Inappropriately low plasma leptin concentration in the cachexia associated with chronic heart failure
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Abstract

Background—Cardiac cachexia is a syndrome of generalised wasting which carries a poor prognosis and is associated with raised plasma concentrations of tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα). TNFα increases secretion of leptin, a hormone which decreases food intake and increases energy expenditure.

Objective—To determine whether an inappropriate increase in plasma leptin concentration contributes to the cachexia of chronic heart failure.

Design—Retrospective case–control study.

Setting—Tertiary referral cardiology unit.

Patients—110 human subjects comprising 29 cachectic chronic heart failure patients, 22 non-cachectic chronic heart failure patients, 33 patients with ischaemic heart disease but normal ventricular function, and 26 healthy controls.

Interventions—Measurement of: body fat content by skinfold thickness (cachectic males < 27%, females < 29%; plasma leptin, TNFα, and noradrenaline (norepinephrine); central haemodynamics in chronic heart failure patients at right heart catheterisation.

Main outcome measures—Plasma leptin concentration corrected for body fat content, plasma TNFα and noradrenaline concentration, and central haemodynamics.

Results—Mean (SEM) plasma leptin concentrations were: 6.2 (0.6) ng/ml (cachectic heart failure), 16.9 (3.6) ng/ml (non-cachectic heart failure), 16.8 (3.0) ng/ml (ischaemic heart disease), and 18.3 (3.5) ng/ml (control) (p < 0.001 for cachectic heart failure vs all other groups). Plasma leptin concentration remained significantly lower in the cachectic heart failure group even after correcting for body fat content in and in spite of significantly increased TNFα concentrations. Thus plasma leptin was inappropriately low in cachectic chronic heart failure in the face of a recognised stimulus to its secretion. There was no significant correlation between plasma leptin, New York Heart Association class, ejection fraction, or any haemodynamic indices.

Conclusions—Leptin does not contribute to the cachexia of chronic heart failure. One or more leptin suppressing mechanisms may operate in this syndrome—for example, the sympathetic nervous system.
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Leptin, the recently identified product of the obesity (ob) gene, is a 167 amino acid hormone that is produced by adipose tissue.2 3 Leptin acts within the brain to decrease food intake, especially fat intake, and increase thermogenesis, probably by inhibiting the synthesis and release of hypothalamic neuropeptide Y.1

Dysfunction of this negative feedback loop has been suggested as a mechanism underlying disorders involving abnormalities in body fat content such as obesity, where relative under-production of leptin has been postulated.2 4–6 It is also possible that alterations in the synthesis or release of leptin, leading to its overproduction, may underlie the cachexia that often accompanies chronic medical conditions—for example chronic heart failure, which is characterised by selective loss of adipose tissue. We and others have reported that cachexia in chronic heart failure is associated with increased plasma concentrations of tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) and other cytokines.7 8 TNFα and interleukin-1 have been reported to increase plasma leptin concentrations in a dose dependent fashion in several species including humans.8 13 Increased plasma leptin concentrations might therefore contribute to cachexia in patients with chronic heart failure, as has been suggested in other wasting conditions such as cancer.11 The present study was designed to test this hypothesis.

Methods

This investigation conforms to the principles outlined in the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local committee on medical ethics. All patients gave written informed consent.

Patients

Chronic heart failure

We studied 51 patients (nine female, 42 male), aged 52 to 83 years (mean 66.1), with stable chronic heart failure of more than three months’ duration. All patients had a left ventricular ejection fraction of ≤ 35%, measured by radionuclide ventriculography. None had significant concomitant disease, especially infection, renal or hepatic dysfunction (defined as creatinine > 250 μmol/l or transaminase values more than three times the upper limit of normal, respectively), malignancy, chronic lung disease, thyroid disease, or connective tissue disease. The primary cause of heart failure was coronary artery disease in 48 patients and regurgitant valve disease in three. Twenty five patients were in New York Heart Association (NYHA) class II, 23 in class III, and three in class IV.
Ischaemic heart disease

To exclude an independent effect of ischaemic heart disease on plasma leptin concentration, we studied 33 matched patients (eight female, 25 male), aged 39 to 76 years (mean 59.2), with ischaemic heart disease but normal left ventricular function. All these patients had angiographic evidence of obstructive coronary disease but a normal contrast ventriculogram, and they had reversible myocardial ischaemia on exercise ECG testing or thallium myocardial perfusion imaging.

Normal volunteers

We also studied 26 matched healthy volunteers (16 female, 10 male), aged 30 to 76 years (mean 60.1). None had a history of chronic illness or was taking regular drug treatment. All had a normal transthoracic echocardiogram, maximum symptom limited exercise ECG test, and pulmonary function tests.

BODY FAT ESTIMATION

A single practised observer measured biceps, triceps, infrascapular, and suprailiac skinfold thickness, in triplicate, by the method of Durnin and Womersley, as previously described. The percentage body fat content was derived from the sum of the mean of these measurements according to standard tables.

Patients were defined as cachectic (males with body fat < 27%, females < 29%) or non-cachectic on the basis of percentage body fat content. This definition is based on previously published data on our local population, and has been used in a previous study from our group.

Body mass index (BMI) was also calculated in the usual way (weight (kg) divided by square of the height (m²)).

SAMPLE COLLECTION AND PEPTIDE ASSAY

Blood collection

Ten millilitres of blood were drawn from an antecubital vein, following an overnight fast and after at least 30 minutes' supine rest, into chilled tubes containing potassium EDTA (1 mg/ml blood) and aprotinin (50 KIU/ml blood). Samples were immediately centrifuged at 4°C and the separated plasma stored at −20°C until assay. All samples were assayed in a single batch within six months of collection in a blinded fashion. Plasma leptin, TNFα, and noradrenaline (norepinephrine) were measured in the same plasma samples.

Plasma leptin measurement

Plasma leptin (ng/ml) was assayed, without previous extraction of plasma, using a commercially available direct, specific, monoclonal antibody radioimmunoassay kit supplied by Linco Research Inc (St Charles, Minnesota, USA). The within assay and between assay coefficients of variation are 3.4% to 8.3% and 3.0% to 6.2%, respectively. All assays were run in duplicate and the average of the two measurements reported.

Plasma TNFα measurement

Plasma TNFα (pg/ml) was assayed using a commercially available solid phase enzyme amplified sensitivity immunoassay (EASIA) kit supplied by BioSource Europe SA (Fleurus, Brussels, Belgium). The within assay and between assay coefficients of variation are 3.7% to 5.2% and 8.0% to 9.9%, respectively. The minimum detectable concentration and approximate normal range for this assay in healthy subjects are 3 pg/ml and 0 to 20 pg/ml, respectively. All assays were run in duplicate and the average of the two measurements reported.

Plasma noradrenaline measurement

Plasma noradrenaline (nmol/l) was extracted from plasma and assayed by high performance liquid chromatography and electrochemical detection as previously described. The within assay and between assay coefficients of variation are both < 10% and the approximate normal range for this assay in healthy volunteers is < 5.0 nmol/l.

HAEMODYNAMIC MEASUREMENTS

Forty two of the patients with heart failure were admitted to hospital as day cases for assessment of central haemodynamic variables by right heart catheterisation. A balloon tipped pulmonary thermodilution catheter was inserted through the femoral vein and advanced to an appropriate position within the pulmonary artery. Following a period of stabilisation of at least one hour, measurements of systemic arterial pressure, right atrial pressure, mean pulmonary artery pressure, and pulmonary capillary wedge pressure were made. Cardiac output was also measured by the thermodilution method and systemic vascular resistance was derived in the usual fashion. An average of at least three of each measurements were taken (five for cardiac output) and the mean recorded.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All values are expressed as mean (SEM). Two sample t tests, linear regression analysis, and analysis of covariance were used as appropriate. The two analyses of primary interest (comparison of serum leptin and TNFα between cachectic and non-cachectic chronic heart failure patients) were taken at face value; otherwise the Bonferroni correction was applied to all other analyses to control for multiple comparisons. To allow for comparison between groups, plasma leptin concentration was corrected according to per cent body fat—that is, corrected plasma leptin = plasma leptin concentration (ng/ml) / %body fat. A probability (p) value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

PERCENTAGE BODY FAT AND BODY MASS INDEX

Of 51 patients with chronic heart failure, 29 were defined as cachectic according to skinfold measurement derived estimates of percentage body fat. The mean (SEM) body fat content of these patients was 22.2 (1.0)%, compared with 31.0 (0.65)% in the 22 non-cachectic patients. By comparison, BMI was 22.6 (0.61) kg/m² and 29.0 (0.59) kg/m² in these two groups,
Table 1 Results of measured variables in the four groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>IHD</th>
<th>Non-cachectic CHF</th>
<th>Cachectic CHF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>60.1 (2.0)</td>
<td>59.2 (1.7)</td>
<td>63.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>68.2 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>31.5 (1.4)</td>
<td>28.0 (0.9)</td>
<td>31.0 (0.7)</td>
<td>22.2 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>27.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>29.0 (0.6)</td>
<td>22.6 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (ng/ml)</td>
<td>18.3 (3.5)</td>
<td>16.8 (3.0)</td>
<td>16.9 (3.6)</td>
<td>6.2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noradrenaline (nmol/l)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>4.5 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFα (pg/ml)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22.7 (1.7)</td>
<td>21.6 (1.8)</td>
<td>30.5 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM). CHF, chronic heart failure; IHD, ischaemic heart disease; TNF, tumour necrosis factor.

Figure 1 Corrected plasma leptin concentration (ng/ml / % body fat) according to patient group. Error bars indicate SEM. CHF, chronic heart failure; IHD, ischaemic heart disease.

respectively. Mean values for percentage body fat in patients with ischaemic heart disease and in healthy volunteers were 28.0 (0.9)% and 31.5 (1.4)%, respectively; mean BMI values were 27.2 (0.6) kg/m² and 28.6 (0.95) kg/m², respectively (table 1).

PLASMA LEPTIN CONCENTRATIONS
The mean plasma leptin concentration was 6.2 (0.6) ng/ml in cachectic chronic heart failure patients, 16.9 (3.6) ng/ml in non-cachectic chronic heart failure patients, 16.8 (3.0) ng/ml in ischaemic heart disease patients, and 18.3 (3.5) ng/ml in healthy volunteers. Plasma leptin was significantly lower in the cachectic heart failure patients than in non-cachectic heart failure patients, ischaemic heart disease patients, or healthy volunteers (p < 0.001 for all). There was no significant difference in plasma leptin concentration between the other groups (table 1).

After correction for body fat content, plasma leptin concentration remained significantly lower in cachectic heart failure patients (0.296 (0.035) ng/ml/% body fat) than in non-cachectic heart failure patients (0.521 (0.095); p = 0.03), ischaemic heart disease patients (0.563 (0.087); p < 0.01), or healthy volunteers (0.529 (0.086); p = 0.02) (fig 1). Plasma leptin concentration was thus found to be inappropriately low in cachectic chronic heart failure—that is, lower than could be accounted for by differences in body fat content.

PLASMA TNFα CONCENTRATIONS
The mean plasma TNFα concentration was 30.5 (2.7) pg/ml in cachectic heart failure patients, 21.6 (1.8) pg/ml in non-cachectic heart failure patients, and 22.7 (1.7) pg/ml in

Figure 2 Distribution of plasma tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) (pg/ml) according to patient group. CHF, chronic heart failure; IHD, ischaemic heart disease.

Table 2 Comparison of haemodynamics in patients with cachectic and non-cachectic chronic heart failure (CHF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient group</th>
<th>Non-cachectic</th>
<th>Cachectic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class</td>
<td>2.4 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.1)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction (%)</td>
<td>24.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>23.9 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right atrial pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>4.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pulmonary artery pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>17.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>18.9 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic vascular resistance (dynes/cm²)</td>
<td>1788 (99.5)</td>
<td>1887 (84.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery wedge pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>11.9 (0.9)</td>
<td>12.2 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac output (litre/min)</td>
<td>4.0 (0.2)</td>
<td>3.7 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM). NYHA. *p < 0.05 (v non-cachectic).

New York Heart Association functional class.

ischaemic heart disease patients (table 1). Plasma TNFα was significantly higher in patients with cachectic heart failure than in non-cachectic heart failure (p = 0.006) or ischaemic heart disease (p = 0.04). The distribution of plasma TNFα concentrations in each group is shown in fig 2.

PLASMA NORADRENALINE CONCENTRATIONS
The mean plasma noradrenaline concentration was 4.5 (0.4) nmol/l in cachectic heart failure patients and 3.5 (0.3) in non-cachectic heart failure patients. There was a trend for plasma noradrenaline to be higher in the cachectic heart failure than in non-cachectic heart failure (p = 0.09; table 1).

PLASMA LEPTIN AND HAEMODYNAMIC MEASUREMENTS
In patients with cachectic chronic heart failure there was a non-significant trend towards a greater severity of haemodynamic disturbance than in non-cachectic heart failure patients (table 2). However, no significant correlation between plasma leptin concentration and left ventricular ejection fraction or any of the other haemodynamic variables was observed (NS by analysis of covariance).

PLASMA LEPTIN AND NYHA CLASS
The NYHA class of patients with cachectic chronic heart failure was significantly higher than in patients with non-cachectic chronic heart failure, at 2.7 (0.1) v 2.4 (0.12), p < 0.05. The correlation between NYHA class and plasma leptin concentration was, however, poor (r = 0.15, p = 0.20).
**Discussion**

We have shown that patients with cachectic chronic heart failure have significantly lower plasma leptin concentrations than patients with non-cachectic chronic heart failure or with ischaemic heart disease but normal left ventricular function. A rise in plasma leptin concentration relative to body fat content does not therefore seem to be an aetiologic factor in the cachexia associated with chronic heart failure, assuming normal central transport of leptin and normal leptin receptor sensitivity. In other words, the leptin negative feedback loop appears to be functioning effectively, or even in an exaggerated fashion, in cachetic chronic heart failure patients. Indeed, plasma leptin concentrations in cachetic patients with chronic heart failure are inappropriately low (fig 1).

Our findings are to some extent surprising and give new insights into the physiology of leptin control. For example, TNFα concentrations—previously linked to cachexia—do not increase circulating leptin concentrations in the circulation by the kidneys,21 22 and chronic leptin concentrations are themselves increased in chronic heart failure.15–20 Also, as leptin is cleared from the circulation by the kidneys,21 22 and chronic heart failure is associated with renal dysfunction, plasma concentrations might be expected to be increased, as they are in renal failure.23 Despite this, plasma leptin concentrations appear not just low but inappropriately low in cachetic chronic heart failure.

Why then might leptin concentrations be reduced in cardiac cachexia in the face of all these influences to the contrary? It has been suggested that cachexia is associated with more deranged cardiac haemodynamics, but this did not explain the difference in leptin concentrations in our cachectic patients. Decreased food intake and increased energy expenditure are known to decrease leptin secretion. The former has never been clearly or consistently implicated in cardiac cachexia.24 25 Recently, however, enhanced energy expenditure has been described in chronic heart failure,26 27 and could play a partial role in cachexia and, perhaps, in leptin suppression. Another possible, and potentially related, explanation is the sympathetic nervous system overactivity that characterises chronic heart failure. If, adrenergic receptor activation by noradrenaline, for example, decreases leptin release experimentally both in vivo and in vitro,28–30 acute adrenergic stimulation has also been reported to decrease plasma leptin concentration.31 Recently, isoprenaline infusion has been shown to reduce plasma leptin concentration in humans.32 Our cachectic patients tended to have higher plasma noradrenaline concentrations in keeping with this view, and recently another group has shown that patients with cardiac cachexia have much higher noradrenaline concentrations than non-cachectic patients with chronic heart failure.25 More sophisticated and accurate methods of measuring sympathetic nervous system activity would, however, be needed to test this hypothesis properly.

Interestingly, the administration of leptin to leptin-deficient mice increases their activity as well as decreasing their food intake.33 It is possible, therefore, that the low plasma leptin concentrations in cachetic chronic heart failure may contribute to the symptoms of fatigue and lethargy which are common in this syndrome. This could also explain the more severe functional limitation observed in cachetic chronic heart failure patients and the higher NYHA class observed in our study.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, this study shows that the cachexia associated with heart failure is not caused by enhanced leptin release, despite the activation of multiple leptin stimulating pathways in this syndrome. In other words, powerful leptin-suppressing mechanisms appear to be operating in chronic heart failure and opposing the effect of factors such as TNFα, insulin, corticosteroids, and growth hormone. One possible explanation for both the low leptin concentrations and increased metabolic rate in cachetic chronic heart failure is activation of the sympathetic nervous system.
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