LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Beat to beat left ventricular performance in spontaneous atrial fibrillation does not depend on afterload

EDITOR,—The recent article by Muntinga and colleagues confirms, using non-invasive techniques, the findings obtained more directly by Brookes and colleagues that beat to beat left ventricular performance in spontaneous atrial fibrillation depends on beat to beat variation in cycle length, left ventricular end diastolic volume (EDV), and contractility. Their contention that afterload is another independent determinant rests on their fig 5 in which ejection fraction is plotted against end systolic pressure/stroke volume (ESP/SV). However, ejection fraction is SV/EDV, so they have SV on both axes, which is invalid. A plot of a variable, in this case SV, against its reciprocal 1/SV will lead inevitably to an inverse hyperbolic relation as a mathematical necessity. In this case it is accompanied by a small amount of scatter caused by the other variables, but the main relation shown is a mathematical artefact and not an actual dependence of ejection fraction on afterload. Figure 3 is also incorrect because SV/EDV is plotted against EDV (EDV appearing on both axes), but in this case a plot of SV against EDV would have resulted in a positive relation and the same conclusion. In the letter by Brookes and colleagues, the left ventricular systolic pressure (directly measured with catherter tip manometer) varied rather little during atrial fibrillation, which the authors attributed to clamping of the arterial systolic pressure by peripheral mechanisms. This finding and the invalidity of using ESP/SV raised the question, “What is the afterload in the intact mammal?” I prefer not to use the term because there are so many different indices that purport to be “afterload”; I prefer to use the measured variable. Many workers in the field would accept using left ventricular systolic pressure. However, the term was invented to describe the constant force or stress in an isolated strip of muscle when shortening during contraction in a particular experimental set up. The only corresponding variable in the intact human is systolic wall stress, but this declines during ejection so that there is no single value. However, inspection of directly measured left ventricular pressure-volume loops during spontaneous atrial fibrillation shows that, most of the time, contraction takes place over similar mid-systolic values implying the same wall stress.

Of course, left ventricular performance will depend on “afterload” (if that can be defined) if “afterload” changes, but my conclusion is that in spontaneous atrial fibrillation, there are no important changes.

M I M NOBLE

Imperial College School of Medicine, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, Fulham Palace Road, London W6 8RF, UK


This letter was shown to the authors who reply as follows:

Based on a recent study by Brookes and colleagues, Professor Noble concludes that in chronic atrial fibrillation there are no important beat to beat changes of left ventricular afterload measured by left ventricular systolic pressure. Based on fig 5 of our article he concludes that the relation between afterload as calculated by the ratio of end systolic pressure (ESP) and stroke volume (SV), and ejection fraction is a mathematical artefact, instead of a physiological relation. He rejects left ventricular afterload as an important measure of left ventricular performance. The conclusion that afterload, preload, and contractility are independent determinants of ejection fraction, however, rests on a multivariate model of left ventricular ejection fraction.

In our study we measured non-invasively peripheral blood pressure, which we assumed to change, parallel with aortic pressure. We calculated left ventricular volumes in millilitres from results of measurements with a “nuclear stethoscope” and a Swan Ganz catheter. Left ventricular afterload was then calculated as the fraction of ESP and SV. The validity of the ESP to SV relation as a measure of effective arterial elastance was tested by Sunagawa and colleagues. The arterial system properties consist of three elements: peripheral resistance, arterial compliance, and characteristic impedance of the arterial system. In table 1 of our article we demonstrate that this measure of afterload varies significantly from beat to beat in each investigated patient. The argument that a limited variation of end systolic pressure in patients with atrial fibrillation (in our patient group) affects of epinephrine and heart rate on the ratio. Circ Res 1973;32:314–22


Rapid Responses now available on eHeart

The full text Heart web site (http://www.heartjnl.com) now has a Rapid Response facility, which means that letters can be “published” on eHeart within seven days of submission. To send a Rapid Response, please access eHeart and then access the article to which your letter relates. In the top right hand corner of the screen there is a box that contains an invitation to send a Rapid Response (Letters send a response to this article). Click on this and then type in your letter or paste it from a word processor. All Rapid Responses are screened within seven days and, providing they are not obscene, libellous, or unethical, they are then posted on the web site (Rapid Responses are not edited). We will continue to publish in the paper version of Heart (edited) letters that contribute substantially to the literature.


