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The management of supraventricular tachycardia (SVT) has changed considerably over the last 10 years, and some of the techniques that interventional electrophysiologists were using last year are now outdated. This rapid evolution means that many cardiologists who do not specialise in this field find it difficult to keep up to date with the optimum strategies for the investigation and treatment of arrhythmia. This review aims to give an update on the available treatment options and their outcomes, and provide a guide to appropriate referral to specialist interventional cardiac electrophysiologists.

CLASSIFICATION AND AETIOLOGY OF SUPRAVENTRICULAR ARRHYTHMIAS

Most tachycardia has a re-entry mechanism (fig 1) and the classification of most arrhythmias is based on the location of this re-entry circuit. Tachycardia can be categorised as ventricular (involving the ventricle ± the His-Purkinje system only) and supraventricular (involving the supra-hisian structures with or without ventricular tissue). They can then be subdivided into regular or irregular tachycardia. Irregular SVTs—that is, atrial fibrillation (AF)—are less amenable to catheter ablation than regular SVT, but catheter ablation may be possible in selected patients. Regular SVTs can be cured by catheter ablation with high success rates (95–99%) and low complication rates (< 1%). Regular SVTs take the form of:

- atrioventricular re-entry tachycardias (AVRT), using the ventricle as part of the circuit; these tachycardias are dependent on the presence of an accessory atrioventricular (AV) pathway (fig 2)
- atrioventricular nodal re-entry tachycardia (AVNRT), where the re-entry circuit is within the AV node and the ventricle plays no part in maintaining the arrhythmia (fig 3)
- atrial tachycardia, where the re-entry circuit does not involve any part of the AV junction. Examples are atrial flutter (fig 4) or ectopic atrial tachycardia (AT) (fig 5).

MECHANISMS OF SVTs

Atrioventricular re-entry tachycardias

Patients with AVRT are born with an accessory pathway which usually has very different conduction characteristics from the AV node. As a result these tachycardias may present at any age, even in neonates or early childhood.

Atrioventricular nodal re-entry tachycardia

AVNRT, however, is dependent on the difference in conduction properties of the two atrial inputs into the AV node—the fast and slow pathway. AVNRT, the most common regular SVT, often presents in early adulthood, probably because maturation of the AV node results in differentiation of the conduction properties of the fast and slow pathways.

Atrial flutter

As with all tachycardias with a re-entry mechanism, atrial flutter is dependent on the passage of the activation wave front around the atrium taking long enough to allow repolarisation of myocardium before the wave front completes one circuit, so that the wave front is always approaching excitable myocardium. This may happen as a result of slow conduction velocity or a long wave front path. Stretching and scarring of the atria are likely to produce these conditions and so patients with atrial flutter present at an older age and often have concomitant disease predisposing to atrial pathology, such as atrial septal defect, previous surgery or ischaemic heart disease.

Atrial tachycardia

AT is now considered a misnomer and really refers to focal AT as opposed to atrial flutter and fibrillation. It is rare and has a focal mechanism which has not been clearly defined; indeed, it may be that the cause is a very small re-entry circuit, but the mapping systems used to characterise the circuit do not have adequate resolution.
Figure 1 Diagrammatic representation of the mechanisms of re-entry. (1) A wavefront (arrows), initiated in a normal fashion in the sinus node, passes around an obstacle (disc) to electrical activation in a uniform fashion. This obstacle may be formed by an anatomical feature (fixed conduction block) like the tricuspid annulus, or by a physiological abnormality (functional conduction block) like an area of ischaemic myocardium, which may or may not result in block depending on a variety of conditions like vagal tone or coupling interval. (2) A premature impulse results in block of conduction on one side of the obstacle while conduction continues on the other. This is functional block because it is the result of a short electrical coupling interval which means that the myocardium in this region has not recovered its excitability in time to conduct the premature beat. (3) This wavefront takes sufficient time to circulate around the obstacle that repolarisation occurs and the area previously resulting in block recovers its excitability, so that this wavefront continually encounters excitable tissue and perpetuates as a re-entry circuit.

Figure 2 Mechanism of atrioventricular re-entry tachycardias (AVRT). The right and left atria (RA/LA) and ventricles (RV/LV) are normally electrically isolated by the fibrous rings that form the mitral and tricuspid annuli (grey line), with the only connection being the atrioventricular (AV) node. If a patient has an accessory connection (acc pathway) then the requirements for a re-entry circuit (grey arrows) are fulfilled; where the mitral/tricuspid annulus form fixed conduction block and the AV node, accessory pathway and tissue of the atria and ventricles form the re-entry circuit.

**Abbreviations**
- AF: atrial fibrillation
- AT: atrial tachycardia
- AV: atrioventricular
- AVNRT: atrioventricular nodal re-entry tachycardia
- AVRT: atrioventricular re-entry tachycardia
- EPS: electrophysiological study
- RF: radiofrequency
- SVT: supraventricular tachycardia

**Atrial fibrillation**
AF, the irregular SVT, is the most common and results from multiple re-entry circuits which can have varying degrees of randomness, so that the tachycardia may appear relatively organised or disorganised on the 12 lead ECG. The re-entry is established by lines of conduction block resulting from scarring, ischaemia or stretch of the atria, and therefore AF may often be associated with hypertension, mitral valve disease, and ischaemic heart disease.

**INCIDENCE**
The incidence of regular SVT in the population has been poorly defined. AVNRT is by far the most common regular SVT and accounts for 90% of so called “junctional tachycardias”, the remainder being dependent on the presence of an accessory AV connection to produce AVRT. Epidemiological studies have demonstrated an incidence of regular AVNRT or AVRT of 35/100 000 person-years and a prevalence of 2.29/1000 persons.

Atrial flutter has an incidence of 88/100 000 person-years and is unsurprisingly associated with increasing age, patients over 80 years having an incidence of 587/100 000. AF is the most common sustained cardiac arrhythmia, having a prevalence of 6% in the population over 65 years old, and is the most common cardiac cause of stroke. Because of this AF represents a considerable burden on health care systems which is why enormous efforts are currently being made to develop curative treatments.

**TREATMENTS FOR SVT**
**Palliation**
Antiarrhythmic drugs act by either slowing conduction or lengthening the refractory period of cardiac tissue. Some antiarrhythmic drugs have selective actions. An example is flecainide, which has some effect on the AV node, prolonging the effective refractory period by 10% and the conduction velocity by 20%. By contrast, it will completely block anterograde conduction in accessory pathways in approximately 40% of patients while prolonging refractory periods in 20% of the remaining cases. For the majority of SVT pharmacological treatment results in changes to the conduction properties of all or a large proportion of cardiac conduction tissue. Therefore, when prescribing these treatments, the physician hopes that the drug will either: (a) change the conduction velocity of components of the re-entry circuit differentially, so that they no longer differ sufficiently to allow re-entry to be established; or (b) that the refractory period is lengthened so that the re-entry wave front encounters refractory tissue and is therefore extinguished. If conduction velocity is slowed in all parts of the circuit equally, or the refractory period of one part of the circuit is lengthened by a critical amount, this can be proarrrhythmic, and antiarrrhythmic drugs may therefore result in incessant tachycardia. A brief synopsis of drug treatment of SVT is shown in the box below.
Find lying on the pain in the chest during RF application. More often, patients feel warmth or even area (2–4 mm) endocardium and some of the myocardium rendering a small eter. This results in conductive and resistive heating of the radiofrequency (RF) energy is delivered via the ablation cath- er. The primary aim of the EPS is to induce tachycardia so that the activation sequence recorded by the diagnostic catheter electrodes can be used to determine the arrhythmia mechanism. A deflectable mapping/ablation cath- eric treatment. Antiarrhythmic drugs that slow atrial conduction and lengthen refractory period (for example, flecainide, amio- darone) may have some effect on reducing atrial flutter recurrence, but drugs that reduce rate may also slow the flutter enough to allow 1:1 AV nodal conduction, resulting in a tachycardia rapid enough to cause haemodynamic compromise. Alternatively, antiarrhythmic drug strategies for atrial flutter may aim to control the ventricular response rather than maintain sinus rhythm. Because the ventricular response rate is a function of the atrial flutter rate, achieving a balance between tachycardia (2:1 AV block or 3:1 AV block causing ventricular response rates of 150 and 100 beats per minute) and bradycardia is difficult, and many opt to encourage AF and control rate response with digoxin and β blockers.

Curative therapies

Catheter ablation is a minimally invasive procedure whereby 4–8 French electrodes are passed intravascularly, most commonly to the right heart, under x ray guidance. The procedure is performed under local anaesthetic, often as a day case procedure. The general principles of catheter ablation are that an electrophysiological study (EPS) is performed first using a specifically designed pulse generator to perform programmed stimulation. The primary aim of the EPS is to induce tachycardia so that the activation sequence recorded by the diagnostic catheter electrodes can be used to determine the arrhythmia mechanism. A deflectable mapping/ablation cath- er is then positioned on a portion of the re-entry circuit critical for maintenance of tachycardia that is not part of the normal cardiac conduction system. Once in a suitable location, radiofrequency (RF) energy is delivered via the ablation catheter. This results in conductive and resistive heating of the endocardium and some of the myocardium rendering a small area (2–4 mm) electrically inactive. Most patients do not feel the energy application but some patients feel warmth or even pain in the chest during RF application. More often, patients find lying on the x ray table for the time length required for the ablation more troublesome than the actual procedure itself; although this may result in suppression of the arrhyth- mia, light sedation or analgesics can help. After RF delivery, a further limited EPS is then performed to confirm successful ablation of the re-entry circuit. The targets, success rates, and complication rates for each SVT are summarised in table 1.

Complication rates vary depending on the arrhythmia being ablated. Estimated rates for individual complications are listed in table 2, based on prospective data collected in the USA in 1998, apart from ablation of AF secondary to focal tachycardia for which data have not yet been published (this is estimated from the data from our centre and that associated with left sided accessory pathway ablation). For newer procedures—that is, AF ablation—some of these data may already be outdated. The extra risk of developing a cancer over a lifetime from the radiation exposure associated with an hour of fluoroscopy is 480 per million patients. Few ablation procedures require this degree of exposure; the doses received by patients undergoing even the most complex catheter ablation have been reduced by modern fluoroscopy equipment or non- fluoroscopic cardiac mapping systems.

### Table 1 Results of radiofrequency catheter ablation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arrhythmia</th>
<th>Success rate (%)</th>
<th>Complication rate (%)</th>
<th>Possible additional/ maintenance therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVNRT</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVRT</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial flutter</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV node ablation for &gt;99</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Permanent pacemaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>established AF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF secondary to focal tachycardia</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Antiarrhythmic drugs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table shows the outcome of catheter ablation for arrhythmia. Some of these data are likely to be out of date; an example is focal atrial fibrillation in which the techniques are progressing rapidly enough that published data are not applicable to currently used approaches and are likely to underestimate the success rates. AF, atrial fibrillation; AV, atrioventricular; AVNRT, atrioventricular nodal re-entry tachycardia; AVRT, atrioventricular re-entry tachycardia.
GENERAL GUIDANCE FOR REFERRAL

Regular SVT

The studies comparing catheter ablation with drug treatment are limited because it has been generally accepted for some years that catheter ablation has greater efficacy and the evolution of techniques for catheter ablation has been so rapid. Studies have shown that catheter ablation has a higher success rate than drug treatment,\textsuperscript{25,26} patients have a higher quality of life after catheter ablation,\textsuperscript{27} and it is more cost effective than antiarrhythmic drug treatment within 9–12 years.\textsuperscript{25,28}

Most patients warrant treatment for their arrhythmia if they find the symptoms debilitating or require recurrent attendance at hospital for termination of tachycardia. If a patient has evidence of pre-excitation and a history of tachycardia, I would offer them catheter ablation even without recurrent symptoms because of the low but definite risk of sudden death associated with AF in this group of patients.\textsuperscript{29}

Whether patients who have pre-excitation discovered incidentally should be offered ablation is more controversial, but EPS to assess the Wenckebach cycle length of the accessory pathway (to determine its potential for rapid conduction if AF occurs) may be misleading because a variety of factors affect the refractory period which may change from one day to the next. The case for catheter ablation of atrial flutter is even more compelling because the catheter ablation success rate is now considerably higher than drug treatment. In summary, all patients with regular SVT who require treatment should be referred to a cardiac electrophysiologist for consideration of catheter ablation.

Atrial fibrillation

The techniques used and the success rates of catheter ablation of AF are changing so rapidly that within one year this review will almost certainly be out of date in this regard. There are two approaches to catheter treatment of AF. The first is to control ventricular response rate by modifying or abolishing AV nodal conduction. AV node modification is a technique whereby the atrial inputs into the AV node with the shortest refractory periods are selectively ablated. This reduces the rate at which the AV node can conduct to the ventricle. It is a technique that has been less in vogue in recent years mainly because it is difficult to predict the outcome reliably, and patients often require pacemaker implantation because of bradycardia or repeat ablation procedures because of tachycardia. Complete AV node ablation and permanent pacemaker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arrhythmia</th>
<th>Heart block (%)</th>
<th>Vascular damage/haematoma (%)</th>
<th>Other (%)</th>
<th>Death (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVNRT</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.08 DVT</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08 PE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08 Pneumothorax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVRT: left sided</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.7 Tamponade</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 Coronary occlusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVRT: right free wall</td>
<td>1 (3rd degree)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 PE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVRT: septal</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5 Pneumothorax</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 Pericarditis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial flutter</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2 Tamponade</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 DVT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 Haemorrhax</td>
<td>0.2 TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV node ablation for established AF</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.15 TR</td>
<td>0.15 (pacemaker failure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF secondary to focal tachycardia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 Tamponade</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 Embolic stroke</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DVT, deep vein thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolus; TR, tricuspid regurgitation. For key to rest of abbreviations see table 1.

Figure 4 Diagram showing the pathway taken by the re-entry wavefront causing atrial flutter (arrow). The right (RA) and left atria (LA) are depicted with the anatomical landmarks in the right atria marked as follows: SVC, superior vena cava; IVC, inferior vena cava; CS, coronary sinus or; TV, tricuspid valve; CT, crista terminalis. The TV and CT form lines of conduction block which “electrically” divide the atria into two halves. The only electrical connections between these two halves are the roof and appendage of the right atrium and the isthmus between the TV and IVC. Note that the narrowest part of the re-entry circuit is this isthmus which is why this is the target for ablation of atrial flutter. The left atrium activates via conduction through the septum but is not part of the re-entry circuit.
implantation is more frequently employed when drug treatment fails to control the ventricular response rate. The second approach to treatment of AF, used more often for paroxysmal than established AF, involves catheter ablation of the focal activity that initiates AF. This focal activation often arises from one of the pulmonary veins and electrical isolation of these veins may cure AF in up to 60% of patients long term.

The complication rate of this procedure is slightly higher than for most catheter ablations because a transeptal puncture is required and the catheters are passed to the left heart. This is only a marginal risk because most interventional electrophysiologists are experienced in transeptal puncture, and transoesophageal echocardiography is performed immediately before the procedure to exclude thrombus in the left atrium that may be embolised by catheter manipulation. Patients may benefit from the opinion of an interventional electrophysiologist if they are in established AF and their ventricular rate cannot be controlled with antiarrhythmic drugs, or they have lone paroxysmal AF that cannot be abolished with class Ic antiarrhythmic treatment. Amiodarone may be a suitable alternative in this group of patients, but the side effect profile of amiodarone means that other options should at least be explored before committing the patient to lifelong treatment.

COMMON MISCONCEPTIONS

1. Flecainide causes sudden death: Although flecainide was associated with an increased risk of sudden death in CAST (cardiac arrhythmia suppression trial) in patients with impaired left ventricular function and ventricular premature beats, there is no evidence that it increases risk of sudden death when used in patients with SVT (and normal ventricles).

2. Digoxin is good at rate control: Digoxin appears to be less effective than other agents at controlling ventricular rate in AF, particularly during exercise or critical illnesses. Moreover, in patients with paroxysmal AF, digoxin does not appear to have a significant effect on ventricular rate during paroxysms and may be associated with the occurrence of longer paroxysms.

3. Ablation of SVT is time consuming: Most catheter ablations take approximately 30 minutes to one hour. Factors that may prolong the procedure are difficulty in inducing the tachycardia, multiple accessory pathways, or large, abnormal atria requiring unusual mapping/ablation catheters.

4. Ablation of SVT is traumatic: Most catheter ablation can be performed as a day case procedure and patients require no special follow up after the procedure. Although sedation may suppress the arrhythmia it will often...
Supraventricular tachycardias: key points

- The following groups of patients may benefit from referral to a cardiac electrophysiologist:
  1. All patients with regular SVT on antiarrhythmic drugs
  2. All patients with recurrent regular SVT
  3. Patients with established AF in whom control of the ventricular response is suboptimal
  4. Patients with lone paroxysmal AF in whom maintenance of sinus rhythm cannot be achieved with class lc antiarrhythmics

- It is likely that an effective curative catheter ablation procedure for established AF will be established within the next 10 years.

CONCLUSION

Almost all regular SVTs can be treated simply and quickly with catheter ablation. Exceptions are those that occur as a result of structural abnormalities of the atria. The treatment of AF is evolving rapidly and at the present time the only patients that may be reliably and effectively treated are those with short frequent paroxysms of AF. Electrophysiologists may still have a role in ablating established AF if control of the ventricular response is proving difficult.
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