Pharmacodynamics and safety of lefradafiban, an oral platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist, in patients with stable coronary artery disease undergoing elective angioplasty
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Abstract
Objective—Lefradafiban is the orally active prodrug of fradafiban, a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist. The present phase II study aimed to determine the dose of lefradafiban that provides 80% blockade of the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptors by fradafiban, and to study the pharmacodynamics and safety of different doses in patients with stable angina undergoing angioplasty.

Design—A double blind, placebo controlled, dose finding study.

Setting—Four academic and community hospitals in the Netherlands.

Patients—64 patients with stable coronary artery disease undergoing elective percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.

Interventions—30 mg, 45 mg, and 60 mg of lefradafiban three times daily or placebo was given for 48 hours.

Main outcome measures—The primary safety end point was the occurrence of bleeding, classified as major, minor, or insignificant according to the thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) criteria. Efficacy indices included per cent fibrinogen receptor occupancy (FRO), ex vivo platelet aggregation, and plasma concentrations of fradafiban.

Results—Administration of lefradafiban 30, 45, and 60 mg three times daily resulted in a dose dependent increase in median FRO levels of 71%, 85%, and 88%, respectively. Inhibition of platelet aggregation was closely related to FRO. There were no major bleeding events. The 60 mg lefradafiban group had a high (71%) incidence of minor and insignificant bleeding. The incidence of bleeding was 44% in the 30 mg and 45 mg groups, compared with 9% in placebo patients. Puncture site bleeding was the most common event. The odds of bleeding increased by 3% for every 1% increase in FRO.

Conclusions—Lefradafiban is an effective oral glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor blocker. The clinical effectiveness of doses up to 45 mg three times daily should be investigated.
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Platelet adhesion, activation, and aggregation are pivotal events in the process leading to coronary thrombosis in patients with unstable coronary artery disease. This process is also activated during percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) by local vascular injury, which exposes circulating platelets to various prothrombotic stimuli within the subendothelium. The final common pathway to coronary thrombus formation involves aggregation of platelets through cross linkage of their glycoprotein (GP) IIb/IIIa receptors by the primary binding ligand fibrinogen.

Several inhibitors of the GP IIb/IIIa receptor have been developed. The monoclonal antibody c7E3 (abciximab) has been shown to reduce the incidence of death and myocardial infarction in patients undergoing PTCA, with or without stent deployment. Small molecules (epifibatide, tirofiban) given intravenously also reduce complications associated with PTCA, although their effects were of borderline significance. These intravenous GP IIb/IIIa receptor blockers have also recently been shown to reduce the incidence of death, recurrent myocardial infarction, and recurrent ischaemia in patients with unstable angina or non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction.

The clinical use of monoclonal antibodies and small molecules as GP IIb/IIIa receptor blockers is limited to the treatment of acute episodes of unstable angina, or treatment during coronary procedures, as oral administration is not feasible. Lefradafiban (Boehringer Ingelheim, Germany) is an orally active prodrug which is metabolised in two steps to fradafiban, an intravenously active, non-peptide GP IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitor. In studies with healthy volunteers, both fradafiban and lefradafiban led to a reversible and dose dependent inhibition of platelet aggregation.

Our aim in this first phase II study was to determine the dose regimen of lefradafiban that provides 80% blockade of the platelet GP IIb/IIIa receptors by fradafiban, as well as to study the pharmacodynamics and safety of different doses in patients with stable coronary artery disease undergoing elective PTCA, in order to select the appropriate range of dose regimens for subsequent larger studies.
Methods

STUDY POPULATION

Patients aged between 18 and 80 years with stable coronary artery disease were eligible for enrolment if they were scheduled for elective PTCA. Patients were excluded if they suffered from unstable angina or if there was a total occlusion of the vessel to be treated. Other criteria for exclusion included myocardial infarction within the preceding 14 days; planned stent implantation; major surgery or trauma within the preceding six weeks; any history of cerebrovascular haemorrhage or haemorrhagic diathesis, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, or complicated puncture of a major vein or artery within the preceding six weeks; retinopathy grade 3 or greater; gastrointestinal or genitourinary bleeding within the preceding six weeks; peptic ulcer disease; platelet count < 100 × 10⁹/l; uncontrolled hypertension (systolic blood pressure > 200 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure > 100 mm Hg); current treatment with oral anticoagulants or any antiplatelet agent other than acetylsalicylic acid, including any non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent; pregnant or nursing women or women not using medically approved means of contraception; known hepatic or renal insufficiency; or any other concomitant serious illness which would limit life expectancy or interfere with the study end points.

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by each hospital’s institutional review board, and informed consent was obtained from each patient before participation in the trial.

STUDY DESIGN AND DOSE SELECTION

The initial protocol envisaged a dose escalation, starting with lefradafiban 60 mg three times daily or placebo, and increasing to 75 mg and 90 mg three times daily. Based on phase I data in healthy volunteers, the latter dose was expected to achieve fibrinogen receptor occupancy (FRO) levels of more than 80%. However, after studies on the first group of 21 patients treated with lefradafiban 60 mg three times daily had been completed, it became apparent that this dose was associated with a high incidence of bleeding and with FRO levels of more than 80% (see Results). Therefore, the dose for the next group was reduced to 30 mg three times daily. After the safety of this regimen had been established, a third group was investigated receiving lefradafiban 45 mg three times daily or placebo.

Within each dose level, patients were randomised in a 4:1 ratio to receive lefradafiban or placebo in a double blind manner. The study drug was given as an oral solution three times a day for two days. It was not to be taken within two hours after or one hour before a meal. The first dose was given two hours before the start of the PTCA. An additional loading dose was given 3.5 hours after the first dose, while subsequent doses were given at eight hour intervals.

CONCOMITANT TREATMENT

Before the start of the PTCA, all patients received an intravenous bolus of heparin of 5000 IU, as well as an intravenous bolus of 250 mg aspirin. During the procedure, heparin was repeated in boluses of 5000 IU after 30, 60 and, if necessary, 120 minutes. Aspirin was continued in a dose of 100 mg daily. The use of ticlopidine together with lefradafiban was excluded by the protocol because no interaction data were available at that time. Therefore, if stenting was performed, the study drug was discontinued and ticlopidine (250 mg once or twice a day) was initiated. Other drugs were continued as before randomisation and adjusted as required by the clinical status of the patient.

CLINICAL AND LABORATORY MONITORING

A coronary angiogram was performed immediately before and after PTCA. Coronary flow was classified according to the thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) study classification by a central angiographic core laboratory (Cardialysis BV). Sheaths were removed 4–6 hours after discontinuation of the heparin infusion and as close as possible to the next intake of study drug, assuming that the platelet inhibitory activity of fradafiban would be at its nadir. Patients underwent daily clinical assessment for the occurrence of bleeding complications and other adverse events as well as extensive laboratory evaluation for haematology, coagulation, and biochemistry. They returned for a follow up visit two weeks after hospital discharge, which was not to take place within 48 hours from first study drug administration.

Samples for determination of FRO and plasma concentrations of fradafiban were obtained at baseline and at 2, 4, 12, 24, 36, and 48 hours after the first dose of the study drug. Blood for determination of FRO was stored in a Monovette prefilled with ACD (trisodium citrate, citric acid, dextrose). Platelet rich plasma was prepared by centrifugation and mixed with labelled fradafiban. After incubation and centrifugation, the supernatant as well as the platelet pellet were counted for free ligand in a 4 ml scintillation cocktail. Non-specific binding was determined in the presence of unlabelled fradafiban. The specific binding was calculated using corrections for spillover, extracellular space, and non-specific binding. The percentage of FRO was then calculated from the specific binding data, using corrections for receptor affinity and dilution of ACD.

Blood for determination of plasma fradafiban concentrations was drawn into tubes with EDTA. Plasma was separated immediately by centrifugation and stored at −20°C until analysis. Plasma concentrations of fradafiban were measured using a validated high performance liquid chromatographic method with fluorescence detection. The lower limit of quantification was 1 ng/ml.

Ex vivo platelet aggregation was assessed in a subgroup of 32 patients at baseline and at 2, 4, 24, and 48 hours after study drug initiation. Platelet aggregation was measured in citrated
PLATELET AGGREGATION

PHARMACODYNAMICS AND INHIBITION OF PLATELET AGGREGATION

Plasma concentrations of fradafiban increased in a dose dependent manner in patients treated with lefradafiban. Geometric means of the maximum plasma concentration of fradafiban at steady state were 158, 314, and 394 ng/ml during treatment with lefradafiban 30, 45, and 60 mg three times daily, respectively (table 2). The pharmacokinetic profile of lefradafiban was predictable and no significant deviations from dose proportionality were observed.

There was a close correlation between the plasma concentrations of fradafiban and FRO (fig 1). FRO increased in a dose dependent manner in patients treated with lefradafiban. Median FRO was 0% in the placebo group, 71% in the lefradafiban 30 mg group, 85% in the lefradafiban 45 mg group, and 88% in the lefradafiban 60 mg group (table 2). Minimum, mean, and maximum FRO values were also dose dependent. Plasma fradafiban concentrations of 170 ng/ml were required to achieve 80% FRO (fig 1). There was little variation in FRO within each patient (fig 2), while the
Interpatient variability was greater among patients receiving the lower dose than among those treated with the higher doses (fig 2 and table 2), reflecting the plasma concentration–FRO relation (fig 1).

Ex vivo platelet aggregation was measured in 32 patients and decreased with higher FRO levels (fig 3). In placebo patients, platelet aggregation was approximately 60% of normal. Inhibition of platelet aggregation to values below 20%, or greater than 80% inhibition, was observed in all patients with FRO values above 80%.

Bleeding times measured at 48 hours were in the normal range for all patients with FRO values below 70%, while prolonged bleeding times were observed in the majority of patients with higher FRO values above 80%.

**ADVERSE EVENTS**

Adverse events resulting in discontinuation of study drug treatment occurred in four patients: angina with cardiogenic shock in one (30 mg), leucopenia in one (30 mg), and bleeding in two other patients, both in the 45 mg group. No major bleeding events were reported. Minor and insignificant bleeding complications were frequent in the highest dose group (60 mg three times daily), in which 15 of 21 patients (71%) experienced such events (table 2). There was one haematoma in the placebo group, while bleeding was reported in seven (44%) of 16 patients receiving lefradafiban 30 mg three times daily, as well as in seven (44%) of 16 patients receiving lefradafiban 45 mg three times daily (table 2). Arterial and venous puncture site bleeding was most

---

**Table 2** Efficacy and safety end points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo (n=11)</th>
<th>30 mg (n=16)</th>
<th>45 mg (n=16)</th>
<th>60 mg (n=21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efficacy indices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRO (%)—minimum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>158 (27)</td>
<td>314 (24)</td>
<td>394 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRO (%)—median</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRO (%)—maximum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRO (%)—mean†</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69 (12)</td>
<td>83 (6)</td>
<td>87 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bleeding time</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At baseline (min)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 48 hours (min)</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bleeding complications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with bleeding, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>7 (44)</td>
<td>7 (44)</td>
<td>15 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of bleeding (n)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular puncture site</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematuria</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity of bleeding by TIMI (n)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean maximum fradafiban plasma concentration at steady state (Cmax,ss) with the relative coefficient of variation (%) in parentheses.

†Relative coefficient of variation (%) in parentheses.

All FRO values are expressed as area under the curve normalised per hour. Relative coefficient of variation = 100 \times \left(\frac{\text{standard deviation}}{\text{mean}}\right).
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The lowest values were 0.9 with lefradafiban 30 mg three times daily. The patients, two of whom were treated with heparin (p = 0.04) but failed to reach conventional significance for aPTT (p = 0.08). The relation between bleeding and heparin administration, as well as with the aPTT AUC, was explored in a similar way. When heparin administration and aPTT AUC were restricted to observations before the bleeding event, the relation with bleeding was significant for heparin (p = 0.04) but failed to reach conventional significance for aPTT (p = 0.08).

A clinically relevant fall in blood leucocyte count (≤ 3.0 × 10^9/l) was observed in three patients, two of whom were treated with lefradafiban 60 mg three times daily, and one with lefradafiban 30 mg three times daily. The lowest values were 0.9 × 10^9/l (from 5.9 × 10^9/l at baseline), 1.9 × 10^9/l (from 4.7 × 10^9/l), and 2.3 × 10^9/l (from 7.4 × 10^9/l), observed at 4, 24, and 48 hours after first study drug dose, respectively. After discontinuation of study drug, the leucocyte count in these patients returned to normal levels without additional measures within 2–5 days.

Median values for haematological variables at baseline were within normal limits in all treatment groups. There was a small decrease in haemoglobin and thrombocyte concentrations during the trial, which was comparable among all treatment groups. Median leucocyte count did not change. Serum concentrations of thromboplastin, thrombin, and fibrinogen were not affected. Median concentrations of biochemical variables at baseline were within the normal range in all treatment groups and remained within these limits at 24 and 48 hours.

Discussion

Administration of lefradafiban, an oral prodrug of the GP IIb/IIIa receptor blocker fradafiban, resulted in rapid and effective inhibition of platelet aggregation in patients with stable coronary artery disease undergoing elective PTCA. Doses of lefradafiban of 30, 45, and 60 mg three times daily caused a dose dependent increase in fradafiban plasma concentrations, an increase in FRO, and inhibition of platelet aggregation. With the three times daily dosing regimen, a stable FRO was achieved in each patient (fig 2). The level of inhibition achieved in patients who also received aspirin and heparin was greater than observed in volunteers receiving lefradafiban without additional drug treatment. In the placebo group, platelet aggregation was already decreased to 60%. In the phase I studies, inhibition of platelet aggregation of more than 80% was achieved with lefradafiban doses of 90 mg three times daily. In the present study, this was achieved with 45 mg three times daily. This suggests an interaction between the GP IIb/IIIa receptor blocker and aspirin.

As in other studies with GP IIb/IIIa receptor blockers, bleeding occurred frequently at these levels of platelet inhibition and was dose dependent. The incidence of bleeding complications in the lefradafiban 30 and 45 mg groups was similar to the rates observed in studies with other oral GP IIb/IIIa blockers at similar levels of platelet inhibition, while even more bleeding occurred in the highest dose group (71%). In studies with sibrafiban and xemilofiban, a gradual increase in bleeding was observed for higher dose levels with a greater degree of platelet inhibition. The results of the logistic regression analysis in the present study support these observations, with an increase in the risk of bleeding by 3% for every 1% increase in FRO. It should be appreciated that in the majority of cases the bleeding was insignificant, with a low incidence of minor bleeding complications (TIMI classification) and no major bleeding (table 2). Furthermore, all patients received aspirin and heparin and underwent invasive coronary procedures. Interactions between the GP IIb/IIIa receptor blocker abciximab and heparin have been reported in previous studies. As demonstrated in the EPiLOG (evaluation of PTCA to improve long term outcome by c7E3 GP IIb/IIIa receptor blockade) and EPISTENT (evaluation of platelet GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor for stenting) studies, it is likely that a further reduction in the heparin dose in a weight adjusted manner may reduce bleeding complications in patients receiving lefradafiban without decreasing the clinical efficacy. Special care should be given to the access site of vascular sheaths. Sheaths should be removed early (4–6 hours) following the procedure, after the heparin has been stopped and the activated clotting time is 175 seconds or less. Given the interpatient variability in the degree of platelet inhibition observed with oral GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonists, another potential strategy for reducing bleeding complications during longer term treatment could be to monitor the degree of platelet inhibition achieved in individual patients by using a platelet function bedside assay and to titrate the dose to a target level of inhibition.

While a gradual dose dependent increase in bleeding was observed, as in studies with sibrafiban and xemilofiban, the relation between bleeding time and per cent FRO in the present study suggests a threshold effect. The bleeding time was not increased at FRO values of less than 70%, while a pronounced increase was observed at higher levels.
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appreciated, however, that measurement of bleeding time does not reflect a tendency to spontaneous bleeding in clinical practice.

In three patients receiving lefradafiban, a clinically significant fall in blood leucocyte count was observed, although the mean leucocyte count did not change in the overall population. Leucopenia from bone marrow depression has been reported for another antiplatelet agent (ticlopidine). This, however, occurs mostly after 2–4 weeks of treatment. In the present study, leucopenia occurred immediately after the first dose or within two days. In all three patients, leucocytes returned rapidly in the peripheral blood after discontinuation of study drug. Leucopenia had not been observed in previous studies with lefradafiban in healthy volunteers and therefore was an unexpected finding in the present study. Following the observation of the leucopenia in the three patients, an amendment was made which increased the frequency of the haematological evaluations to monitor the safety of the patients and investigate the potential underlying pathophysiological mechanisms. However, no additional patients experienced this adverse event.

A recently presented second phase II study with lefradafiban in patients with acute coronary syndromes has confirmed the increased incidence of leucopenia, or rather neutropenia, associated with lefradafiban, and has provided some insight into the pathophysiological mechanisms involved. In accordance with the present study, the decrease in neutrophils was characterised by early onset (immediately after first dose of the study drug or within the next two days) and a rapid recovery after discontinuation of lefradafiban. Based on analysis of blood and bone marrow samples obtained in patients with neutropenia in that study, it was concluded that the observed neutropenia did not result from bone marrow depression but rather from a reversible redistribution of neutrophils by margination or clustering. More investigations are needed to clarify the precise mechanisms involved, as well as to determine the optimum duration of surveillance and the possible clinical associations.

The clinical benefit of treatment with GP IIb/IIIa receptor blockers in patients undergoing percutaneous intervention has been established by several studies using different inhibitors. In the present limited series, all patients receiving lefradafiban had a successful PTCA without apparent thrombotic complications, while acute closure was observed during PTCA in one patient in the placebo group. Oral compounds such as lefradafiban offer an opportunity for long term treatment with an effective platelet aggregation inhibitor, which may enhance the early benefit of an intravenous agent and prevent subsequent thrombotic events in patients at risk.

Three recently presented large clinical trials have evaluated this concept of long term oral GP IIb/IIIa inhibition with xemilofiban in patients after percutaneous coronary intervention (evaluation of oral xemilofiban in controlling thrombotic events trial (EXCITITE)) and with orbofiban and sibrafiban in patients after acute coronary syndromes (orbofiban in patients with unstable coronary syndromes trial (OPUS-TIMI-16), and sibrafiban versus aspirin to yield maximum protection from ischemic heart events post-acute coronary syndromes trial (SYMPhONY)). None of the trials showed a clear treatment benefit of long term GP IIb/IIIa inhibition, while all three trials showed a trend towards an increased mortality in the GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor group. In this respect, several points deserve consideration. First, the patient populations included in these trials were at relative low risk of recurrent thrombotic events. Furthermore, the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile of these agents is characterised by a steep dose–response relation and by a short half life relative to the dosing interval. This may have caused widely fluctuating inhibition of platelet aggregation and allowed complete recovery of platelet function between doses in some patients, with exposure of activated GP IIb/IIIa receptors on the platelet’s surface.

Additionally, recent studies have raised the possibility that platelet receptor antagonists may, at low concentrations, alter the steric conformation of the GP IIb/IIIa receptor sites and, paradoxically, enhance the thrombogenicity of these sites. Compared with the other oral agents, the three times daily lefradafiban dosing regimen may result in higher average fradafiban concentrations with less peak–trough fluctuation and more stable levels of inhibition of platelet aggregation.

It is a challenge to exploit the potential beneficial antithrombotic effect of oral GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors in balance with the associated risk of haemorrhage. Dose titration to a target degree of platelet inhibition, measured with a rapid platelet function assay, may improve the overall safety and efficacy profile. To increase the treatment benefit of (oral) GP IIb/IIIa blockers, one may choose to treat only patients who are at high risk of recurrent thrombotic complications, such as those who present with raised troponin concentrations and continue on medical treatment. These patients may gain particular benefit from a more aggressive long term therapeutic approach.

Lefradafiban in doses up to 45 mg three times daily achieved stable FRO values of more than 80% in patients with stable coronary artery disease undergoing elective PTCA, with acceptable safety during short term treatment. These doses may therefore be tested in further studies to assess whether long term treatment is indeed beneficial in patients with acute coronary syndromes at high risk of thrombotic complications, without an excess of bleeding complications.
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Serious adverse events experienced by patients with chronic heart failure taking spironolactone
C Berry, JF V McMurray
In patients with chronic heart failure, spironolactone added to conventional treatment may lead to serious and, occasionally, fatal hyperkalaemia. In some cases this seems to happen because spironolactone causes diarrhea. Four cases involving men with New York Heart Association functional class III heart failure are presented. As these cases revealed, close monitoring of blood chemistry is mandatory after starting spironolactone, and patients should be advised to stop spironolactone immediately if diarrhea develops. (Heart 2001;85:e8) www.heartnl.com/cgi/content/full/85/4/e8
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Behçet’s disease with a large intracardiac thrombus: a case report
M Baykan, S Çelik, Ç Erdöl, E C Baykan, I Durmuş, S Bahadır, H Erdöl, Ç Örem, H Çakirbay Behçet’s disease is recognised as a chronic multisystem disorder with vasculitis as its underlying pathological process. Cardiac involvement is rare and often associated with poor prognosis. A case of a 33 year old man with Behçet’s disease, presenting with a large right ventricle and right atrial thrombus, is reported. Two dimensional (cross sectional), colour Doppler, and transoesophageal echocardiography, angiography, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging were used to diagnose the disease. With cyclophosphamide and dexamethasone treatment, the cardiac lesions progressively resolved. (Heart 2001;85:e7) www.heartnl.com/cgi/content/full/85/4/e7
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