QT dispersion is reduced after valve replacement in patients with aortic stenosis
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Abstract

Objective—To investigate whether QT dispersion is a reliable index of the severity of aortic stenosis and left ventricular hypertrophy in the setting of aortic stenosis.

Design—A retrospective analysis of the results of echocardiography and electrocardiography before and after aortic valve replacement.

Setting—Tertiary centre.

Patients—36 men (30 white and six black) with symptomatic aortic stenosis requiring valve replacement.

Results—All patients had significant aortic stenosis (mean (SD) aortic valve area 0.68 (0.18) cm²) and evidence of left ventricular hypertrophy (left ventricular mass index (LVMI): 267 (90) g/m²). Before aortic valve replacement, QT dispersion was correlated with mean aortic valve area and LVMI (r = 0.697, p < 0.001, and r = 0.59, p < 2.4 × 10⁻⁴, respectively). QT dispersion and QT corrected for heart rate dispersion decreased from 133 (54) to 71 (33) ms and from 151 (64) to 94 (76) ms, respectively (p < 0.001 for both). LVMI regressed after aortic valve replacement to 190 (79) g/m², p < 0.01.

Conclusions—QT dispersion is increased in association with LVMI in patients with significant symptomatic aortic stenosis. Aortic valve replacement reduces QT dispersion and LVMI. QT dispersion could be a useful indicator of risk and risk reduction in patients with significant symptomatic aortic stenosis.
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In patients with hypertension, left ventricular hypertrophy is a risk factor for ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Although several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the vulnerability of the hypertrophied ventricle to life threatening arrhythmias, the factors predisposing hypertensive individuals with left ventricular hypertrophy to electrical instability and sudden cardiac death are not well established. In patients presenting with symptoms, the natural history of significant aortic stenosis is unfavourable and the prognosis is dramatically improved by valve replacement. Complex ventricular arrhythmias are significantly more prevalent in aortic stenosis than in control subjects, and sudden cardiac death accounts for about one third of the mortality of unoperated aortic stenosis. It most often occurs in subjects with a history of heart failure, but may also be seen in asymptomatic individuals (3–5% in adults). Although the factors predisposing patients with symptomatic aortic stenosis to an increased risk of sudden cardiac death are not fully known, the presence of left ventricular hypertrophy may be one contributing factor, since it is often encountered in this group of patients.

A simple variable currently under investigation in cardiac disease is QT dispersion. With this technique, the QT interval is calculated for all 12 ECG leads, and the shortest interval is subtracted from the longest interval to give the QT dispersion. An increased QT dispersion reflects regional variation in ventricular repolarisation in the heart, which may predispose to reentrant arrhythmias. It has been shown to be predictive of cardiac death in patients with a broad spectrum of disease. In this study, we investigated the relation between QT dispersion and left ventricular hypertrophy in a group of patients with significant aortic stenosis who underwent aortic valve replacement. Our hypothesis was that patients with significant aortic stenosis and left ventricular hypertrophy would have increased QT dispersion before aortic valve replacement and that QT dispersion would be reduced in association with regression of left ventricular hypertrophy after valve replacement.

Methods

The medical records in the cardiology section of the university affiliated Veterans Administration Medical Center from 1984 to 1996 were reviewed retrospectively for patients with an established diagnosis of aortic stenosis who underwent aortic valve replacement during this period. Inclusion criteria were the availability of 12 lead ECGs and two echocardiograms of good quality, one performed before aortic valve replacement and a second one a minimum of 12 months after valve surgery.

Exclusion criteria included a history of previous myocardial infarction (by history or the presence of pathological Q waves on ECG), angiographically significant coronary artery disease (> 50% stenosis in one of the main epicardial coronary arteries), sustained ventricular tachycardia, moderate to severe aortic stenosis or a left ventricular ejection fraction of < 45%. Subjects whose 12 lead ECGs were of poor quality, had bundle branch block, atrial fibrillation, or were taken while the patient was on an antiarrhythmic agent known to prolong the QT interval were also excluded, as were patients who were on angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors.
(ACE) inhibitor treatment at baseline or at follow up.\textsuperscript{15} All 12 lead ECGs were recorded with the patient resting supine, using an analogue system at 25 mm/second paper speed, 10 mm/mV gain, and 40 Hz low pass filter setting. The QT intervals were measured on all possible leads by an experienced observer blinded to all clinical details using a semiautomated digitising program. The QT intervals were taken to be from the onset of the QRS to the end of the T wave by a tangential method.\textsuperscript{11} If U waves were present the QT interval was measured to the nadir of the curve between the T and U waves, also with the aid of a tangent. Three consecutive cycles were measured for each lead. QT intervals were corrected with Bazett’s formula (QTc = QT/RR\textsuperscript{1/2}). QT dispersion was determined as the difference between maximum and minimum QTc intervals in different leads. No subject had fewer than nine measurable leads.

To determine interobserver variability, a second observer made independent blinded QT determinations of 20 randomly selected ECGs. Intraobserver variability was evaluated by QT analysis of 30 randomly assigned ECGs, again in a blinded fashion by a single observer. Interobserver measurement error was avoided by using the measurements of the same experienced observer for statistical comparisons.

Left ventricular measurements from the M mode echocardiograms were made by two physicians who were blinded to ECG measurements. The echocardiograms were evaluated according to the recommendation of the American Society of Echocardiography.\textsuperscript{16} Diastolic measurements of left ventricular interventricular septal thickness, and posterior wall were obtained. Left ventricular mass was calculated according to the formula: left ventricular mass = 1.04[(LVID + PWT + IVST)\textsuperscript{3} − (LVID)\textsuperscript{3}] − 13.6 g,\textsuperscript{17,18} where LVID is left ventricular internal dimension, PWT is posterior wall thickness, and IVST is interventricular septal dimension. Left ventricular mass index (LVMI) was determined by dividing left ventricular mass by body surface area.

**STATISTICS**

All data are expressed as mean (SD). Linear regression analysis was performed between LVMI, mean aortic valve area, and QT dispersion measures. The Student’s paired $t$ test was used to compare QT dispersion before and after aortic valve replacement with the chosen statistical significance level of $p < 0.05$.

**Results**

The study group consisted of 36 men of whom 30 were white and the remainder black (African-American). The mean (SD) age was 62 (8.1) years, with baseline left ventricular ejection fraction of 58 (7.2)%. The mean aortic valve area before aortic valve replacement was 0.68 (0.18) cm\textsuperscript{2}. All patients in the study group had one or more of the following symptoms—chest pain, syncope, or dyspnoea on exertion, which necessitated aortic valve replacement. Nineteen (53%) of the patients had a history of hypertension, eight (22%) had chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, seven (19%) had peripheral vascular disease, and three (8%) had diabetes mellitus. Echocardiograms and ECGs at baseline were performed 7 (5) and 3 (1) days, respectively, before aortic valve replacement and were then repeated 620 (184) and 580 (230) days after valve replacement. The time interval between follow up echocardiograms and ECGs was 125 (82) days. Twenty two of the patients had metallic aortic valve prostheses (20 St Jude’s and two Bjork-Shiley) and the remaining 14 had Carpentier-Edwards prostheses.

**Table 1  Echocardiographic and QT measurements before and after aortic valve replacement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>69 (8.2)</td>
<td>66 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVID (cm)</td>
<td>5.33 (0.68)</td>
<td>4.99 (0.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVS (cm)</td>
<td>1.35 (0.26)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWT (cm)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.19)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVMI (g/m\textsuperscript{2})</td>
<td>267 (90)</td>
<td>190 (79)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic valve gradient (cm\textsuperscript{2})</td>
<td>45.2 (11)</td>
<td>15.2 (6.2)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum QT (ms)</td>
<td>476 (64)</td>
<td>388 (45)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum QT (ms)</td>
<td>346 (33)</td>
<td>318 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QT dispersion (ms)</td>
<td>133 (54)</td>
<td>71 (33)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc dispersion (ms)</td>
<td>151 (64)</td>
<td>94 (76)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD). **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$. IVS, interventricular septum; LVID, left ventricular internal dimension; LVMI, left ventricular mass index; PWT, posterior wall thickness.

**Figure 1 Individual QT dispersion values before and after aortic valve replacement**

**Figure 2 Relation between QT and mean aortic valve area before aortic valve replacement.**

$r = 0.697$  
$p < 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$
QT dispersion after aortic valve replacement

The echocardiographic and ECG data before and after aortic valve replacement are presented in Table 1. The mean QT dispersion in patients before aortic valve replacement was 133 (54) ms, with a significant reduction after aortic valve replacement (71 (33) ms, \( p < 0.001 \)). Individual QT dispersion values before and after valve replacement are shown in Figure 1. Before aortic valve replacement, QT dispersion was significantly correlated with mean aortic valve gradient (Figure 2) and LVMI (Figure 3). QTc dispersion was also significantly correlated with mean aortic valve gradient and LVMI (\( r = 0.687 \), \( p < 4 \times 10^{-5} \) and \( r = 0.45 \), \( p < 0.01 \), respectively). An important point to note is that all patients in the study satisfied the echocardiographic criteria for left ventricular hypertrophy (LVMI > 131 g/m²). Intraobserver variability of QT dispersion measurements was 12 (6.2) ms with a corresponding interobserver variability of 18 (10) ms.

**Discussion**

Our main finding was that patients with significant aortic stenosis and left ventricular hypertrophy have increased QT dispersion, which is reduced after aortic valve replacement. The relation between QT dispersion, LVMI, and aortic valve area suggests that regression of left ventricular hypertrophy after valve replacement may partly be responsible for the significant reduction in QT dispersion.

This study confirms the findings of earlier studies, which have shown that in the absence of significant aortic stenosis, QT dispersion is increased in patients with left ventricular hypertrophy. In particular, QT dispersion had a significant positive correlation with LVMI, indicating inhomogeneity of repolarisation in relation to left ventricular hypertrophy. In previous studies, QT dispersion has been shown to be increased in patients after acute myocardial infarction and in patients with the long QT syndrome, chronic heart failure and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. In these populations, increased QT dispersion has also been shown to be associated with susceptibility to ventricular arrhythmias or sudden cardiac death. Although arrhythmic susceptibility was not assessed in our group of patients, our observations suggest that left ventricular hypertrophy is associated with increased inhomogeneity in repolarisation, probably predisposing patients with significant aortic stenosis and left ventricular hypertrophy to life threatening arrhythmias.

One possible mechanism responsible for increased QT dispersion in patients with left ventricular hypertrophy may be related to alteration in ion channels responsible for cardiac repolarisation. Abnormalities in the potassium channels in hypertrophied myocytes have been shown to contribute to the prolongation of the action potential. In addition, studies showing that QT dispersion is longer in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy than in those without suggest that changes in potassium channel physiology owing to hypertrophied myocytes may contribute to increased QT dispersion. Local ischaemia of the hypertrophied ventricle, as well as increased anisotropy and stretching of myocardial fibres, could also be other mechanisms whereby QT dispersion is increased in patients with left ventricular hypertrophy.

Left ventricular hypertrophy is commonly found in patients with symptomatic aortic stenosis and so may be related to the increased incidence of sudden cardiac death in unoperated patients. Regression of left ventricular hypertrophy after aortic valve replacement could lead to reduced arrhythmic risk. However, it is not possible from this study to comment on whether a reduction in QT dispersion after valve surgery for aortic stenosis would necessarily translate into reduced arrhythmic risk. Studies specifically looking at regression of left ventricular hypertrophy and survival after aortic valve replacement are clearly needed. Nevertheless, QT dispersion could be a useful indicator of risk and risk reduction in patients with significant symptomatic aortic stenosis.

The size of the study population was limited in part by the exclusion of patients with reduced left ventricular systolic function and significant coronary heart disease, since both of these factors can independently influence QT dispersion. Clearly, a prospective study in a larger patient sample is needed to define more accurately the precise relation between QT dispersion and regression of left ventricular hypertrophy after aortic valve replacement. Other limitations of the study include variations in the timing of the follow up echocardiograms and ECGs, which were on average performed four months apart; however, these are inherent restrictions of a retrospective study. Although patients on ACE inhibitor treatment were excluded from the study (since this is known to reduce QT dispersion), the effect of other drug treatments was not assessed in this study. Therefore, it is possible that these could have biased the results.

Our study has shown that QT dispersion is increased in patients with significant aortic stenosis and left ventricular hypertrophy. Aortic valve replacement leads to a reduction in QT dispersion and regression of left ventricular hypertrophy. QT dispersion could be a useful indicator of risk and risk reduction in patients with significant symptomatic aortic stenosis.