Effect of atrial antitachycardia pacing treatments in patients with an atrial defibrillator: randomised study comparing subthreshold and nominal pacing outputs
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Objective: To assess the true efficacy of antitachycardia pacing on spontaneous persistent atrial fibrillation in patients with an implanted atrial defibrillator, by comparing the effects of nominal pacing treatment with subthreshold pacing treatment.

Design: The effects of antitachycardia pacing and burst 50 Hz atrial pacing on spontaneous atrial arrhythmias were evaluated six months after implantation of a Medtronic Jewel AF® atrial defibrillator.

Setting: Cardiology department in a district general hospital.

Patients: 15 patients with persistent atrial fibrillation.

Interventions: Patients were randomised to either “nominal” output pacing treatment or surface ECG and endocardial electrogram proven subthreshold “sham” pacing treatment for three months, and then crossed over to the alternative treatment for a further three months.

Results: During the nominal output phase, 31 episodes of atrial fibrillation were treated with 53 bursts of 50 Hz pacing, 98 sequences of ramp atrial pacing, and 61 sequences of burst atrial pacing. Atrial fibrillation was not pace terminated during any episode. Thirty one episodes of atrial tachycardia were treated with 19 bursts of 50 Hz atrial pacing, 103 sequences of ramp atrial pacing, and 38 sequences of burst atrial pacing. Termination of atrial tachycardia was observed in 17 episodes. During the “sham” pacing period, no episodes were terminated by any pacing treatment.

Conclusion: Atrial antitachycardia pacing treatments are ineffective at terminating persistent atrial fibrillation but may be useful in terminating episodes of atrial tachycardia or flutter, thus reducing the burden of arrhythmia.

The management of paroxysmal and persistent atrial fibrillation has changed over the last decade, with a gradual move away from reliance on medical treatment alone. Hybrid treatments involving various electrophysiological and pacing techniques are now being used in synergy with conventional pharmaceutical treatment. Newer and more sophisticated pacemaker technologies are being investigated and efforts focused on finding methods of either terminating atrial fibrillation early or reducing the burden of arrhythmia.

The Medtronic (Minneapolis, USA) Jewel AF® defibrillator (model 7250) allows tiered atrial treatment to be delivered on detection of atrial arrhythmias. Stored Holter and endocardial electrogram strip recordings allow the precise onset and termination of atrial arrhythmias to be determined and the effects of treatment assessed. The patients who seem to benefit particularly from the atrial defibrillator are those in whom the only arrhythmia is recurrent drug resistant persistent atrial fibrillation. Previous studies investigating the effects of atrial pacing treatment using the Jewel AF® have, however, also included patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and ventricular arrhythmias.

Our aims were to investigate atrial pacing treatment in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation only, as follows: (1) to show the effects of atrial pacing at either nominal or subthreshold “sham” outputs on spontaneous persistent atrial fibrillation, using a randomised crossover design; (2) to investigate the efficacy of 50 Hz atrial pacing for terminating induced and spontaneous persistent atrial fibrillation.

METHODS

Patients

A Medtronic Jewel AF® atrial defibrillator was implanted in 15 patients, the sole indication being drug refractory persistent atrial fibrillation. The participants were 12 men and three women (mean age 63.0 years, range 38 to 83). None of them had a history of ventricular arrhythmia or any documented evidence of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation on repeated preimplant 24 hour Holter monitoring and careful review of the clinical records. All patients underwent cardioversion to sinus rhythm during implantation as part of the device testing procedure.

Effects of 50 Hz atrial pacing on induced atrial fibrillation

At predischARGE testing, atrial fibrillation was induced in all patients by a two second burst of 50 Hz atrial pacing at an amplitude of 8 V and a pulse width of 1.5 ms. Atrial fibrillation was allowed to stabilise for two minutes. A two second burst of 50 Hz atrial pacing was then delivered in an attempt to terminate the rhythm. If atrial fibrillation persisted, then the rhythm was finally cardioverted by patient activated defibrillator using the Jewel AF® and a remote activator (Medtronic model 9464).

Effects of atrial pacing treatments on spontaneous atrial episodes

All patients were studied six months after implantation of the atrial defibrillator. Each patient was randomised to three month periods of atrial treatments, with outputs set at either “nominal” (5 V output and 1.5 ms pulse width) or proven subthreshold “sham” pacing (1 V output and 0.03 ms pulse width). Atrial pacing was performed at sham outputs before final programming to confirm that there was no atrial capture on either the surface ECG or the endocardial electrogram. Atrial pacing treatment was initiated after two minutes of a recognised atrial arrhythmia. After three months, the patients...
were crossed over to the alternative treatment. Drug treatment remained unchanged for the duration of the study.

**Arrhythmia classification**

The device was programmed to classify an atrial arrhythmia as atrial fibrillation if the mean atrial cycle length was between 100 ms and 270 ms. Atrial tachycardia was diagnosed if the cycle length fell between 170 ms and 320 ms. In the overlap zone, the Jewel AF device discriminated between atrial fibrillation and atrial tachycardia according to the regularity of the rhythm (fig 1). The rhythm was classified as regular when, in the most recent 12 intervals, the difference between the shortest and the longest atrial interval was less than or equal to 25% of the atrial median interval. Antitachycardia pacing treatment was then delivered if the cycle length was regular for six of the preceding eight intervals. If the cycle length was not stable, antitachycardia pacing treatment was withheld and counters restarted. Some tachycardia episodes could therefore be temporarily classified as different rhythms if the arrhythmia accelerated or decelerated into different detection zones or became more or less regular.

The term “paroxysmal” was used to describe any spontaneously terminating episodes of arrhythmia, whereas “persistent” was used to describe all episodes that required patient activated cardioversion. Atrial tachycardia is used to describe all regular episodes of atrial arrhythmia (including atrial flutter).

**Delivered treatments**

Atrial treatments were delivered according to the initial episode rhythm classification by the Jewel AF® device, and again if the arrhythmia was reclassified during each episode. On classification as atrial fibrillation, the device can only deliver 50 Hz atrial pacing, and a second burst was programmed to be delivered. On classification as atrial tachycardia, the device delivered up to six sequences of atrial ramp pacing (ARamp), six sequences of atrial burst pacing (ABurst+), and a second burst of 50 Hz atrial pacing. After each delivered treatment the device looks for restoration of sinus rhythm before proceeding with the next treatment sequence (table 1). Atrial fibrillation could only receive ARamp or ABurst+ treatments if the rhythm was temporarily reclassified as atrial tachycardia by the device.

**Atrial pacing treatment**

50 Hz pacing — A two second burst of rapid atrial pacing with pacing interval of 20 ms.

Atrial ramp pacing (ARamp) — Six pulses of AOO pacing with the first stimulus of each sequence delivered at 94% of the preceding atrial cycle length (table 1). The remainder of the sequence was delivered at progressively shorter intervals with a programmed interval decrement of 10 ms. Each time the tachycardia was redetected the sequence was delivered at 94% of the redetected cycle length and an extra stimulus was added to the sequence.

Atrial burst pacing (ABurst+) — 10 pulses of AOO pacing at 94% of the atrial cycle length. This was followed by an extra stimulus at 91% of the cycle length and a further stimulus 10 ms shorter than 91% of the cycle length. Each time the tachycardia was redetected, the programmed intervals were reapplied with a 10 ms decrement to the new arrhythmia cycle length.

**Definition of successful termination**

Successful termination was defined as the restoration of atrioventricular synchrony for at least one beat within five atrial beats of the last stimulus of pacing treatment, even if early recurrence of the arrhythmia occurred thereafter. The Jewel AF® device, however, defines successful termination when it detects five consecutive beats of sinus rhythm within three minutes of treatment delivery. To assess the number of episodes that spontaneously terminated after pacing treatments were delivered and were therefore classified as successfully terminated by the Jewel AF®, we examined endocardial electrograms of all episodes terminating within one minute of delivery of pacing treatment.

**Follow up**

Patients were reviewed at the end of each three month study phase, the device was interrogated using a conventional programmer, and all data were downloaded to disc. Atrial electrograms were studied and compared with the device classification of each episode. The median atrial cycle length (at the electrode tip) of each arrhythmia was recorded for each episode. After completion of the study period, atrial pacing treatments were reprogrammed at the clinician’s discretion.

**Statistics**

Values are given as mean (SD). Atrial cycle lengths were grouped according to rhythm classification and averages and variance calculated. Comparisons of atrial cycle lengths was by Student’s t test. A probability (p) value of < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

**RESULTS**

**Spontaneous atrial episodes**

Mean follow up periods were 93.4 days with nominal output and 92.7 days with sham output. Sixty two atrial episodes occurred during the nominal period and 67 during the sham phase. Episodes were classified according to interpretation of stored atrial electrograms. There were 57 episodes of atrial fibrillation, with 11 spontaneously terminating episodes. The mean cycle length of persistent atrial fibrillation was 199 (38) ms, and of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, 238 (36) ms (p < 0.005). There were 72 episodes of atrial tachycardia, with
The effect of 50 Hz atrial pacing

Any arrhythmia episode. The device initially classified arrhythmic episodes as atrial fibrillation in 42 cases and atrial tachycardia in 15 cases. Of these latter 15 episodes, 11 were subsequently reclassified by the device as atrial fibrillation. Overall, 49 of the 57 episodes of atrial fibrillation were temporally classified as atrial tachycardia and atrial tachycardia treatments were given.

The effect of antitachycardia pacing treatment

Delivered treatments were documented in table 3. “ARamp” treatments were delivered during 58 atrial episodes when programmed to nominal output with 201 sequences of pacing treatment. During the sham period, 63 episodes received 292 sequences of treatment. “ARamp” antitachycardia pacing failed to terminate a single case of atrial fibrillation; however, it successfully terminated 16 episodes of atrial tachycardia in one patient (mean of two sequences of treatment). “Burst+” pacing was delivered 99 times during 25 episodes with nominal outputs, and 204 times in 36 episodes when programmed to sham treatment. It was ineffective at terminating any episode of atrial fibrillation but was successful in one episode of atrial tachycardia at nominal outputs (one sequence). The mean atrial cycle length of terminated atrial tachycardia (234 (33) ms) was not significantly different from either persistent tachycardia (217 (36) ms, p = 0.31) or paroxysmal tachycardia (228 (32) ms, p = 0.24). Sham pacing failed to terminate any arrhythmia episode.

The effect of 50 Hz atrial pacing

Atrial fibrillation

Thirty one episodes of atrial fibrillation were treated with 50 Hz pacing with outputs programmed to nominal and 26 to sham. A further burst of 50 Hz was delivered in 22 episodes of atrial fibrillation with nominal outputs and 21 with sham pacing. The 50 Hz pacing failed to terminate any episode of atrial fibrillation, at either nominal or sham outputs.

Atrial tachycardia

Thirteen episodes of atrial tachycardia were treated with 50 Hz atrial pacing with nominal outputs and 13 with sham pacing.

A further burst of 50 Hz atrial pacing was delivered in six episodes of atrial tachycardia with nominal outputs and in 20 episodes with sham outputs. The 50 Hz pacing was unsuccessful at terminating any of these episodes.

“Delayed termination”

The stored endocardial electrogram and timed marker channel traces for each spontaneously terminating arrhythmia episode were examined and the time between the last pacing treatment and the end of the arrhythmia was noted. Five episodes terminated within 10 seconds of the last pacing treatment (two during nominal outputs and three during sham). Additionally, three episodes terminated within 30 seconds of the last delivered treatment (all during the sham phase), and four further episodes terminated within one minute of the delivered treatment (one during nominal pacing and three during sham pacing). All these episodes were atrial tachycardia.

Induced atrial fibrillation

Prehospital discharge testing occurred within one week of implantation. The effect of 50 Hz atrial pacing was evaluated in all 15 patients, as described above, and was ineffective at terminating induced atrial fibrillation in any case.

DISCUSSION

Our study showed that both 50 Hz atrial pacing and specific atrial antitachycardia pacing failed to terminate persistent atrial fibrillation, but ramp pacing had some efficacy in treating spontaneous onset paroxysmal atrial flutter or tachycardia. Pace termination of atrial fibrillation has not, to date, been shown to be possible in the laboratory setting. Allessie and coworkers found that during induced atrial fibrillation in the dog it was possible to capture small regions of atrial tissue by pacing with a cycle length slightly shorter than the mean fibrillation interval led to the penetration of paced wavefronts into the excitable gap. Daoud et al similarly demonstrated regional capture during pacing induced atrial fibrillation, with acceleration and increased disorganisation in distant atrial electrograms, but failed to terminate the rhythm. Atrial flutter, on the other hand, is well known to be amenable to pace termination. In 1977, Waldo et al showed entrainment and pace termination of atrial flutter. Termination of atrial fibrillation is more difficult owing to the inhomogeneity of the atrial tissue, the numbers and variable size of separate wandering wavelets, and the smaller excitable gap. In addition, when Allessie et al paced atrial fibrillation faster than its cycle length, instead of termination of the arrhythmia (as might be seen with atrial flutter), the rhythm accelerated, resulting in loss of local capture. A significant proportion of cases of atrial fibrillation may start with atrial flutter or atrial tachycardia and degenerate into atrial fibrillation. This allows a window of opportunity to pace-terminate such arrhythmias. Previous studies of the

---

**Table 2** Arrhythmia episode frequency, distribution, and mean atrial cycle length (ACL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of</th>
<th>No of</th>
<th>Mean ACL (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>episodes</td>
<td>patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent AF</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal AF</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent AT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paroxysmal AT</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminated AT</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).

AF, atrial fibrillation; AT, atrial tachycardia.

---

**Table 3** Number of atrial treatments delivered with pacemaker outputs programmed to nominal or sham

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No of</th>
<th>AF treatment 1: AF 50 Hz</th>
<th>AT treatment 1: ARamp</th>
<th>No of sequences</th>
<th>AT treatment 2: ABurst+</th>
<th>No of sequences</th>
<th>AT treatment 3: 50 Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal AF</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham (subthreshold)</td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF, atrial fibrillation; AT, atrial tachycardia.
atrial fibrillation episodes (23%) were terminated by anti-

management device, the Medtronic AT500. Only 75 of 322

only have been evaluated in a pacemaker arrhythmia
treatments in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation
determine whether treatment has been successful. The Jewel
classification of the arrhythmia type, and on the device to
number of patients with either paroxysmal atrial fibrillation or
received “terminated” by the device in this study, although none of
those episodes was atrial fibrillation and they were mostly
confined to one patient. Each episode’s electrogram and
marker traces were critically reviewed before success was
defined in our study. Many studies investigating device
treatment for termination of atrial fibrillation need to be
interpreted with caution when using device defined criteria
alone.

High frequency (50 Hz) burst atrial pacing is often used to
initiate atrial fibrillation during electrophysiological studies,
and for testing atrial defibrillators. The failure of 50 Hz burst
pacing to terminate atrial fibrillation in this study is in keep-
ing with several studies of induced atrial fibrillation in man.
Paladino and coworkers induced atrial fibrillation in 28
patients and attempted high frequency pacing from single and
multiple sites, with no terminations. Similar work with vary-
ing burst durations of 50 Hz atrial pacing revealed termination
in six of 10 patients with atypical atrial flutter but no success
in any patients with atrial fibrillation.

Our study investigated the effects of atrial treatments in a
specific group of patients with persistent atrial fibrillation
only. There was no previous history of spontaneously
terminating episodes in spite of multiple Holter tests, and no
history of ventricular arrhythmias. However, four patients had
self terminating episodes of atrial fibrillation or atrial
tachycardia during the study period. In one of these patients
during nominal outputs, 17 of 25 episodes of atrial tachy-
cardia were pace terminated by ramp atrial pacing. It seems
that the early termination of these episodes did not result in a
reduced requirement for defibrillation, because in the same
patient all atrial tachycardia episodes terminated spontane-
ously during the sham phase of the study, without requiring
defibrillation.

Study limitations
The main aim of our study was to investigate the effects of
pacing treatments on spontaneous persistent atrial fibrilla-
tion. We allowed a two minute period for the rhythm to stabi-

lise before starting pacing treatment, to ensure that there was
no early spontaneous termination. It may be that during that
period some arrhythmia episodes are more amenable to pace
termination. Similarly, some episodes of persistent atrial

This highlights the problem of defining successful termina-
tion. We have used an immediate restoration of sinus rhythm
to define success, although it is clear from our data that if we
had used longer time periods after pacing treatments then we
would have had different results. Termination within 30
seconds to one minute after treatment may be a chance find-
ing, but if termination occurs within a few seconds of

...
fibrillation may have begun with a more regular rhythm, such as atrial flutter, which could have been pace terminated within this two minute period.

We programmed our pacing treatments according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and these settings may not necessarily be optimal for the entire group of patients. Close examination of stored electrogram strips could allow pacing treatments to be programmed more accurately for each patient.

Finally, eliminating the factory default overlap zone between atrial fibrillation and atrial tachycardia by programming a large atrial tachycardia zone would have ensured that all episodes of atrial fibrillation received antitachycardia pacing treatment.

Conclusions
We have demonstrated an inability to pace terminate persistent atrial fibrillation using 50 Hz atrial pacing and specific antitachycardia pacing algorithms. We have, however, shown that even in a highly selected group of patients with no preimplant history of atrial tachycardia or paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, a small number of such episodes occurred and could be pace terminated. These features should therefore be made available in future devices to decrease the arrhythmia burden.
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Magnetic resonance angiography showing bilateral subclavian artery aneurysm and stenosis in Marfan’s syndrome

A 26 year old woman with Marfan’s syndrome presented with right sided neck discomfort and buzzing, but without neurological symptoms. A pulsatile swelling was palpable above the right clavicle.

The patient had previously had a dissecting aneurysm of the ascending aorta at the age of 15 years, for which her aortic valve and ascending aorta had been replaced with a Bjork-Shiley prosthetic valve and Dacron graft. At the age of 19 she had graft replacement of her descending thoracic aorta, distal to the left subclavian artery, for a further dissecting aneurysm.

Gadolinium enhanced magnetic resonance angiography showed localised fusiform aneurysms, maximum diameter 23 mm, close to the origins of both right and left subclavian arteries (right). There was also evidence of stenosis of both right and left subclavian arteries distal to each aneurysm, the left sided stenosis being more severe than that on the right. Both vertebral arteries originated from the aneurysmal subclavian artery segments. No dissection of the aortic arch or its branches was seen.

This unusual pattern of arteriopathy, apparently associated with Marfan’s disease, with almost symmetric dilatation and stenosis of both subclavian arteries, has not, as far as we know, been reported previously.
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