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Abstract

Objectives—To examine the relation between plasma concentration of the N terminal of the precursor of brain natriuretic peptide (NT proBNP), left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), and left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) in patients with a history of hypertension.

Design—Prospective study.

Setting—Teaching hospital based study.

Patients—NT proBNP concentrations were determined in five groups of individuals. Group 1: 15 echocardiographic normal controls; group 2: 22 patients with hypertension, normal left ventricular systolic function, and no LVH; group 3: 24 patients with hypertension, normal left ventricular systolic function, and LVH; group 4: 13 patients with history of hypertension, no history of ischaemic heart disease, and left ventricular wall motion index (WMI) between 1.9–1.3; and group 5: 17 patients with a history of hypertension, no history of ischaemic heart disease, and WMI < 1.2.

Results—Median (range) NT proBNP concentrations (in fmol/ml) for groups 1–5, respectively, were: 129.4 (53.6–159.7), 147.4 (54.3–730.5), 137.1 (35.8–403.9), 356.7 (124.4–934.4), and 493.5 (248.9–909). Mean log NT proBNP differed among all five groups (p < 0.0001), and between groups 4 and 5 versus groups 1–3 (p < 0.0001), and group 4 versus group 5 (p = 0.02) only.

Conclusions—The results suggest that the presence of hypertension with or without LVH (and normal left ventricular systolic function) does not affect NT proBNP concentrations. Moreover, there is a significant rise in NT proBNP only when LVSD develops in hypertension. Thus, NT proBNP remains a useful diagnostic aid for LVSD, even in hypertensive patients.
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Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) is a 32 amino acid peptide that is synthesised predominantly in the left ventricle as the 108 amino acid prohormone prepro-BNP (γ BNP).1,2 The hormone is a potent vasodilator and natriuretic factor that regulates salt and water homeostasis. It has been shown that plasma BNP concentrations are increased in heart failure and following a myocardial infarction, and that in these conditions the concentrations are good indices of prognostic outcome.1–3 In addition, several reports have shown that plasma BNP concentrations are also higher in patients with essential hypertension compared with normotensive subjects, despite significant overlap between the two groups.3–5 Furthermore it has been shown that plasma BNP is increased to a greater extent in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) as determined by echocardiography, and in those hypertensives who have evidence of diastolic dysfunction.3,4

Recent work has reported the existence of NT proBNP (an 8.6 kD peptide, the N terminal 76 amino acids of preproBNP). In a small study of patients with cardiac failure, NT proBNP has been shown to circulate in plasma in higher concentrations than BNP-32.6 There was a small but non-significant increase in plasma NT proBNP concentrations in 20 untreated hypertensives when compared to normal subjects.6 A recent study comparing NT proBNP with BNP-32 suggested that the measurement of NT proBNP is an equally sensitive indicator of left ventricular dysfunction after acute myocardial infarction when compared to BNP-32.7

There has been no study in hypertensive patients looking at the effect of LVH and left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) on plasma concentrations of NT proBNP. Measurement of NT proBNP in hypertensive patients may give information on the presence of LVH or LVSD just as a glycosylated haemoglobin measurement in diabetic subjects gives information regarding poor glycaemic control. The potential clinical use of this peptide as a marker of LVH and LVSD in hypertensive subjects who may be on treatment is not known.

Method

SUBJECTS

Forty six consecutive new referrals of hypertensive patients to the Leicester hypertension clinic, in whom measurements of left ventricular mass were obtainable (23 men, median age 44 years, range 22–74 years), were studied after informed written consent for participation in the study was obtained. Hypertension in the
untreated group was defined as a mean day systolic blood pressure > 140 mm Hg, mean diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mm Hg, or both, on 24 hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM). Eleven patients in the study were not receiving any antihypertensive treatment. The remaining patients were continued on antihypertensive medication during the study. Exclusion criteria were: a past history of myocardial infarction or heart failure; acute myocardial infarction; active ischaemia; left ventricular dysfunction as defined by fractional shortening < 25% or the presence of one or more hypokinetic/akineti c segments on echocardiography; wall motion index (WMI) < 2; or renal failure (plasma creatinine concentrations > 170 µmol/l).

A further 30 patients (20 men, median age 72.5 years, range 43–91 years) with a history of hypertension (but no history of ischaemic heart disease) and LVSD (median WMI 1.1, range 0.7–1.9) were recruited.

In addition 15 echocardiographically normal controls (nine men, median age 40 years, range 25–76 years, normotensive, no drug treatment, no systolic dysfunction on echocardiography, and no significant valvar abnormality on echocardiography) were studied. This group was used to obtain the normal range in the study.

ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY

M mode echocardiography was obtained on the 46 consecutive hypertensive patients by two dimensional monitoring using a Hewlett Packard Sonos 1500 imaging system and recorded on super VHS tapes. The patients were examined in the partial left lateral position. Left ventricular chamber recordings were obtained at the tip of the mitral valve. Interventricular septal thickness (IVST) and posterior wall thickness (PWT) were measured at end diastole. Left ventricular internal dimensions (LVID) were obtained at end diastole and at end systole. The measurements were obtained in accordance with the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography.14

Left ventricular mass was calculated using the equation described by Devereux and Reichek.15 Left ventricular mass index (LVMI) was calculated as the ratio of left ventricular mass to body surface area. Upper limits for LVMI at our institution are 125 g/m² and 110 g/m² for men and women, respectively. Fractional shortening was calculated as the percentage of change in the internal left ventricular dimension between systole and diastole.

Left ventricular WMI, a regional measurement of LVSD which has been shown to be closely correlated to left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) by radionuclide cardiography and invasive ventriculography, was calculated using a nine segment model originally described by Heger and colleagues for all the patients.16 18 The scale used for WMI has been validated and a linear correlation with LVEF demonstrated.19 20 WMI multiplied by 0.3 gives an estimate of LVEF.21

24 HOUR AMBULATORY BLOOD PRESSURE RECORDINGS

The ABPM recordings were obtained using Spacelabs 90207 ABP monitors (Berkshire, UK). Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were recorded at 15 minute intervals during the day (07:00 to 22:00) and at 30 minute intervals during the night (22:00 to 07:00).

BLOOD SAMPLES

A 20 ml sample of venous blood was taken at the time of the echocardiography in all patients. The blood was transferred into prechilled EDTA (1.5 mg/ml blood) tubes containing 500 IU/ml of aprotinin. Samples were immediately centrifuged and plasma separated and then stored at −70°C until assayed.

IMMUNOLUMINOMETRIC ASSAY FOR NT proBNP

Our methodology for the assay of NT proBNP has been described previously.21 Briefly, we used a sensitive and specific, non-radioactive immunoluminometric (ILMA) assay based on competitive ligand binding. Stored plasma samples were acidified with 1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and loaded onto C8 cartridges (Peninsular Laboratories, Merseyside, UK) and eluted with 1% TFA containing 60% acetonitrile. The samples were then lyophilised in a centrifugal evaporator, and redissolved in assay buffer consisting of 0.1 mol/l sodium phosphate (pH 7.4), 0.1% Triton X-100 for measurement of NT proBNP. The chemiluminescent label 4-(2-succinimidyloxycarbonyl ethyl) phenyl-10-methylacridinium 9-carboxylate fluorosulphonate (Molecular Light Technology, Cardiff, UK) was used to label the peptide representing a domain in the C terminal section of NT proBNP (amino acids 65–76). NT proBNP concentrations were determined blind to patient details. The normal range for the assay is < 200 fmol/ml.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Concentrations of NT proBNP, clinic blood pressure recordings, ABPM recordings, creatinine, left ventricular mass, IVST, and PWT were not normally distributed and were log transformed before analysis. All results are expressed as median (range) and comparisons were by the Mann Whitney test or Student’s t test for unpaired data. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were also computed. All statistical analyses were carried out using the software package Minitab (Minitab, Pennsylvania, USA). Comparisons with p < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics, clinic blood pressure readings, ABPM analysis, echocardiographic data, and serum creatinine measurements of the hypertensive patients with and without LVH. Table 2 shows the antihypertensive drug treatment received by the hypertensive group with and without LVH.

Among the hypertensives with no LVH only six patients were not receiving antihypertensive drug treatment. Eleven patients were taking
one drug, four patients were on two drugs, and one patient was on four different antihypertensive agents. In the group with LVH five patients were on no antihypertensive drug treatment, and two patients were on four patients were on three drugs, and two patients were on four different antihypertensive agents. The patients with LVH were on a significantly larger number of antihypertensive drugs (p < 0.01).

The clinic systolic blood pressure was correlated to the mean day and night time systolic readings on the ABPM (r = 0.81 and r = 0.70, respectively, both p < 0.001). Also the clinic diastolic blood pressure was correlated to the mean day and night time diastolic readings on the ABPM (r = 0.76 and r = 0.70, respectively, both p < 0.001).

The LVMI was correlated to the age of the patient (r = 0.37, p = 0.01), log IVST (r = 0.77, p < 0.001), log PWT (r = 0.74, p < 0.001), and to log transformed clinic systolic blood pressure (r = 0.30, p = 0.04).

Table 3 shows the clinical characteristics, echocardiographic data, and serum creatinine measurements of the hypertensive patients with LVSD.

In those patients with a WMI > 1.3 only four gave a history of shortness of breath and three had clinical evidence of left ventricular failure. Six of these patients were on a diuretic and three were on an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor. In the group with a WMI < 1.2, 14 patients gave a history of shortness of breath and 13 had clinical evidence of left ventricular failure. In this group 11 were on a diuretic and 10 were on an ACE inhibitor.

Table 4 shows the NT proBNP concentrations for the study population. Mean log NT proBNP differed among all five groups (p < 0.0001, analysis of variance) and between groups 4 and 5 versus groups 1–3 (p < 0.0001), and group 4 versus group 5 (p = 0.02) only (fig 1). The patients in groups 4 and 5 were older than those in groups 1–3 (p < 0.001). There was no significant difference in ages between group 1 versus group 2, and group 1 versus group 3. The subjects in group 3 were older than those in group 2, however.

There was no significant correlation between log NT proBNP and the hypertensive patients (with normal systolic function) and the clinic blood pressure measurements or the ABPM recordings. There was also no significant correlation in this group between log NT proBNP and LVMI, log IVST, log PWT, age, or log creatinine.

On multiple regression analysis for the hypertensives without LVSD (groups 2 and 3), the age of the patient was independently associated with LVMI (R² = 8%, p < 0.05). Log NT proBNP was not a significant predictor of LVMI in the model.

In the group with LVSD there was a significant correlation between log NT proBNP and left ventricular systolic function as assessed by a WMI score (r = −0.36, p < 0.05). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive values, and negative predictive values for NT proBNP concentration > 245 fmol/ml in picking up a WMI score of < 1.2 in this study population is 100%, 74.3%, 47.2%, and 100%, respectively.

Discussion

LVH is known to be an independent risk factor for all the cardiovascular complications of hypertension.22 Hence, its early detection is very important in the management of the hypertensive patient. Electrocardiography is recommended for the assessment of every hypertensive patient. Its sensitivity and specificity for detecting LVH is poor, however.23 Echocardiography is more sensitive and specific than ECG for the diagnosis of LVH, but it is not practical to perform echocardiography on all patients with hypertension.23 As LVH cannot be reliably predicted from blood pressure levels, a non-invasive and inexpensive method for the detection of LVH and poor blood pressure control in hypertensive patients would be clinically useful.

The present study examined the ability of a competitive binding immunoluminometric assay for NT proBNP to detect LVH or LVSD in hypertensive patients. Antihypertensive or
Figure 1  Box plot showing the relation between log NT proBNP concentrations and echocardiographic normal controls (group 1), patients with hypertension, normal left ventricular systolic function, and no LVH (group 2), patients with hypertension, normal left ventricular systolic function, and LVH (group 3), patients with a history of hypertension and left WMI between 1.9–1.3 (group 4), and patients with a history of hypertension and WMI of < 1.2 (group 5).

shortness of breath and only 16 of the 30 subjects had evidence of clinical left ventricular failure. This may be of importance clinically as it may determine the type of antihypertensive treatment that may be used. This may lead to increased use of ACE inhibition in patients with subclinical LVSD which may ultimately improve their prognosis, although this would obviously have to be tested by a prospective study.

In our study plasma NT proBNP concentrations were not significantly correlated to left ventricular mass, LVMI, IVST, PWT, and mean arterial pressures. Plasma BNP concentrations in a previous study had correlated to IVST, PWT, and LVMI; however, antihypertensive treatment was discontinued at least a week before the commencement of that study. It is well recognised that antihypertensive drug treatment can regress LVH and also decrease the concentrations of the natriuretic peptides in the plasma. Thus, it is possible that antihypertensive drug treatment may have influenced the results in our study and accounted for the lack of correlation between NT proBNP concentrations and the LVMI or the degree of hypertrophied wall thickness. In our study the hypertensive patients with LVH were receiving a significantly larger number of antihypertensive drugs. Other explanations for the lack of correlation between NT proBNP and echocardiographic parameters are unlikely. The peptide assay is both reliable and accurately reproducible in our hands.21

We did not attempt to assess diastolic dysfunction by echocardiography because a phenomenon as complex as diastolic dysfunction cannot be accurately assessed by simple Doppler indices.26 Some patients in group 2 and 3 had notably increased concentrations of NT proBNP. It is certainly possible that diastolic dysfunction in these patients may have contributed to high peptide concentrations.9 10

We have included patients with LVSD, a history of hypertension, and no history of ischaemic heart disease, thus implying that the LVSD observed in the study was caused by hypertension and probably not by ischaemic heart disease. It must, however, be emphasised that silent ischaemia is not uncommon and ischaemic heart disease may therefore be the primary cause of LVSD in these patients. Active exclusion of patients by means of a coronary angiogram was felt unnecessary and would have proved difficult to do practically.

In conclusion, this cross sectional study has shown that plasma NT proBNP concentrations are increased in hypertensive patients with established LVSD and not when LVH is present along with normal systolic function. We have shown for the first time that raised NT proBNP concentrations in a hypertensive population reflects LVSD but does not add any further clinical information about LVH. The test thus remains a useful aid for the detection of LVSD even in hypertensive patients.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Median (range) concentration NT proBNP (fmol/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1: normal controls</td>
<td>129.4 (53.6–159.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2: hypertensives with no LVH</td>
<td>147.4 (54.3–730.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4: hypertensives with WMI ≥ 1.3</td>
<td>356.7 (124.4–934.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5: hypertensives with WMI &lt; 1.2</td>
<td>493.5 (248.9–909.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3  Clinical characteristics of the hypertensive patients with LVSD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WMI &gt; 1.3 (n = 13)</th>
<th>WMI ≤ 1.2 (n = 17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mmol/l)</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMI</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4  NT proBNP levels for the study population
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