Tetrahydrobiopterin restores endothelial function of coronary arteries in patients with hypercholesterolaemia
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Objective: To examine the effect of tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4), an essential cofactor for nitric oxide synthase, on coronary artery endothelial function in hypercholesterolaemic patients.

Design: Quantitative coronary angiography and Doppler flowmetry were used to examine the effects of intracoronary infusion of BH4 on vascular response to acetylcholine (ACh).

Setting: Tertiary cardiology centre.

Patients: 18 patients with angiographically normal coronary arteries, of whom nine had hypercholesterolaemia and nine had normocholesterolaemia.

Interventions: ACh (3 and 30 µg/min) was infused for two minutes into the left coronary ostium. ACh was then simultaneously infused with BH4 (1 mg/min) before and after infusion of L-N^G-monomethyl-L-arginine (L-NMMA) (40 µmol/min for five minutes).

Main outcome measures: Diameter of the epicardial coronary arteries and coronary blood flow.

Results: In hypercholesterolaemic patients, BH4 attenuated the ACh induced decrease in coronary diameter (p < 0.05) and restored the ACh induced increase in coronary blood flow (p < 0.05). In normocholesterolaemic patients, BH4 did not affect the ACh induced changes in coronary diameter or coronary blood flow. In both groups, L-NMMA decreased the baseline coronary diameter (p < 0.05) and baseline coronary blood flow (p < 0.05). In hypercholesterolaemic patients, L-NMMA inhibited both the BH4 mediated attenuation of the ACh induced decrease in coronary diameter (p < 0.05) and the BH4 mediated enhancement of the ACh induced increase in coronary blood flow (p < 0.01).

Conclusions: Intracoronary infusion of BH4 restores coronary endothelial function by improving the bioavailability of endothelium derived nitric oxide in hypercholesterolaemic patients.

Methods

Study population

Between January 1999 and January 2001, we studied nine patients with hypercholesterolaemia (seven men and two women, mean (SD) age 61 (9) years, range 46–70 years) and nine age matched patients with normocholesterolaemia (seven men and two women, mean (SD) age 59 (9) years, range 45–70 years). All of the patients had angiographically normal epicardial coronary arteries and normal coronary flow reserve, determined at the time of diagnostic coronary angiography for the investigation of atypical chest pain. Chest pain was atypical for effort angina in all of the patients. The patients were not receiving any antihypertensive drugs, including angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, any drugs known to affect lipid metabolism, or any vitamin supplements for at least eight weeks before cardiac catheterisation. Hypercholesterolaemia was defined as a fasting total cholesterol concentration > 6.2 mmol/l without the use of antihypercholesterolaemic drugs. In addition, there was no medical evidence indicating the presence of either hypertension or diabetes mellitus in any of the patients. None of the patients involved in this study had smoked for the preceding 15 years. Patients with severe left ventricular dysfunction and those with valvar heart diseases were excluded from the study. Furthermore, patients with angiographically documented coronary spasm (> 50% luminal narrowing) after intracoronary infusion of ACh were excluded. Written consent was obtained from each patient and the protocol was approved by the Hiroshima University School of Medicine Ethics Committee.

Abbreviations: ACh, acetylcholine; BH4, tetrahydrobiopterin; L-NMMA, L-N^G-monomethyl-L-arginine
Coronary angiograms were performed at baseline and at the end of each drug infusion. The coronary blood flow velocity was monitored continuously by a 12 MHz pulsed Doppler velocimeter (FloMap, Cardiometrics). Arterial pressure, heart rate, and ECG were monitored continuously and recorded with a multichannel recorder (Polygraph 1600, NEC, Tokyo, Japan).

**Quantitative coronary angiography and determination of coronary blood flow**

Coronary angiograms were acquired and analysed using a digital image acquisition (HICOR x ray system, Siemens, Forchheim, Germany) and analysis systems (CAAS II QCA system, Pie Medical, Maastricht, Netherlands). The coronary segment 2 mm distal to the Doppler wire tip was selected for quantitative analysis. The average of three measurements of the luminal diameter was used for analysis. A strong correlation for intraobserver measurements was noted ($r = 0.996$, $p < 0.001$). Analysis of interobserver measurements also showed high reproducibility ($r = 0.987$, $p < 0.001$). Coronary blood flow velocity was measured at baseline and under steady state conditions for each drug infusion. Blood flow was quantitatively estimated from the Doppler flow velocity and the arterial diameter by the following equation:

$$\pi \times \text{average peak velocity} \times 0.125 \times \text{diameter}^2$$

Changes in coronary diameter and coronary blood flow are expressed as the percentage change from the control value.

**Drug preparations**

ACh chloride was purchased from Daiichi Pharmaceutical Co (Tokyo, Japan) and glyceryl trinitrate was purchased from Nihonkayaku Co (Tokyo, Japan). L-NMMA and BH4 were

---

**Table 1** Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</th>
<th>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Serum glucose (mmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>61 (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.5 (1.8)</td>
<td>6.78 (0.78)</td>
<td>1.46 (0.51)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.31)</td>
<td>4.47 (0.93)</td>
<td>114 (15)</td>
<td>67 (9)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</th>
<th>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Diastolic BP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Serum glucose (mmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>59 (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.2 (1.9)</td>
<td>4.37 (0.78)*</td>
<td>1.35 (0.47)</td>
<td>1.34 (0.31)</td>
<td>2.30 (0.70)*</td>
<td>122 (18)</td>
<td>72 (9)</td>
<td>4.9 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 v hypercholesterolaemic patients*

BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; F, female; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; M, male.
purchased from Sigma Chemical Co (St Louis, Missouri, USA) and sterilised at the Pharmacy Department of Hiroshima University Hospital. All drugs were dissolved in oxygen-free saline immediately before use.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as the mean (SEM) unless otherwise indicated. Differences in categorical variables between the two groups were analysed by Mann-Whitney U test. Serial responses of haemodynamic variables, coronary diameter, and coronary blood flow to various drugs were compared using a one way analysis of variance. If the analysis of variance showed a significant difference between the mean values, the level of significance was determined by contrast. Serial percentage changes in coronary diameter and coronary blood flow were compared using a two way analysis of variance for repeated measures. A probability value of p < 0.05 was considered to be significant.

**RESULTS**

**Clinical characteristics and haemodynamic variables**

Table 1 shows plasma lipoprotein concentrations of the patients studied. Total cholesterol and low density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations at the time of the study were higher in hypercholesterolaemic patients than in normocholesterolaemic patients. Body mass index, blood pressure, and serum

**Figure 2** Percentage change in (A) coronary diameter and (B) coronary blood flow in response to acetylcholine (ACh) in hypercholesterolaemic and normocholesterolaemic patients. Vertical bars represent SEM. *p < 0.05.
glucose were similar in both groups (table 1). The intracoronary administration of ACh, BH4, or L-NMMA did not significantly alter the baseline mean arterial pressure or heart rate in either group. Glyceryl trinitrate decreased the mean arterial pressure but increased the heart rate compared with control values (data not shown).

Effect of intracoronary infusion of ACh or BH4 on coronary response
A high dose of ACh (30 µg/min) decreased the coronary diameter in hypercholesterolaemic patients (p < 0.01) while a low dose of ACh (3 µg/min) dilated the epicardial coronary arteries in normocholesterolaemic patients (p < 0.05) (table 2, fig 2). ACh produced dose dependent increases in coronary blood flow in both groups but the increase in coronary blood flow was significantly smaller in hypercholesterolaemic patients (p < 0.05 between the two groups; table 2, fig 2). In both groups, BH4 infusion alone did not cause any significant changes in either coronary diameter or coronary blood flow (table 2).

Effect of BH4 on ACh induced coronary response
In hypercholesterolaemic patients, co-infusion of BH4 and ACh attenuated the ACh (3 and 30 µg/min) induced constriction of the epicardial arteries (−0.1 (1.6)% and −2.3 (1.3)%, respectively; p < 0.05 v ACh alone; table 2, fig 3). In addition, in hypercholesterolaemic patients, co-infusion of BH4 and ACh restored the ACh induced increase in coronary blood flow (83.7 (19.5)% and 181.2 (26.4)%, p < 0.05 v ACh alone; table 2, fig 3). In contrast, in normocholesterolaemic patients, BH4 did not affect the ACh induced changes in coronary diameter or coronary blood flow (table 2, fig 4).

Effect of L-NMMA on ACh induced coronary response in the presence of BH4
Intracoronary infusions of L-NMMA significantly decreased both the baseline coronary diameter and the baseline coronary blood flow in both groups (table 2). In hypercholesterolaemic patients, L-NMMA inhibited both the BH4 mediated attenuation of the ACh induced decrease in coronary diameter (−3.3 (1.8)% and −9.3 (2.0)%, p < 0.05 v after co-infusion of BH4 and ACh; table 2, fig 3) and the BH4 mediated enhancement of the ACh induced increase in coronary blood flow (14.7 (9.3)% and 61.3 (20.3)%, p < 0.01 v after co-infusion of BH4 and ACh; table 2, fig 3). In normocholesterolaemic patients, ACh in combination with BH4, which did not affect the coronary diameter or coronary blood flow before infusion of L-NMMA, decreased the coronary diameter (p < 0.01 v after co-infusion of BH4 and ACh; table 2, fig 4) and coronary blood flow (p < 0.05 v after co-infusion of BH4 and ACh; table 2, fig 4) after the infusion of L-NMMA.

Effect of glyceryl trinitrate on coronary response
Intracoronary infusion of glyceryl trinitrate caused increases in coronary diameter and coronary blood flow in both groups. There were no significant differences in the glyceryl trinitrate
induced increases in coronary diameter or coronary blood flow between the hypercholesterolaemic and normocholesterolaemic groups (table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study showed that BH4 restores impaired coronary arterial responses in hypercholesterolaemic patients who have not yet developed coronary artery disease. The effects of BH4 on the vascular responses to ACh were inhibited by L-NMMA, an inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase. These findings suggest that BH4 improves coronary artery endothelial dysfunction by increasing nitric oxide bioavailability.

**Hypercholesterolaemia and endothelial dysfunction**

Endothelial cells contribute to the regulation of vascular tone by releasing vasoactive compounds, including nitric oxide, prostacyclin, and endothelin derived hyperpolarising factor. Although it remains to be determined whether the relative contribution of these factors to endothelial dependent vasodilatation varies according to vessel size in humans, nitric oxide has been shown to be responsible for endothelium dependent vasodilatation of the epicardial and resistance arteries. Therefore, impaired coronary vasoresponse to ACh, an agonist of nitric oxide, is characterised by reduced nitric oxide bioavailability. The differences in vascular responses to ACh between the hypercholesterolaemic and normocholesterolaemic patients observed in this study also indicate that ACh induced dilatation of the epicardial and resistance arteries was reduced in hypercholesterolaemic patients. This is in keeping with previous reports of changes in endothelium dependent vasorelaxation of coronary arteries before the development of atherosclerotic lesions. Several mechanisms by which hypercholesterolaemia may impair endothelial function have been proposed. Firstly, substrate deficiency may reduce nitric oxide bioavailability. Secondly, several abnormalities in the signal transduction pathway that carries the message from membrane receptors to nitric oxide synthase may contribute to the depressed endothelial responsiveness in the setting of hypercholesterolaemia. Thirdly, oxidised low density lipoprotein, which is associated with hypercholesterolaemia, may cause oxidative stress in endothelial cells and attenuate endothelial nitric oxide bioavailability. Fourthly, increased concentrations of asymmetric dimethyl L-arginine, an endogenous inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase, may be associated with attenuated endothelium dependent vasodilatation in hypercholesterolaemic patients. In addition to these mechanisms, our data, as well as findings in the forearm circulation, indicate that BH4 does not influence the basal nitric oxide bioavailability of endothelial cells and allows us to speculate that the amount of BH4 required for the augmentation of nitric oxide bioavailability differs between the basal and the stimulated states.

**Study limitations**

There are several limitations to the present study. None of the patients had angiographically significant stenotic lesions. However, intravascular ultrasound, which can be used to evaluate wall thickening, was not performed in the present study. Zeiher and colleagues reported that there is a significant correlation between the vascular response to ACh and atherosclerotic wall thickening in hypercholesterolaemic patients. Therefore, further studies are necessary to assess intimal hyperplasia and structural alterations of the vascular wall using intravascular ultrasound.

Because previous investigators have used oxygen-free saline to dissolve BH4 in vivo studies, we used oxygen-free saline to dissolve BH4 in the present study. However, intravascular ultrasound, which can be used to evaluate wall thickening, was not performed in the present study. Walter and colleagues reported that BH4 dissolved in bicarbonate buffered solution increases myocardial blood flow in healthy volunteers. Although it is possible that solvents can affect the redox state of BH4, it remains to be determined whether the solvent can influence the effect of BH4 on the vascular response.

The intracoronary injection of contrast medium increased coronary blood flow. Therefore, the infusion of contrast medium may have caused flow induced vasodilatation. However, contrast medium was infused at a fixed rate for a total of 8 ml. Therefore, we believe that influences of flow induced vasodilatation on the coronary diameter are similar for each infusion of contrast medium.

Oral administration of BH4 causes a threefold increase in the concentration of BH4 in long time smokers. In our preliminary study, intracoronary infusion of BH4 caused approximately a 100-fold increase in the concentration of BH4 in the coronary sinus. Heitzer and colleagues showed that the effects of BH4 on vascular responses to ACh were abolished by pretreatment with an antioxidant, suggesting that functional depletion of BH4 caused by enhanced BH4 oxidation accounts at least in part for endothelial dysfunction in chronic smokers. Furthermore, treatment with vitamin C significantly increased concentrations of the BH4 in cultured human umbilical vein endothelial cells. Therefore, it is important to examine the possibility that lower doses of BH4 and pretreatment with an antioxidant can restore coronary endothelial function in hypercholesterolaemic patients.
Although no single assay accurately reflects the production of oxygen derived free radicals, 8-iso-prostaglandin F₂α has been shown to be a sensitive and specific marker of oxidative stress. However, we did not measure any markers of oxidative stress. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that the direct antioxidant effect of BH₄ may contribute to the improvement of endothelial dysfunction in hypercholesterolaemic patients.

Conclusions
The present findings indicate that intracoronary BH₄ infusion restores endothelial nitric oxide bioavailability in the epicardial and resistance arteries in hypercholesterolaemic patients. BH₄ supplementation may be therapeutically useful in restoring endothelial nitric oxide bioavailability, thereby attenuating the development of coronary endothelial dysfunction.
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