No incremental benefit of multisite atrial pacing compared with right atrial pacing in patients with drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation
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Abstract

Objective—To evaluate the incremental antifibrillatory effect of multisite atrial pacing compared with right atrial pacing in patients with drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation paced for arrhythmia prevention alone.

Methods—In 20 of these patients (mean (SD) age 64 (8) years; 14 female, six male), a single blinded randomised crossover study was performed to investigate the incremental benefit of one month of multisite atrial pacing compared with one month of right atrial pacing. Outcomes included the number of episodes of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, their total duration obtained from pacemaker Holter memory, and quality of life using a cardiac specific questionnaire (the modified Karolinska questionnaire).

Results—Comparing right atrial with multisite atrial pacing, there was no significant change in either the number of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation episodes (mean (SD): right atrial pacing 77 (98) episodes v multisite pacing 52 (78) episodes, NS) or their total duration (right atrial, 4.8 (5.4) days v multisite, 6.3 (9.8) days, NS). Quality of life scores compared with baseline status were equally improved by either pacing strategy (mean percentage improvement: right atrial, 38%, p = 0.003; multisite, 44%, p = 0.003). There was no significant difference in life scores comparing the two pacing modes.

Conclusions—Multisite atrial pacing has no incremental antiarrhythmic effect compared with right atrial pacing in patients paced for drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Quality of life is equally improved with either pacing strategy, with no differences between them.

(Heart 2001;85:48–52)
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Multisite atrial pacing is the technique of simultaneous activation of both the high right atrium and the inferior right atrium at the coronary sinus ostium. It has been reported that this pacing technique may improve symptoms of atrial fibrillation in patients requiring pacemaker implantation for conventional indications, when compared with either their baseline status or with a period of lone right atrial or coronary sinus ostial pacing. Furthermore it has been suggested that multisite atrial pacing may allow the discontinuation of warfarin in some patients. However, multisite pacing has not been assessed or compared with right atrial pacing in patients undergoing pacemaker implantation for arrhythmia prevention alone. Furthermore no assessment of this pacing technique on either quality of life or its effect on suppressing asymptomatic paroxysmal atrial fibrillation episodes has been made. The accurate detection of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation is important, as studies have suggested that 50% of episodes may be asymptomatic in fibrillating patients and yet still cause embolic events. Therefore continual Holter monitoring (through a pacemaker) is required for a reliable record of the presence or absence of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation.

To investigate these issues further we have performed a study in a group of patients with drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Our aim was to assess the incremental benefit of multisite atrial pacing compared with right atrial pacing by changes in quality of life and arrhythmia frequency. Monitoring for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation was through continual monitoring of intracardiac signals by the pacemaker.

Methods

PATIENT POPULATION
Twenty patients (mean (SD) age 64 (8) years; 14 female, six male) were given a multisite atrial pacemaker for symptomatic paroxysmal atrial fibrillation that had failed to respond to drug treatment. Pacemaker implantation was for arrhythmia prevention alone in all cases; no patient had other indications for pacing. The patients had at least three episodes of symptomatic paroxysmal atrial fibrillation a week, and had been symptomatic for 7.8 (6) years (mean (SD)). A mean of 2.8 (1.6) antiarrhythmic drugs (amiodarone in 14) had failed to control their symptoms. Antiarrhythmic and atrioventricular nodal modifying drugs remained unchanged during the follow up period and for the month (three months for amiodarone) before pacemaker implantation. The local ethics committee approved the study and all patients gave informed written consent.

The aetiology of the paroxysmal atrial fibrillation was ischaemic heart disease (n = 3), dilated cardiomyopathy (n = 1), hypertension (n = 2), and lone (n = 14). Left ventricular dimensions from M mode and cross sectional echocardiographic imaging were: systolic diameter, 35 (9) mm; diastolic diameter, 50 (9) mm; and fractional shortening, 32 (6)%.
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confirmation of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Signal of the last eight episodes, allowing in fallback mode, and the stored intracardiac duration of all these episodes (time pacemaker reset. This gave information on the number of Holter monitors were interrogated and then crossed over to the other pacing mode for a further month. Patients were blinded to activity (0–10). This gives a total score between 0 (asymptomatic) and 140 (very symptomatic).

PROTOCOL
Patients were randomised to either right atrial or multisite atrial pacing for one month and then crossed over to the other pacing mode for a further month. Patients were blinded to pacemaker programming.

MONITORING FOR ATRIAL FIBRILLATION
After each one month period the pacemaker Holter monitors were interrogated and then reset. This gave information on the number of separate episodes of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (limited to a maximum of 510), the total duration of all these episodes (time pacemaker in fallback mode), and the stored intracardiac signal of the last eight episodes, allowing confirmation of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation.

Percentage time of atrial pacing while in sinus rhythm was also recorded.

Mode switching occurs in response to non-physiological atrial acceleration. The Chor- rum device is able to differentiate between normal sinus activity and premature atrial complexes. This is achieved by the use of a WARAD (window of atrial rhythm acceleration detection) algorithm. The WARAD is a period starting with an atrial event, which lasts for 62.5% of the preceding sinus interval for slow sinus rates (< 80 beats/min), and for 75% of the sinus interval for higher sinus rates. Normal sinus activity is defined as an event occurring outside the WARAD. A premature atrial complex is an atrial event detected within the WARAD. On sensing a premature atrial complex, the Chor- rum pacemaker recycles the atrial escape interval to 500 ms from the premature atrial complex. If no further atrial events are detected by the end of the atrial escape interval the atrium is paced with an atrioventricular delay of 109 ms. However, if an atrial event is detected in the atrial escape interval, the pacemaker switches to a phase of suspected atrial arrhythmia. A short atrioventricular delay of 31 ms is initiated, with ventricular tracking limited to 120 beats/min (or lower if upper rate is lower). The WARAD is fixed during the suspicion phase and recycled after every atrial activity. The pacemaker counts for 32 cycles for arrhythmia confirmation. Atrial arrhythmia is confirmed if either 28 of the last 32 atrial cycles (good sensing of the arrhythmia), or if 18 of the last two groups of 32 cycles (poor atrial sensing) fall within the WARAD. Upon confirmation of atrial arrhythmia, the device mode switches to VDI.

QUALITY OF LIFE
This was assessed with a cardiac specific questionnaire that has been validated for pacemaker patients—the modified Karolinska questionnaire. Patients were asked to grade their response between 0 (no symptoms) and 10 (severe symptoms) to a series of questions on: chest pain (total score 0–40), palpitations (0–30), dizziness (0–20), shortness of breath (0–30), general health (0–10), and restrictions to activity (0–10). This gives a total score between 0 (asymptomatic) and 140 (very symptomatic).

PRIMARY END POINTS
This was a comparison between the two pacing modes to determine if multisite atrial pacing has any incremental antifibrillatory action compared with right atrial pacing. Outcomes included the number of separate paroxysmal atrial fibrillation episodes, the total duration of all paroxysmal atrial fibrillation episodes, and the atrial fibrillation burden expressed as the percentage of total time spent in atrial fibrillation during the one month period. The percentage of time during each period when the atria were paced was also compared.

Quality of life scores were used to compare the two pacing modes with each other and with baseline status.
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Comparing right atrial with multisite atrial pacing, there was no significant difference in either the total Karolinska questionnaire scores or any of the individual symptom scores.

**Discussion**

We have shown that in patients with drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, paced for arrhythmia prevention alone, multisite atrial pacing has no incremental antifibrillatory effect compared with right atrial pacing. We also showed that both pacing strategies led to an improvement in quality of life compared with baseline status, without any difference between the two pacing modes. All individual symptoms were improved except for general health, which was only moderately impaired at baseline, making any significant change difficult to demonstrate.

The initial report on multisite atrial pacing compared this mode with right atrial pacing in 16 patients with drug refractory atrial fibrillation who had traditional indications for pacemaker implantation. Subsequently the same group reported an extension of this study to 30 patients, comparing multisite pacing with both lone right atrial and lone coronary sinus ostium pacing. They showed that all pacing strategies resulted in a significant increase in the symptom-free period before the recurrence of atrial fibrillation when compared with baseline status before pacemaker implantation. Multisite pacing was shown to have an additional antiarrhythmic effect over the other two pacing strategies. These results are consistent with our findings in showing that any type of pacing improves symptoms. However, we differ in that we could not show any advantage for multisite over right atrial pacing.

There were several differences in the studies that may explain these findings. First, recurrence of atrial fibrillation in the previous studies was based on symptomatic episodes confirmed by ECGs performed at routine follow up visits, 24 hour ambulatory monitoring, or transtelephonic event monitoring. No data are available on long term pacemaker Holter analysis. They may therefore have underestimated the true incidence of atrial fibrillation. This is supported by studies in patients paced for bradycardic indications with associated paroxysmal atrial fibrillation which report that 50% of episodes of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation recorded on pacemaker Holters can be asymptomatic. Therefore continual monitoring through pacemaker Holters for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation is necessary, especially if

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Results on the frequency of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (PAF) comparing right atrial with multisite atrial pacing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right atrial (RA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of PAF episodes</td>
<td>77 (98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of PAF (days)</td>
<td>4.8 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of total time spent in atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>14 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of atria paced</td>
<td>85 (17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Results for the Karolinska questionnaire score (KQ) and individual symptom scores at baseline, after one month of right atrial pacing, and after one month of multisite atrial pacing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total KQ score (0–140)</td>
<td>55 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain (0–40)</td>
<td>9.7 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpitations (0–30)</td>
<td>17.8 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness (0–20)</td>
<td>8.1 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOB (0–30)</td>
<td>10.3 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrictions (0–10)</td>
<td>5.1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health (0–10)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD), with mean percentage change from baseline shown in brackets.

SOB, shortness of breath.
Of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation using pacemaker Holters and conventional 24 hour Holter monitors have shown several different onset mechanisms between and within patients. Only approximately 50% of onset episodes were preceded by atrial ectopic beats, where it may be anticipated that multisite pacing would have an antiarrhythmic effect.

Therefore acute electrophysiological studies suggest there may be responders and non-responders to multisite pacing, while Holter monitoring suggests that multisite pacing would need to prevent several different onset mechanisms. Future studies are required to determine whether acute findings of atrial fibrillation suppression could predict long term success with multisite atrial pacing and thus identify a group that may get benefit from this pacing strategy. In our study there was a subgroup of eight patients (40%) who appeared to gain additional benefit from multisite pacing compared with right atrial pacing, with a significant reduction in their total duration of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (right atrial pacing, 5.6 (4.6) days vs multisite pacing, 1.5 (1.5) days; p = 0.01). No baseline clinical feature could identify these patients. However, these results may have been the result of random chance and the effect of selecting a few responding patients from the total population.

STUDY LIMITATIONS
Our arrhythmia frequency was dependent on the accurate function of the pacemaker Holter, which has been validated elsewhere. Stored intracardiac signals allowed confirmation of the arrhythmia, and each patient acted as their own control without any alteration in atrial sensing. It is impossible to exclude some false mode switching owing to far field sensing or noise; however, this should affect both pacing modes equally.

Our follow up period was limited to a relatively short period of one month in each mode. However, within the initial one month period there were on average 77 separate episodes of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation lasting a mean duration of over five days. This frequency gives an adequate period for data collection for statistical analysis. Furthermore, from previous experience investigating the role of biatrial pacing with three month randomisation periods in a separate population, we found that many patients (25%) requested atrioventricular ablation during the follow up period because of continued symptoms. In biatrial pacing the second atrial lead is placed within the coronary sinus to pace the left atrium directly, thus producing biatrial (right and left) pacing. This is different from the multisite atrial pacing investigated in this study, where the second atrial lead is placed at the coronary sinus ostium, producing dual site right atrial pacing. As there is the suggestion that arrhythmia frequency may increase following atrioventricular ablation, we wanted to avoid this confounding factor so we limited the follow up to two months. No patient underwent ablation during our study.
CONCLUSION
Multisite atrial pacing has no incremental antiarrhythmic effect compared with right atrial pacing in patients paced for drug refractory paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Quality of life is equally improved compared with baseline with either pacing strategy, but with no further benefit from multisite pacing.


IMAGES IN CARDIOLOGY

Cardiac arrest caused by spontaneous left coronary artery dissection in a young woman

A 39 year old woman was admitted on December 1999 after resuscitation from cardiac arrest, which occurred during Holter monitoring (ST segment elevation followed by ventricular fibrillation was documented). The woman complained of recent onset chest discomfort with syncope. She had no coronary risk factors, no drug abuse, and no significant diseases in her history, although she suffered from migraine (which was being treated with sumatriptan 50 mg/day). On arrival, the patient had pronounced anterolateral ST segment and ended in a tight stenosis of the true lumen, with impaired anterograde flow.

Angiographic findings are shown (15° right anterior oblique, 10° cranial view). A long dissection involved the whole left main coronary artery (LAD), with contrast dye persisting outside the lumen, and extended both to the left anterior descending artery (LAD) and to the left circumflex artery (LCX). In the LAD, persistence of extraluminal contrast was evident in the proximal segment, while a radiolucent linear streak could be noted in the whole mid portion; in the LCX the dissection involved the proximal segment and ended in a tight stenosis of the true lumen, with impaired anterograde flow.

Intra-aortic balloon pumping was immediately started, followed by haemodynamic improvement. A double coronary artery bypass graft was successfully performed the same day (left internal mammary artery to LAD, saphenous vein graft to obtuse marginal branch).
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