>48.4 (9.8)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TTI, first shock (ohm) 72.2 (17.1) 65.3 (13.0) 0.03
Peak current (amp) 35.7 (9.3) 37.4 (11.7) NS
Number of shocks 2.3 (1.1) 2.4 (1.2) NS
Cumulative energy (J) 432 (314) 472 (350) NS

Table 2  Transthoracic impedance and energy requirements according to electrode pad position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AA</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean energy (J)</td>
<td>223 (96.1)</td>
<td>232 (110)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTI, first shock (ohm)</td>
<td>77.5 (18.4)</td>
<td>73.7 (18.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTI, final shock (ohm)</td>
<td>72.2 (17.1)</td>
<td>65.3 (13.0)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak current (amp)</td>
<td>35.7 (9.3)</td>
<td>37.4 (11.7)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of shocks</td>
<td>2.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative energy (J)</td>
<td>432 (314)</td>
<td>472 (350)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of baseline characteristics revealed no statistically significant difference between patients randomised to either position (table 1). Cardioversion success rate was not significantly different between the two pad positions (AA 84%, 38/45 patients; AP 78%, 35/45 patients; p = 0.42). The energy requirements were not significantly different, as 62% of patients (28/45) with pads in the AA position were successfully cardioverted with shocks of < 200 J compared with 53% (24/45) of those with pads in the AP position (p = 0.39). The mean energy of the successful or final shock was not different between the two groups. Mean peak current, first shock TTI, and mean cumulative energy for all patients were also similar (table 2).

For patients who were successfully cardioverted, TTI was lower in the AP position although this was not statistically significant (AA 77.4 (17.7) ohms; AP 72.5 (17.1) ohms; p = 0.2). The mean energy (AA 197.9 (82.4) J; AP 195.4 (97.2) J; p = 0.9) and peak current (AA 33.6 (7.9) amp; AP 33.7 (10.5) amp; p = 0.9) were similar. When cardioversion success rate was analysed at each energy level, there was no significant difference in success rate between the two positions (fig 1).

To predict cardioversion success and energy requirement, the following factors were entered into a forward stepwise (likelihood ratio) multiple regression analysis: age, aetiology, drug

Figure 1  Cumulative cardioversion success rate at each energy level according to pad position. AA, anterioanterior; AP, anteroposterior.
treatment, duration of atrial fibrillation, left atrial dimension, pad position, and TTI. The only significant determinant of successful cardioversion was duration of atrial fibrillation—shorter durations were more likely to be successfully cardioverted. For energy requirement, TTI and aetiology were significant independent predictors—patients with lower TTI and atrial fibrillation secondary to rheumatic heart disease and following open heart surgery were more often cardioverted with low energy shocks.

In the last 10 patients TTI was measured in both positions. There was no significant difference in mean TTI between the two pad positions (AA 71.0 (9.7); AP 70.1 (9.0); p = 0.83). In two of these 10 patients who were randomised to the AP position the initial 360 J shock was unsuccessful and they therefore crossed over to AA position for a further 360 J shock, which was also unsuccessful.

Discussion
Our results suggest electrode position is not a determinant of cardioversion success or energy requirement. These results are similar to those of Kerber et al and Resnekov and McDonald, although neither of those studies was prospectively randomised. The overall cardioversion success rate of 81% (73/90) compares favourably with other studies. Duration of atrial fibrillation was the only significant independent predictor of cardioversion success. This association between duration of atrial fibrillation and success has been reported previously by other investigators.

A first shock of 100 J was successful in 30% of patients (27/90) and low energy shocks (≤200 J) were successful in 58% (52/90). TTI and aetiology were both significant independent predictors of energy requirement whereas cardioversion route was not. Patients with high TTI more often required high energy shocks. This is similar to the observation made by Kerber et al. It is interesting to note that all seven patients with atrial fibrillation following cardiac surgery, 13 of 15 (87%) with atrial fibrillation secondary to rheumatic heart disease, and 12 of 21 (58%) with atrial fibrillation secondary to miscellaneous conditions (cardiac failure, hyperthyroidism, alcohol) converted with low energy shocks, compared with 13 of 28 (46%) with ischaemic heart disease and seven of 19 (37%) with hypertension.

The aetiology of atrial fibrillation has previously been suggested as an important determinant of cardioversion success rate and energy requirement by other investigators. Idiopathic atrial fibrillation has been reported to have poor and good short-term results following cardioversion. In the present study the group of 21 patients with an aetiology of “other” included 10 patients with idiopathic atrial fibrillation; only six of these were successfully cardioverted, but five of the six required ≤200 J. Patients with rheumatic heart disease and severe mitral regurgitation or with a large fibrotic atrium following valvar surgery have been reported to have low cardioversion success rates. However, we have previously reported success rates in patients with rheumatic heart disease similar to those in patients with other aetiologies, and similar results were observed in the present study. Fourteen of the 15 patients with rheumatic heart disease (93%) were successfully cardioverted with only 13% (two of 15) requiring high energy shocks. All seven patients with atrial fibrillation following open heart surgery were successfully cardioverted with 100 J shocks. This is in accord with our previous results. The inconsistent results observed by various investigators suggest that aetiology is not a primary factor in determining the success rate or energy requirement, but may be confounded by the duration of atrial fibrillation. The high success rate and low energy requirement for postoperative atrial fibrillation may reflect the shorter duration of the atrial fibrillation and the lower TTI reported following sternotomy.

The “low energy low efficacy” observation has prompted investigators to recommend an initial shock of 200 J for cardioversion of atrial fibrillation. The observations made by Ricard et al and our own results suggest that atrial fibrillation of short duration and following open heart surgery have excellent results with only low energy shocks. However, defibrillator induced myocardial damage is well recognised and is dose related. Thus we believe it is inappropriate to recommend initial shock of 200 J for all patients, as even this energy level can be associated with adverse effects.

As we reported before, TTI was lower in the AP pad position (though not significantly so), and this was associated with a correspondingly higher current in the AP position, although insufficient to produce a higher success rate. TTI progressively decreased with serial shocks in our study, as observed by others, probably because of separation of the thoracic tissue.

Although there are no data to suggest higher cardioversion success rates in any position, some investigators recommend an alternative route if cardioversion fails in one pad position. The only variable that may change by relocating the pads is the TTI. It is of interest that we did not observe any significant difference in the TTI in the same 10 patients between the AA and AP positions, and the two patients who failed in one position did not succeed in the other. However, the current vector may travel differently in an individual patient in a particular position and this should be evaluated in a larger subset of patients.

Electrode positions for cardioversion