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Abstract

Objectives—(1) To investigate the electrophysiological effects of flecainide and propafenone during atrial fibrillation, and their relation to arrhythmia termination; (2) to investigate the effects of isoprenaline on atrial fibrillation in basal conditions and during treatment with class 1C drugs to evaluate the role of adrenergic stimulation on proarrrhythmic events occurring during this treatment.

Design—Prospective, single centre study.

Setting—University hospital.

Methods—10 patients with lone paroxysmal atrial fibrillation underwent an electrophysiological study. The dynamic behaviour of MFF (the mean of 100 consecutive atrial fibrillation intervals) was evaluated at two atrial sites after induction of atrial fibrillation either at baseline or after class 1C drug administration (flecainide or propafenone 2 mg/kg). The effects of isoprenaline on MFF and RR interval were also investigated both under basal conditions and during class 1C drug treatment.

Results—After induction of atrial fibrillation, mean (SD) MFF shortened with time, and was further shortened by isoprenaline infusion (177 (22) v 162 (16) v 144 (11) ms, p < 0.05). The administration of class 1C drugs reversed this trend and significantly increased the MFF to an average of 295 (49) ms, leading to conversion to sinus rhythm within 10 minutes in all patients. Atrial fibrillation was then reinduced on class 1C drugs: isoprenaline shortened the MFF and RR interval with a trend to AV synchronisation (223 (43) v 269 (49) ms for the MFF, 347 (55) v 509 (92) ms for the RR, p < 0.05); 1:1 sustained AV conduction occurred in two patients, at 187 and 222 beats/min respectively. One of these patients underwent electrical cardioversion because of haemodynamic collapse.

Conclusions—Class 1C drugs are effective at restoring sinus rhythm by increasing the MFF to a much greater extent than observed in self terminating atrial fibrillation episodes, and reversing the spontaneous atrial fibrillation behaviour (progressive shortening of MFF and self perpetuation of atrial fibrillation). MFF prolongation with 1:1 conduction at fast ventricular rates may lead to synchronisation during adrenergic stimulation, with a very short ventricular cycle; hence it is advisable to keep the patients at rest after acute class 1C drug loading or to consider pharmacological modulation of AV conduction for patients who are prone to a fast ventricular response.

(Heart 1999;82:176–182)
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Although basic and experimental research has provided insight into the mechanisms of atrial fibrillation and the effects of many antiarrhythmic drugs,1–5 the determinants of pharmacological action during atrial arrhythmias are only partly understood. Class 1C antiarrhythmic drugs are useful treatment for recent onset atrial fibrillation in all the subsets of patients, ranging from those with lone atrial fibrillation to those with structural heart disease.6–8 Nonetheless their actions during atrial fibrillation require clarification, as they may not be identical to those predicted by the experimental environment. From the concept of wavelength2 one would not expect them to have such a striking effect in conversion to sinus rhythm because of their marked depression of conduction velocity. We showed previously that atrial dynamic changes during fibrillation describe the likelihood of self termination or persistence of the arrhythmia in patients with lone atrial fibrillation.9 We therefore sought to determine whether the same approach could identify the particular electrophysiological variables that are affected by class 1C agents in restoring sinus rhythm. We also examined the electrophysiological effect of adrenergic stimulation by isoprenaline during atrial fibrillation in patients given class 1C drugs, as the most important untoward effects (namely synchronisation with 1:1 atrioventricular conduction) have been described in patients experiencing some degree of adrenergic activation.10 11

Methods

STUDY POPULATION

The study population comprised 10 patients (nine male and one female) ranging in age from 29 to 46 years (mean (SD) 36 (5) years) referred to our institution between July 1993 and November 1994 for frequent self terminating episodes of lone paroxysmal atrial fibrillation—that is, without any demonstrable organic heart disease. Atrial fibrillation had been documented previously on multiple Holter recordings and on hospital admissions, and
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these patients were referred because of weekly recurrent episodes of atrial fibrillation. Careful clinical history taking and repeated Holter recordings of the clinical arrhythmia did not identify any peculiar pattern leading to the onset of atrial fibrillation in any of the patients. Each patient underwent clinical evaluation, bi-dimensional echocardiography, a stress test, stress thallium 201 tomography, and determination of plasma thyroid hormone concentrations. All these tests needed to be normal for enrolment in the study.

Every drug that could interfere with atrial electrophysiological properties was discontinued at least five half times before the start of the study. No patient was evaluated under or after amiodarone treatment.

ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES
Electrophysiological studies were carried out in a standardised way, as described previously in detail. Endocavitary atrial signals at the high right atrium (HRA), coronary sinus (CS), and right ventricle, and ECG leads I, aVF, V2, and V5 were simultaneously recorded on a seven channel recorder at a standard paper speed of 100 mm/s. Programmed stimulation was performed using a square wave at 2.5 diastolic threshold and 2 ms duration. The stimulation protocol included the single extrastimulus method at basic cycle length and at incremental cycle lengths of 600, 500, and 400 ms. Atrial vulnerability was assessed by programmed electrical stimulation with one extrastimulus during sinus rhythm and atrial pacing at the three above mentioned cycle lengths.

The extrastimulus was delivered after eight paced beats late in diastole, and the coupling interval was shortened by steps of 5 ms and finally of 1 ms until the effective atrial refractory period was reached or atrial fibrillation was induced.

DATA ACQUISITION AND SIGNAL PROCESSING
Two body surface ECG leads were recorded simultaneously with two atrial electrograms (HRA and CS) on a seven channel FM tape recorder and later digitised (1 kHz sampling frequency) for interval measurement. A computer program was developed to measure beat to beat atrial intervals (FF) from each record.

selecting atrial fibrillation episode. An isoprenaline onset and at the fifth minute of each long lasting atrial fibrillation episode. An isoprenaline infusion was then started at 5 µg/min and measurements were repeated at steady state. After isoprenaline washout, flecainide or propafenone were given in random order and measurements were repeated at arrhythmia termination or after 10 minutes. Both drugs were given intravenously at a dose of 2 mg/kg/min over three minutes. Sinus rhythm was restored in all patients.

Where sinus rhythm was restored, electrophysiological study was resumed within 20 minutes of class 1C drug loading, and atrial fibrillation was induced during class 1C drug administration. After five minutes of observation
to ensure stability, isoprenaline was resumed and the measurements repeated at steady state. Finally they were repeated after isoprenaline washout on termination of atrial fibrillation.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The behaviour of MFF at each recording site and of the corresponding RR interval was investigated by analysis of variance in baseline atrial fibrillation episodes (onset v 5 min v isoprenaline v class 1C drug); when significant differences were found, data were analysed by the Bonferroni test.

The behaviour of MFF and RR interval in atrial fibrillation episodes induced after drug administration was evaluated by the same method (onset v isoprenaline v termination).

Atrial effective refractory periods at baseline and after class 1C drugs were compared by paired t test.

**Results**

Age and basic electrophysiological characteristics are given in table 1. The latter were within normal limits. The mean (SD) duration of the 10 atrial fibrillation episodes induced at baseline was 36.17 (7.22) minutes (range 28.07 to 48.53 minutes); the duration of the 10 episodes induced after class 1C drug loading was 22.98 (3.75) minutes (range 18.10 to 29.23 minutes). Each patient had already had self terminating atrial fibrillation episodes induced by the same protocol: two episodes in four patients, three episodes in five patients, four episodes in one patient.

Atrial fibrillation lasting longer than five minutes was induced in all the patients by the single extrastimulus technique under basal conditions. The MFF showed continuous transitions from type I to type II and sometimes type III atrial fibrillation, according to Wells et al,13 although a predominance of types I and II was observed in all the patients. All these 10 atrial fibrillation episodes were terminated by class 1C drug administration within 10 minutes of intravenous loading (average 6.37 (2.25) minutes, range 2.63 to 8.87 minutes). The MFF shortened after five minutes and showed further shortening during steady state isoprenaline infusion, while it showed a significant increase after class 1C drug administration (p < 0.02 by analysis of variance; table 2, fig 1).

The corresponding RR interval was significantly shortened by isoprenaline infusion, whereas no changes were observed between baseline, five minutes, and class 1C drug administration (p < 0.005 by analysis of variance).

**Table 1** Age and basic electrophysiological characteristics of patients with atrial fibrillation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Basal cycle (ms)</th>
<th>P duration (ms)</th>
<th>PA duration (ms)</th>
<th>AH duration (ms)</th>
<th>HV duration (ms)</th>
<th>cSNRT duration (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF 2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF 3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF 4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF 5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF 6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF 7</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF 8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF 9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF 10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AH, atrium to bundle of His; cSNRT, corrected sinus mode recovery time; HV, bundle of His to ventricle.

**Table 2** Effect of intravenous isoprenaline and class 1C drugs on mean of 100 consecutive atrial fibrillation intervals (MFF) and mean RR interval under basal conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>5 Minutes</th>
<th>Isoprenaline</th>
<th>Class 1C drug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MFF HRA (ms)</td>
<td>177 (22)†</td>
<td>162 (16)*</td>
<td>144 (11)*</td>
<td>295 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFF CS (ms)</td>
<td>180 (16)*</td>
<td>168 (11)*</td>
<td>148 (13)*</td>
<td>300 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR (ms)</td>
<td>480 (105)</td>
<td>480 (108)</td>
<td>377 (37)</td>
<td>529 (138)†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < 0.05 v class 1C drug; † p < 0.05 v isoprenaline.

CS, coronary sinus; HRA, high right atrium.

**Figure 1** Dynamic behaviour of the MFF and the RR interval through each AF episode from onset, through isoprenaline administration, until termination after class 1C drug administration. From top to bottom: high right atrium (HRA), coronary sinus (CS), and right ventricle in all patients. MFF, mean of 100 consecutive FF intervals; RR, ventricular cycle.
No correlation was found between the dispersion of atrial conduction (ΔA1A2), atrial refractoriness, or latent vulnerability index at baseline and the duration of atrial fibrillation or the time to conversion to sinus rhythm after class 1C drug administration. No significant relation was observed between the MFF at induction of atrial fibrillation and time to conversion to sinus rhythm.

Atrial fibrillation was later induced in all 10 patients: in four by programmed stimulation by three extrastimuli at 400 ms cycle length; in three by two extrastimuli at 600 ms, 500 ms, and 500 ms, respectively; and in three by atrial pacing. A value for the atrial effective refractory period was available in six patients at 600 ms for comparison under basal condition and after class 1C drug administration; it was significantly longer after the class 1C drug (207 (13) ms vs 192 (13) ms, p < 0.002). In these six patients, the latent vulnerability index was only minimally changed compared with baseline (2.18 (0.25) cm on drug vs 2.41 (0.2) cm at baseline, NS), as was the value for ΔA1A2 (31 (15) ms on drug vs 38 (13) ms at baseline, NS).

The AV node functional refractory period was 329 (21) ms at baseline and 333 (27) ms on class 1C drug at 600 ms (NS).

The MFF in atrial fibrillation episodes induced after class 1C drug loading was much longer than was observed at the onset of baseline atrial fibrillation, whereas the RR interval was similar; at steady state isoprenaline administration, both the MFF and the RR interval shortened, whereas they both increased after isoprenaline washout before termination of atrial fibrillation (p < 0.03 for MFF, p < 0.001 for RR by analysis of variance; table 3, fig 2).

Isoprenaline administration after 1C drugs resulted in a trend towards atrioventricular synchronisation, owing to the greater effect on atrioventricular conduction than on the MFF. Sustained 1:1 atrioventricular conduction occurred in two patients, at 320 ms (187 beats/min) and 270 ms (222 beats/min), respectively. In the first instance the patient (given flecainide) experienced only mild hypotension and recovered uneventfully shortly after discontinuation of isoprenaline, whereas the second patient (given propafenone) required electrical cardioversion for haemodynamic collapse owing to persistent 1:1 conduction (fig 3). The MFF at atrial fibrillation onset was 397 ms in the former, whereas it was 304 ms in the latter. These two patients had shorter Wenckebach cycles by ramp atrial pacing (310 and 280 ms).

| Table 3 Atrial fibrillation (AF) behaviour and isoprenaline effect during class 1C drug treatment |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
|                            | AF onset      | Isoprenaline               | AF termination |
| MFF HRA (ms)               | 269 (49)      | 223 (43)                   | 279 (46)*      |
| MFF CS (ms)                | 274 (49)      | 228 (41)                   | 282 (48)*      |
| RR (ms)                    | 509 (92)*     | 347 (35)                   | 515 (79)*      |

*p < 0.05 vs isoprenaline.
CS, coronary sinus; HRA, high right atrium; MFF, mean FF interval; RR, mean RR interval.

The AV node functional refractory period was 329 (21) ms at baseline and 333 (27) ms on class 1C drug at 600 ms (NS).

The MFF in atrial fibrillation episodes induced after class 1C drug loading was much longer than was observed at the onset of baseline atrial fibrillation, whereas the RR interval was similar; at steady state isoprenaline administration, both the MFF and the RR interval shortened, whereas they both increased after isoprenaline washout before termination of atrial fibrillation (p < 0.03 for MFF, p < 0.001 for RR by analysis of variance; table 3, fig 2).

Isoprenaline administration after 1C drugs resulted in a trend towards atrioventricular synchronisation, owing to the greater effect on atrioventricular conduction than on the MFF. Sustained 1:1 atrioventricular conduction occurred in two patients, at 320 ms (187 beats/min) and 270 ms (222 beats/min), respectively. In the first instance the patient (given flecainide) experienced only mild hypotension and recovered uneventfully shortly after discontinuation of isoprenaline, whereas the second patient (given propafenone) required electrical cardioversion for haemodynamic collapse owing to persistent 1:1 conduction (fig 3). The MFF at atrial fibrillation onset was 397 ms in the former, whereas it was 304 ms in the latter. These two patients had shorter Wenckebach cycles by ramp atrial pacing (310 and 280 ms).
Discussion

In this study we investigated the mechanisms involved in the termination of atrial fibrillation by class 1C drugs, which appear to be an effective treatment for recent onset atrial fibrillation—as widely reported—indeed in patients with or without structural heart disease. Although the likelihood of spontaneous conversion to sinus rhythm in patients with recent onset atrial fibrillation seems quite high in the absence of severe heart disease and symptoms of heart failure, a significant proportion of patients require hospital admission, electrical cardioversion, and anticoagulant treatment in the absence of fast and effective pharmacological treatment. Experimental studies have shown that “atrial fibrillation begets atrial fibrillation,” implying that prompt termination of atrial fibrillation is mandatory for long term preservation of sinus rhythm. Thus, understanding the electrophysiological mechanisms associated either with self termination or drug induced termination of atrial fibrillation is of the greatest importance.

In a previous study, we observed that self terminating atrial fibrillation episodes are associated with prolongation of the MFF, whereas long lasting atrial fibrillation episodes behave in the opposite; moreover, the MFF was closely correlated with atrial functional refractoriness. In this study, the administration of flecainide and propafenone during prolonged episodes of atrial fibrillation—after MFF had already shortened compared with baseline—resulted in a significant prolongation of the MFF followed by arrhythmia termination within 10 minutes in all patients. Thus class 1C drugs reversed the spontaneous trend in the MFF, leading to an increase in MFF which was associated with termination of atrial fibrillation. Interestingly, the prolongation of MFF occurred to a much greater extent (average 300 ms) than previously observed in self terminating episodes (average 198 ms).

The interpretation of the drug effect is inherently difficult as these agents markedly increase conduction velocity as well as increasing atrial refractoriness at high rates in a use dependent manner, and both mechanisms prolong the MFF. Nonetheless, the MFF itself is the most useful index in the clinical electrophysiology setting because first, the effect of class 1C drugs on atrial refractoriness is much greater during atrial fibrillation than in sinus rhythm owing to use dependency; second, there is no practical way of evaluating conduction velocity in this setting. Examining the dynamic behaviour of the MFF, one could argue that class 1C drugs reverse the progressive shortening of the MFF associated with persistence of atrial fibrillation and thus enhance the likelihood of conversion to sinus rhythm. The electropharmacological basis could either be a conduction block of depolarising wavefronts in a critical area, or the use dependent prolongation of atrial refractoriness to a critical wavelength value at which arrhythmia perpetuation is impossible. The greater efficacy of class 1C drugs compared with quinidine, which lacks this latter characteristic, supports the second hypothesis.

In a recently published paper, Stambler et al reported the electrophysiological effects of procainamide and ibutilide in the conversion to sinus rhythm of 48 patients with long standing atrial fibrillation (average duration 23 (20) days), 46 of whom had type I atrial fibrillation according to Wells et al. Comparison of the effect on atrial fibrillation cycle and on the monophasic action potential duration (MAPD) showed that the two drugs were equally effective in prolonging the atrial fibrillation cycle, but ibutilide increased MAPD to a greater extent. This was associated with a significantly greater efficacy in restoring sinus rhythm (9/28) than with procainamide (1/20). These data support the hypothesis that prolongation of refractoriness may be the most important mechanism whereby conversion to sinus rhythm is achieved during antiarrhythmic treatment, at least in type I chronic atrial fibrillation. One important characteristic in the population studied by Stambler et al was the predominance of type I atrial fibrillation (which has a regular atrial pattern with isoelectric intervals between consecutive depolarisations) whereas in patients with chronic atrial fibrillation, type II and III variants are more likely to be observed owing to electrophysiological remodelling which leads to shortening of atrial refractoriness.

In our patients, dynamic changes from type I to type II and III were continuously recorded at the same site at different times, although the duration of atrial fibrillation did not exceed 50 minutes. In addition, the MFF after five minutes in our patients was exactly similar to that observed by Stambler et al in their population with chronic atrial fibrillation, thus implying a greater derangement of atrial electrophysiological properties in our patients. This underlines the fact that the pathological substrate and the electrophysiological milieu are very different in lone atrial fibrillation and in structural heart disease, with obvious implications for the efficacy of antiarrhythmic treatment.

The efficacy of class 1C drugs in recent onset atrial fibrillation may thus be explained either by a frequency dependent increase in atrial refractoriness or by conduction block in an “electrophysiologically ill” tissue. The lesser efficacy of ibutilide in chronic atrial fibrillation observed by Stambler et al results from the arrhythmia duration, which causes further electrophysiological remodelling by shortening atrial refractoriness and MFF; this implies that prolongation of refractoriness is mandatory for an antiarrhythmic action. As pointed out by Stambler et al, a critical value of MFF (below 160 ms) or MAPD (below 125 ms) seems to rule out the possibility of restoring sinus rhythm by pharmacological treatment. This observation reinforces the concept that atrial fibrillation of recent onset should be terminated promptly, with a view to preventing the electrophysiological remodelling that occurs
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with time' and which in turn makes it less likely that sinus rhythm can be restored.

ISOPRENALINE EFFECT DURING ATRIAL FIBRILLATION

To our knowledge, no data have been reported on the effect of isoprenaline on the atrial cycle during atrial fibrillation. Our data clearly show that isoprenaline shortens the MFF, either in the drug-free state or after class 1C drugs. This is of great importance when considering either the setting of acute loading for the treatment of atrial fibrillation, or recurrence of atrial fibrillation during chronic treatment—if adrenergic stimulation occurs, the combination of long MFF and enhanced AV conduction may result in 1:1 synchronisation with fast ventricular rates and, below a certain MFF value, haemodynamic collapse. This phenomenon has been emphasised before, but its electrophysiological basis was not described; is likely to occur during atrial fibrillation in subjects with normal left ventricular function, who usually have intact AV conduction properties and short Wenckebach cycles. Thus an isoprenaline challenge is a useful test to identify the electrophysiological mechanism of unexpected outcomes such as syncope or wide QRS complex tachycardia during treatment with a class 1C drug. Administration of agents that can modulate AV conduction (calcium antagonists or β blockers plus digoxin) has been proposed for reducing the risk of atrial flutter with 1:1 AV conduction after class 1C agents, but this concept has never been supported by a prospective trial. In fact, the occurrence of such a phenomenon depends on several factors, such as the autonomic input to the atria and the AV node, and the pharmacological action of class 1C drugs on both the MFF and the AV node (which mainly depends on age and underlying heart disease). It is conceivable that the presence of a short Wenckebach cycle, a structurally normal heart, and prolongation of the MFF in the range of 270–300 ms may predispose selected patients to very rapid ventricular rates (200–220 beats/min) in cases of 1:1 synchronisation.

A shift of the electrocardiographic pattern from atrial fibrillation to atrial flutter has been described even in patients not treated with antiarrhythmic agents; thus class 1C drugs may simply emphasise or unmask a peculiar electrophysiological pattern which may be patient or arrhythmia related. An issue for future study could be whether the most appropriate antiarrhythmic treatment for atrial fibrillation—either in terms of efficacy or safety—can be selected on the basis of the electrophysiological pattern, which may range from a regular flutter-like activity (type I) to a rather disorganised (type II and III) atrial activation with frequent transitions from one to the other.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The population under evaluation represents a minority of the wide clinical spectrum of patients with atrial fibrillation, but in this selected group with lone paroxysmal atrial fibrillation the evaluation of atrial electrophysiological derangement is enhanced because of the absence of gross anatomical alterations. Investigation of the dynamic behaviour of atrial fibrillation is crucial to our understanding of the mechanisms that underlie the transition from the paroxysmal to the chronic form, and may provide guidance for new pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments, for example radiofrequency ablation. A more extensive and sophisticated mapping approach than recording the HRA and CS electrodes—such as that provided by multisite recording catheters or by surgical mapping of the atria—would have certainly been helpful; however, any added benefit in terms of monitoring the effects of pharmacological treatment is as yet undefined.

Management of superior vena caval obstruction secondary to a pacing wire with percutaneous intravascular stent insertion

Superior vena caval (SVC) syndrome following permanent pacemaker implantation is an uncommon complication and may occur as a consequence of thrombosis, stenosis or both. Accepted modes of treatment include thrombolysis, surgery, and percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA).

A 77 year old man presented 8 years after AAI pacemaker insertion with a six month history of progressive dyspnoea and facial oedema. On examination he had the classic findings of SVC obstruction. Contrast enhanced computed tomography confirmed an intravascular SVC filling defect enveloping the pacing wire extending from the left brachiocephalic vein to right atrium.

Tissue plasminogen activator administration (90 mg over 2 hours) followed by 48 hour heparin infusion failed to recanalise the vessel. Right heart catheterisation confirmed complete SVC occlusion with drainage via the azygous system (left). A 12 mm angioplasty balloon was successfully inflated at the right atrium/SVC junction but deflation resulted in immediate re-occlusion. A 16 x 56 mm self expanding Wallstent was inserted and dilated with a 20 mm valvotomy balloon. Subsequent angiography demonstrated free flow of contrast into the right atrium (right). Oral ticlopidine (250 mg bid) was given for two weeks and the patient was prescribed warfarin. Pacing checks before and after the procedure were unremarkable. At three months he remained asymptomatic.

Fear of pacing wire damage has resulted in underuse of stents in treating SVC syndrome with only one previous report of such a procedure. This report demonstrates that stents can be used safely in resistant cases.
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