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Abstract

Objectives—To determine the efficacy of coronary angioplasty as the sole method of revascularisation in patients with coronary artery disease and chronically dysfunctional but viable myocardium (hibernating myocardium), and to assess the effect of restenosis on functional outcome.

Design and patients—24 consecutive patients with hibernating myocardium were studied. Positron emission tomography was used to assess myocardial viability, blood flow, and flow reserve. One patient refused angioplasty, one had bypass surgery, and one died while waiting for an elective procedure. The procedure failed in three patients. The remaining 18 patients had repeat echocardiography, 15 had repeat coronary angiography, and nine had repeat assessments of blood flow and flow reserve at mean (SD) 17 (2) weeks after angioplasty. In three patients restenosis was documented.

Results—The wall motion score index in the revascularised territories improved from 1(276,540),(288,570)(290,540),(302,570)(304,540),(316,570)(318,540),(330,570)(332,540),(344,570)(346,540),(359,570)(361,540),(373,570)(375,540),(387,570)(391,540),(403,570)(405,540),(417,570)(420,540),(432,570)(434,540),(446,570)(448,540),(460,570)(462,540),(475,570)(477,540),(490,570)(492,540),(504,570)(506,540),(518,570)(520,540),(532,570)(534,540),(546,570)(548,540),(561,570)(563,540),(575,570)(577,540),(589,570)(591,540),(603,570)(605,540),(617,570)(619,540),(631,570)(633,540),(645,570)(647,540),(659,570)(661,540),(673,570)(675,540),(687,570)(689,540),(701,570)(703,540),(715,570)(717,540),(729,570)(731,540),(743,570)(745,540),(757,570)(759,540),(771,570)(773,540),(785,570)(787,540),(800,570)(802,540),(814,570)(816,540),(828,570)(830,540),(842,570)(844,540),(856,570)(858,540),(870,570)(872,540),(884,570)(886,540),(898,570)(900,540),(912,570)(914,540),(926,570)(928,540),(940,570)(942,540),(954,570)(956,540),(968,570)(970,540),(982,570)(984,540),(996,570)(1000,540),(1012,570)(1024,540),(1036,570)(1038,540),(1050,570)(1052,540),(1062,570)(1064,540),(1076,570)(1078,540),(1090,570)(1092,540),(1104,570)(1106,540),(1118,570)(1120,540),(1132,570)(1134,540),(1146,570) to 1.34 (0.47) (p = 0.008). Thirty of 51 dysfunctional segments improved in territories without restenosis compared with three of 14 in restenosed territories (p = 0.001). Hibernating and normal segments had comparable flows (0.82 (0.26) v 0.89 (0.24) ml/min/g; NS) while flow reserve was lower in hibernating segments (1.55 (0.68) v 2.07 (1.08); p = 0.03). In segments without restenosis flow reserve improved from 2.03 (1.25) to 2.33 (1.4) (p = 0.03). Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive accuracy of the viability study were 97%, 77%, 82%, and 96%, respectively. After excluding patients with restenosis, specificity and positive predictive accuracy improved to 90% and 93%.

Conclusions—Angioplasty improves function in hibernating myocardium, and restenosis prevents recovery; hibernating myocardium is characterised by an impairment of flow reserve; restenosis affects the diagnostic accuracy of viability studies.

(Heart 1999;82:210–216)
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The main indication for percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) is to relieve angina in patients with single vessel coronary artery disease.1 However, with improvements in the technique and expertise, the indications for this procedure are widening.2 At present, patients with complex multivessel coronary artery disease are revascularised by PTCA.3 Recent trials comparing coronary bypass surgery (CABG) with PTCA in patients with multivessel coronary artery disease4 have reported comparable survival rates for the two techniques.

It has been shown in several studies that coronary revascularisation can lead to functional improvement of chronically dysfunctional but viable (hibernating) myocardium in patients with coronary artery disease.5 In most instances either CABG alone6–10 or a mixture of CABG and PTCA11–17 were used, and in those studies in which PTCA alone was employed, follow up angiography was not systematically performed to assess the adequacy of reperfusion and vessel patency.18 19 This is particularly important as the restenosis rate in these patients may be more than 40%.20–22 Our aim in the present study was therefore to assess the efficacy of PTCA alone for the revascularisation of hibernating myocardium, the presence of which was predicted by positron emission tomography (PET). In addition, by performing follow up angiography, we investigated the impact of restenosis on the functional outcome after PTCA and the diagnostic accuracy of PET.

Methods

STUDY POPULATION

We recruited 24 consecutive patients (23 men, one woman; mean (SD) age 62 (10) years) who were selected to undergo PTCA; they all had coronary artery disease and at least one chronically dysfunctional left ventricular region supplied by a diseased coronary artery with stenosis of > 70%. One patient had an acute myocardial infarction two months before enrolment, while none of the others had myocardial infarction or unstable angina in the six months preceding the study. In all but one patient the most recent myocardial infarct or unstable symptom was more than six months before enrolment into the study. Three patients had diabetes mellitus, four were hypertensive, and two had both diabetes and hypertension.

Of the 24 patients, one died from a ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm before the procedure, one refused treatment with PTCA, and one patient with three vessel coronary artery disease was felt to be more suitable for CABG because of disease progression. PTCA failed in...
three patients with chronically occluded arteries. The 18 remaining patients had successful PTCA. Their main clinical characteristics are given in table 1. Of these 18 patients, 10 had symptoms of stable angina and cardiac failure (New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class II), four had anginal symptoms only, three had cardiac failure symptoms only (NYHA class II), and one denied any symptoms but had a positive exercise test for myocardial ischaemia.

STUDY PROTOCOL

To confirm the chronicity of the wall motion abnormality, regional left ventricular function was assessed qualitatively on two separate occasions six to eight weeks apart using contrast left ventriculography—first at the time of diagnostic coronary angiography, and second immediately before PTCA. In addition, echocardiography was used to assess the regional wall motion score and ejection fraction before and at mean (SD) 17 (2) weeks after the PTCA.

Tissue viability was assessed in all patients before revascularisation by measuring the myocardial uptake of $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) by PET during euglycaemic hyperinsulinaemic clamp. Absolute myocardial blood flow was measured by PET at rest and during hyperaemia (dipyridamole, 0.56 mg/kg) in 17 patients before PTCA and a repeat measurement was carried out in nine after revascularisation (fig 1). PTCA was considered successful if the residual stenosis was < 30% of luminal diameter after balloon dilatation, and restenosis was considered to have occurred if a stenosis of > 50% was present at the site of PTCA on follow up angiography. All the PTCA procedures were performed by the same investigators.

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Hammersmith Hospital, and radiation exposure was licensed by the UK Administration of Radioactive Substances Advisory Committee. All patients gave informed and written consent before the study.

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY

Echocardiography was performed in conventional views. Images were digitised and cineloops were stored on disk for later review. For the analysis of wall motion, the left ventricle was divided into 16 segments, according to

Table 1  Baseline characteristics and functional status of patients before and after percutaneous transluminal coronary angiography (PTCA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>WMSI pre-PTCA</th>
<th>WMSI post-PTCA</th>
<th>EF% (pre-PTCA)</th>
<th>EF% (post-PTCA)</th>
<th>PTCA vessels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>OM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>LAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>RCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>LAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>RCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>RCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>RCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>LAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>LAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>LAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>LAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>LAD, CX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>RCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>CX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>LAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>LAD, RCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>LAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>RCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean (SD) 62 (10) 1.52 (0.27) 1.42 (0.38) 41 (11) 43 (11)

CX, circumflex coronary artery; EF, ejection fraction; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; OM1, first obtuse marginal branch; RCA, right coronary artery; WMSI, wall motion score index.

Figure 1  Schematic representation of study protocol. LV, left ventricle; ECHO, echocardiography; FDG, $^{18}$F-fluorodeoxyglucose; MBF, myocardial blood flow; PET, positron emission tomography; PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.
the American Society of Echocardiography guidelines. All studies were read by two investigators at random, blinded to patient’s name and clinical details. Functional improvement of individual segments after PTCA was defined as an improvement in resting wall motion score of one grade. The 16 ventricular segments were grouped into three vascular territories: the left anterior descending (LAD), the circumflex (CX), and the right coronary artery (RCA) territory, according to anatomical distribution of each artery. Wall motion was assessed visually, using both endocardial motion and wall thickening, according to the following scoring system: normal, 1; hypokinetic, 2; akinetic, 3. The wall motion score index (WMSI) was derived for the entire left ventricle and for each vascular territory using the sum of individual scores divided by the respective number of segments.

**PETONTRON EMISSION TOMOGRAPHY**

The PET study was carried out during hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp, according to the protocol proposed by De Fronzo et al. Technical details of the scanner and protocol used to measure myocardial blood flow and FDG uptake have been described in detail previously. Briefly, following optimisation of patient’s position using a rectilinear scan, a 20 minute transmission scan was performed to correct the emission scans for tissue attenuation. Myocardial blood flow was measured at rest and after an intravenous injection of dipyridamole (0.56 mg/kg over four minutes) using 15O labelled water. The coronary flow reserve was computed as the ratio of dipyridamole myocardial blood flow to resting myocardial blood flow. Myocardial glucose utilisation was then measured by infusing FDG intravenously (185 MBq) over a two minute period after a steady state of euglycaemia was achieved, as previously reported.

**PET DATA ANALYSIS**

Myocardial blood flow (ml/min/g of water perfusable tissue) and the metabolic rate of glucose (MRG, μmol/min/g) were calculated as previously reported. The planes of the last FDG frame in short axis view were grouped into basal, mid, and apical regions. In the basal and mid planes, six sectors corresponding to the anterior, septal, anterosiptal, lateral, inferior, and posterior myocardium and in the apical planes four sectors corresponding to anterior, septal, lateral, and inferior were drawn. In this way we obtained myocardial blood flow and MRG values for 16 regions corresponding to the echocardiographic regions.

**STATISTICS**

Continuous data are expressed as mean (SD). Comparison between paired data sets was made with Student’s paired t test and between unpaired data sets with Student’s unpaired t test. Comparison of more than two mean values was performed using one way analysis of variance for repeated measures, and Fisher’s least significant difference method was subsequently applied to localise the source of the difference. Univariate analysis for categorical variables was performed using the χ² test. The Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated for normally distributed variables. A value of p < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

Twenty vessels were dilated in the 18 patients who had successful revascularisation (table 1). The target vessel was the left anterior descending coronary artery in nine cases, the left anterior descending and right coronary artery in one case, the left anterior descending and circumflex artery in one case, the right coronary artery in five cases, and the circumflex artery in two cases. None of the patients had any serious complication during or after the procedure. All patients had repeat echocardiography and 15 had follow up coronary angiography at 17 (2) weeks. In 12 there was no evidence of restenosis, while restenosis had occurred in three. In all these three cases the restenosis was more than 70% but less than 99%. Nine patients had a repeat PET study for measurement of myocardial blood flow and coronary flow reserve within a week of repeat coronary angiography (fig 1). Visual inspection of two ventriculograms taken before the PTCA, with a mean interval of two months, showed no change in regional left ventricular function, confirming the chronicity of the condition.

**FUNCTIONAL CHANGES AFTER PTCA AND EFFECT OF RESTENOSIS ON FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME**

There were 235 adequately visualised segments in the 15 patients who had successful PTCA with angiographic follow up. These comprised 146 normal segments (62%) and 89 dysfunctional segments (38%), of which 66 (74%) were hypokinetic and 23 (26%) akinetic. Sixty five dysfunctional segments (73%) were revascularised. Two segments worsened and were discarded. Of the remaining 63 segments, 33 (52%) improved after PTCA. In contrast, of 24 dysfunctional segments that were not revascularised, none improved at follow up (p < 0.0001); one segment worsened and was therefore discarded (fig 2).
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**Figure 5** A receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) curve showing sensitivity and
specificity in identifying hibernating segments for different values of metabolic rate of
glucose (MRG) in µmol/g/min. The large dot represents the operating point associated with
the best compromise between sensitivity and specificity.

45 (10), p = 0.04), though the WMSI did not
(1.51 (0.31) v 1.39 (0.42), p = 0.07). In the 12
patients without restenosis, WMSI in the
revascularised territories improved from 1.71
(0.37) to 1.34 (0.47) (p = 0.008). In contrast,
there was no significant change in the WMSI in
the non-revascularised territories (fig 3). There
was an inverse correlation between baseline
ejection fraction and global WMSI (r = −0.7;
p = 0.001) and between post-PTCA ejection
fraction and global WMSI (r = −0.69;
p = 0.001).

**FDG UPTAKE AND OUTCOME**
Overall, the glucose metabolic rate in normal
segments was significantly higher than in
dysfunctional segments. There was a stepwise
decrease in the mean MRG with worsening of
segmental function (p < 0.05) (fig 4). There
were significant differences between the MRG
in normal segments and hypokinetic/akinet segments (p < 0.01) and between the MRG in
hypokinetic segments and akinetic segments
(p < 0.05). There was a strong correlation
between regional MRG and functional out-
come (r = 0.82; p < 0.0001), and dysfunc-
tional segments that improved after revascu-
larisation had a greater MRG than segments
that did not improve (0.40 (0.10) v 0.21 (0.16)
µmol/g/min, p < 0.0001).

**PREDICTIVE VALUE OF PET AND EFFECT OF
RESTENOSIS**
The proportion of dysfunctional segments that
improved after PTCA increased linearly with
the MRG value (r = 0.9). To determine the
MRG threshold value above which the best
prediction of improvement in functional class
of at least one grade could be obtained, a
receiver-operator characteristic curve (ROC)" was
constructed (fig 5). According to this
analysis the optimal operating point on the
curve (point of best compromise between sen-
sitivity and specificity) was at the MRG thresh-
old value of 0.25 µmol/g/min. In the 15 patients
with angiographic follow up, when an MRG
value of equal or greater than 0.25 µmol/min/g
was selected to identify viability, 32 of 33
segments that improved after PTCA were PET
viable (sensitivity 97%) and 23 of 30 segments
that remained unchanged after PTCA were
PET non-viable (specificity 77%). Thirty two
of 39 PET viable segments improved after
PTCA (positive predictive accuracy 82%) and
23 of 24 PET non-viable segments remained
unchanged after PTCA (negative predictive
accuracy 96%). However, when patients with
restenosis were excluded, specificity improved
to 90% and positive predictive accuracy to 93%
whereas sensitivity (97%) and negative predic-
tive accuracy (95%) remained unchanged.

**MYOCARDIAL BLOOD FLOW AND CORONARY FLOW
RESERVE**
Before PTCA resting myocardial blood flow in
dysfunctional but PET viable regions was
comparable with that in regions with normal
function (0.82 (0.26) v 0.89 (0.24) ml/min/g,
NS) while coronary flow reserve was lower in
the dysfunctional segments (1.55 (0.68) v 2.07
In this paper we address three main issues in patients with hibernating myocardium and coronary artery disease: the value of PTCA and the effect of restenosis on the functional outcome; the predictive value of quantitative FDG PET; and the pathophysiological role of coronary flow reserve in this group of patients.

PTCA AND MYOCARDIAL HIBERNATION

Although the number of patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction undergoing PTCA is constantly increasing, the efficacy of this procedure for the treatment of myocardial hibernation has not been adequately tested. In particular, it is important to assess the value of PTCA in those patients with only one or two vessel disease and localised regional myocardial dysfunction, as CABG may not be indicated in many of these patients. Previous studies have generally included a mixture of patients treated with PTCA or CABG as the method of revascularisation. In addition, in most cases the chronicity of left ventricular dysfunction was assumed rather than proven by repeated measurement of left ventricular function before revascularisation. Finally, in most cases the short and long term success of revascularisation was not systematically assessed. Our present study included only patients with stable coronary artery disease and persistent left ventricular dysfunction—proven by repeated evaluation of regional left ventricular function—who underwent successful PTCA. In addition, follow up angiography was carried out to assess the long term success of revascularisation. The results of our study confirm that PTCA can improve ventricular function in patients with chronically dysfunctional but viable myocardium, and that the improvement in global function is attributable only to functional improvement in the revascularised territories. Although there are some studies that have tested the effect of restenosis on functional outcome after PTCA, to our knowledge there is only one in which myocardial viability was assessed.

Discussion

The diagnostic accuracy of PET in detecting myocardial viability prior to coronary revascularisation has been examined in several studies. In most of these, the PET methodology used was qualitative or semiquantitative and depended on the simultaneous assessment of myocardial perfusion and metabolism. FDG PET during euglycaemic hyperinsulinaemic clamp provides excellent image quality and enables PET studies to be performed under standardised metabolic conditions. This allows comparison of the absolute values of the metabolic rate of glucose among different subjects. In addition, this approach circumvents the problems of poor image quality in patients with insulin resistance, a condition commonly found in many patients with coronary artery disease even in the absence of diabetes and hypertension. Despite these advantages, only limited data are available on the diagnostic accuracy of this technique.

There may be several reasons for this:

- A different dietary protocol during FDG acquisition (fasting or glucose load).
- A heterogeneous study population consisting of patients with true hibernation and stunned myocardium, as discussed above.
- A lack of follow up angiographic data to assess the adequacy of perfusion and vessel patency: failure of functional recovery of viable tissues owing to inadequate reperfusion, restenosis, or graft failure may lead to an apparently higher false positive rates affecting the specificity and positive predictive value of the viability test.
- Variation in the duration of the follow up period: the time course of functional recovery after revascularisation may differ according to the severity of histological changes, the degree of cellular dedifferentiation, and fibrosis within hibernating tissues; more dysfunctional segments may have more extensive cellular changes and may require a longer time to recover. Specificity and positive predictive accuracy of viability studies may therefore be influenced by timing of follow up study after revascularisation. In studies that include patients with more severe left ventricular dysfunction, with a relatively high proportion of akinetic or dyskinetic segments, a single follow up study three to six months after revascularisation may lead to an underestimation of the amount of recoverable tissue. In the present study, although there was only a single follow up study after PTCA, the majority...
of dysfunctional segments (76%) were hypokineti
c and therefore the timing of the follow up study (at a mean of four months after PTCA) was probably adequate to allow for the recovery of function in the viable regions.

- Effects of different revascularisation tech
iques: assessment of left ventricular func
tion after surgical revascularisation is con
founded by several factors: myocardial injury or infarction may be difficult to diag
ose during or soon after surgery, which may affect the chances of recovery of viable segments; open heart surgery is known to result in paradoxical or abnormal septal wall motion without evidence of any perfusion abnormality, and following CABG there may be a prolonged period of myocardial stunning. These limitations do not apply to revascularisation by PTCA. Using PTCA as the sole method of revascularisation, as in the present study, may therefore be more appropriate for defining the diagnostic accuracy of viability tests.

In order to obtain the true diagnostic value of any viability testing the confounding factors mentioned above all need to be addressed.

MYOCARDIAL BLOOD FLOW, CORONARY FLOW RESERVE, AND MYOCARDIAL VIABILITY

When hibernation was originally described it was thought to be the result of a gradual down
regulation of myocardial metabolism, and hence contractile ability, in response to the reduction in resting blood flow caused by cor
onary artery stenosis. However, this idea has recently been challenged by the demonstration that myocardial blood flow in most hibernating myocardial segments may not be different from that in remote normally contracting myocardium, and that resting myocardial blood flow in humans is not affected by steno
sis severity. Our data are in agreement with these findings and indicate that when myocardial blood flow is measured in water perfusible tissue, excluding scar tissue, the mean value obtained in hibernating segments (0.82 (26) ml/min/g) is within what is regarded to be the normal range. Furthermore, there was no significant change in resting myocardial blood flow in dysfunctional segments after PTCA, although many of these segments recovered after the procedure. Animal studies have shown that repeated episodes of ischaemia may lead to persistent wall motion abnormalities. It has been hypothesised that this could be the mechanism of hibernation in patients with coronary artery disease, in whom resting myocardial blood flow is within normal limits.

In our present study coronary flow reserve was significantly impaired in hibernating seg
ments compared with normal segments, and improvement in wall motion score after PTCA was associated with an improvement in coron
ary flow reserve and not in resting myocardial blood flow. This supports the hypothesis that repeated episodes of ischaemia—probably caused by the severely limited coronary flow reserve—followed by prolonged postischaemic dysfunction may be the cause of hibernation. A more detailed description of the potential mechanisms of hibernation and the techniques used for its detection has been recently reported elsewhere.

LIMITATIONS

The number of patients in the study was small. This disadvantage, however, was compensated for in some degree by the highly selective patient recruitment and follow up. Only one assessment of left ventricular function was car
ried out after PTCA and the period of follow up may not have been long enough, as discussed above. Although there was a good correlation between wall motion score and ejection fraction both before and after PTCA, echocardiography may not be an ideal method of assessing ejection fraction. While improve
ment in left ventricular function after PTCA is an important clinical finding, our study lacks data on other important clinical factors such as symptom relief, exercise capacity, quality of life, and prognosis. This is particularly impor
tant if PTCA is going to be recommended for patients with left ventricular dysfunction and hibernating myocardium.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study shows that PTCA can improve regional and global myocardial function in patients with hibernating myocardium and that restenosis may prevent this recovery. After PTCA, restenosis should be considered if there is failure of recovery of dysfunctional regions that were considered viable on cardiac imaging before the procedure. Further studies are required to identify the prognostic value of this improvement. We have also shown that quantitative FDG PET during insulin clamp has a very high diagnostic accuracy. Studies aimed to test the accuracy of the techniques used to pre
dict hibernation need to determine the ade
quacy of reperfusion and vessel patency at follow up. Finally, this study concurs with several recent ones in showing that myocardial hiber
nation seems to be characterised by an impair
ment of coronary flow reserve rather than a reduction in resting myocardial blood flow.
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