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**Background:** QT abnormalities have been reported in left ventricular hypertrophy and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

**Objective:** To determine the relation between left ventricular hypertrophy and increased QT interval in familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

**Methods:** The QT interval was measured in 206 genotyped adult subjects with familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy from 15 unrelated families carrying mutations in the β myosin heavy chain (β-MHC) gene (five families, n = 68) or the cardiac myosin binding protein C (MyBPC) gene (10 families, n = 138). Subjects were classified as genetically unaffected (controls, n = 112), affected with left ventricular hypertrophy (penetrants, n = 58), or affected without left ventricular hypertrophy (non-penetrants, n = 36).

**Results:** There was a significant increase in QTmax and QTmin from controls to non-penetrants and penetrants for both the MyBPC group (p < 0.001 and p < 0.001, respectively) and the β-MHC group (p < 0.001 and p < 0.001, respectively). In the MyBPC group, the increase in the QT interval could be explained by increased left ventricular hypertrophy. In the β-MHC group, non-penetrants had a significantly longer QTmax than controls despite the absence of left ventricular hypertrophy, and a similar QT interval to penetrants despite a lesser degree of left ventricular hypertrophy.

**Conclusions:** In familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, genetically affected subjects without left ventricular hypertrophy may have a prolonged QT duration, which depends not only on the degree of left ventricular hypertrophy, when present, but also on the causative mutation.

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is associated with an increased risk of ventricular tachyarrhythmias and sudden death. A prolonged QT interval and increased QT dispersion (QTd) have been observed in affected individuals and may contribute to the occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias. However, the relations between left ventricular hypertrophy, increased QT interval, and QTd are unclear. In hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, it is not known whether these abnormalities only reflect the increased myocardial thickness, or whether they are a result of the genetic mutation. If the latter were true, it might explain the occurrence of sudden death among genetically affected subjects without left ventricular hypertrophy.

Molecular genetic studies in individuals with familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy can discriminate between those not genetically affected, those who are affected and have ECG or echocardiographic abnormalities (penetrants), and those who are affected but do not have ECG or echocardiographic abnormalities (non-penetrants). The QT interval and QT dispersion have not been characterised in genotyped cases of familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Furthermore, we know nothing about the behaviour of QT in non-penetrants. Our aim in this study was therefore to assess the QT interval and QT dispersion in a large genotyped cohort of adult cases of familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

**Methods**

**Population**

Persons with known causative mutations from among genotyped families with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy were included in the study. Informed consent was obtained in accordance with a study protocol approved by the ethics committee of the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de la Pitié-Salpêtrière.

The subjects had an ECG and a physical and Doppler echocardiographic examination, and provided blood samples for laboratory tests. Those with a clinical cause for left ventricular hypertrophy, such as valvar heart disease or high blood pressure, and anyone being treated with amiodarone or sotalol were excluded from the analyses. Because of age related changes in myocardial thickness in children, subjects under the age of 18 were excluded.

**QT measurements**

A standard 12 lead ECG was recorded in all subject at 25 mm/s paper speed with a 10 mm/mV gain. Measurements of ECG intervals were performed manually, using a standardised approach. The QT interval was measured from the onset of the QRS complex to the end of the T wave at the level of the isoelectric baseline. In the presence of a U wave, the end of the T wave was assessed using the most similar pattern of the 16 possible combinations of T and U waves schematised by Lepeschkin and Surawicz. When the end of the T wave could not be determined reliably or when the T wave was of very low amplitude (<1 mm), the lead was excluded from the analysis. An average of three consecutive cycles for each lead was obtained. The QT interval was corrected for heart rate using Hodges’s linear correction:

\[
\text{QTc} = \text{QT} + 1.75(\text{heart rate} - 60)
\]

QTd was defined as the difference between maximum (QTmax) and minimum (QTmin) values of the QT intervals.

**Abbreviations:** β-MHC, β-myosin heavy chain; MyBPC, cardiac myosin binding protein C; QTc, corrected QT; QTd, QT dispersion
in any of the 12 leads in which it was measured. Because of the linearity, rate correction is unnecessary (that is, with Hodge's formula, QTd calculated from corrected QT intervals is identi
cal to QTd calculated from uncorrected intervals). All measurements were performed by the same investigator using this
standardised approach, blinded to the clinical, genetic, and echocardiographic diagnosis. Subjects with atrial fibrilla-
tion or complete bundle branch block, or in whom QT interval measurement was only possible in fewer than eight leads, were
excluded from analysis.

**Reproducibility assessment**

Thirty ECGs were randomly reanalysed to assess the intra-
observer reproducibility of QT interval measurements. The
largest mean intraobserver QT error was noticed in lead III
(2.2%) and the lowest in lead V3 (1%). The ranges and means
(SD) of the intraobserver error, with paired t tests, were
respectively:
for QTmax: 0–30 ms; 2 (8) ms (NS)
for QTmin: 0–30 ms; –4 (14) ms (NS)
for QTd: 0–50 ms, 10 (18) ms (p = 0.01)

**Genetic analysis**

Genotypic assessments were obtained from family mem-
bears using amplification, polymerase chain reaction, microsatel-
lette typing, and sequencing techniques as previously
described.21,22 Fifteen families with 12 different mutations
were studied: five families were associated with four
mutations in the β-myosin heavy chain (β-MHC) gene
(Arg408Leu, Arg524Trp, Arg558Cys, Glu586Lys), and 10 families were associated with eight mutations in the cardiac myosin binding
protein C gene (DelExon25, SASInt7, SASInt20, Glu302Gln,
SASInt23, SASInt11, BPInt23, DelExon33).

**Echocardiographic analysis**

Cross sectional echocardiographic examinations were per-
formed in three separate institutions with standard echocar-
diographic systems. Ultrasonic images were obtained in cross
sectional planes using standard transducer positions, and
stored on VHS videotape for subsequent analysis. All echocar-
diographic measurements were performed in a core laboratory
by three senior echocardiographers who read the recording
blindly and without knowledge of the genetic diagnosis. M
mode measurements of end diastolic left ventricular wall
thickness were made at the onset of the QRS complex, accord-
ing to the recommendations of the American Society of Echo-
cardiography. In cases of disagreement, analyses were
reviewed by the three readers and definitive agreement
achieved.

**Penetrance of the disease**

The penetrance of the disease was determined as previously
described.21 In brief, the criteria used (in the absence of any
case of left ventricular hypertrophy) were as follows:
1. a maximum left ventricular end diastolic wall thickness
   > 13 mm
2. the presence of major abnormalities on the ECG—that is,
   left ventricular hypertrophy assessed by a Romhilt–Estes
   score of ≥ 4.20 Q waves of > 0.04 s or more than one third
   of the width of the R wave, or significant ST–T segment
   changes
3. a combination of (1) and (2).

**Statistical analysis**

Values are expressed as mean (SD). As individuals within a
family were not independent, conventional statistical pro-
cedures could not be used. Statistical analyses were carried out
using the estimating equations technique proposed by Liang
and Zeger.23 SAS procedures (Statistical Analysis System, Cary,
North Carolina, USA) were used for analysis. Within both the
β-MHC group and the MyBPC group, characteristics of
controls, non-penetrants, and penetrants were compared
using variance analysis and global tests for linear trend
when indicated (GENMOD procedures, with a probability value of
p ≤ 0.01 considered as significant). Correlations were
assessed using Spearman's comparison of ranks.

**RESULTS**

**Study group**

Among the 273 genotyped subjects, 67 were excluded from the
analysis. Causes of exclusion were the following: age < 18
years (n = 40); another cause of left ventricular hypertrophy
(n = 3); atrial fibrillation (n = 3); complete bundle branch
block (n = 10); amiodarone or sotalol treatment (n = 5); and
QT intervals measurements in fewer than eight of the 12 leads
(n = 6).

The remaining 206 adults, coming from 15 unrelated fami-
lies, completed clinical, ECG, biological, genetic, and echocar-
diographic examinations. There were 112 men and 94 women,
with a mean (SD) age of 39.4 (15.8) years (range 18–91 years).
Ninety four subjects were genetically affected, including 58
penetrants and 36 non-penetrants. Overall, 138 subjects came
from 10 families carrying mutations in the MyBPC gene
encoding for the sarcomeric protein C (79 controls, 24
non-penetrants, and 35 penetrants), and 68 subjects came
from five families carrying mutations in the β-MHC gene
encoding for the β-myosin heavy chain (33 controls, 12
non-penetrants, and 23 penetrants).

No significant difference was observed between the 10
families with mutations in the MyBPC gene, or between theive families with mutations in the β-MHC gene. The families
were therefore pooled into two groups (MyBPC group and
β-MHC group). The characteristics of controls, non-
penetrants, and penetrants are compared in table 1. In neither
group were the sex ratio, body mass index, heart rate, or
systolic and diastolic blood pressure significantly different
between controls, non-penetrants, or penetrants. In the
MyBPC group only, penetrant subjects were significantly older
than non-penetrant subjects and controls.

In the MyBPC and β-MHC groups, there was a significant
trend towards a linear increase in maximum wall thickness
(p < 0.001 and p < 0.001, respectively), left atrial diameter
(p < 0.001 and p < 0.01), and myocardial mass index
(p < 0.001 and p < 0.001) from controls, through non-
penetrant subjects, to penetrant subjects.

The maximum wall thickness was greater in penetrants
with a mutation in the β-MHC gene than in those with a
mutation in the MyBPC gene (20.9 (4.8) mm v 17.6 (4.6) mm,
NS), while it was comparable in the non-penetrants
(9.8 (1.8) mm v 10.4 (1.7) mm, NS) and the controls (10.2
(2.2) mm v 9.2 (1.6) mm, NS).

Among penetrant subjects, the following variables were not
statistically different between the MyBPC and the β-MHC
groups: left ventricular outflow tract gradient > 30 mm Hg
(11.6% v 4.3%, NS); mitral valve systolic anterior motion
(25.7% v 39.1%, NS); and abnormal T waves (57.1% v 43.5%,
NS).

**QT analysis**

There was a linear trend in all 12 leads towards an increase in
QTc interval (p < 0.01), QTcmax (p < 0.0001), and QTcmin
(p < 0.0001), but only a non-significant trend in QTd
(p < 0.02) from controls, through non-penetrant subjects, to
penetrant subjects in the MyBPC group. In this group, QTcmax
and QTcmin values in the penetrants remained significantly
different from the values in the non-penetrants (p < 0.0003
and p < 0.01, respectively), while there was no difference
between non-penetrants and controls (p > 0.03 and NS,
respectively) (fig 1).
Similarly in the β-MHC group, there was a trend for a linear increase in QTc interval in all leads (p < 0.01) except L1 (p < 0.03) and L2 (p < 0.02), in QTcmax (p < 0.0001), and in QTcmin (p < 0.0001), but not QTd, from controls, through non-penetrant subjects, to penetrant subjects. In all leads, the QTc intervals of non-penetrants were similar to the values in penetrants. Finally, the differences in QTcmax and QTcmin between penetrant and non-penetrant subjects were not significant, while the difference between non-penetrants and controls remained significant (p < 0.01 and p < 0.01, respectively).

In penetrant subjects, QTcmax was longer in patients with a mutation on the MyBPC gene than in those with a mutation on the β-MHC gene (450 (32) ms vs 435 (22) ms, p < 0.01), but there was no significant difference in the prevalence of complete bundle branch block and electrical left ventricular hypertrophy.

**Table 1** Clinical and echocardiographic data on controls, non-penetrants, and penetrants from families carrying mutations in the cardiac myosin binding protein C or β myosin heavy chain genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MyBPC Controls (n=79)</th>
<th>Non-penetrants (n=24)</th>
<th>Penetrants (n=35)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
<th>β-MHC Controls (n=33)</th>
<th>Non-penetrants (n=12)</th>
<th>Penetrants (n=23)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>37/42</td>
<td>10/14</td>
<td>23/12</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>19/14</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>14/9</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>37 (14)</td>
<td>38 (19)</td>
<td>45 (15)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>39 (14)</td>
<td>34 (12)</td>
<td>42 (15)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>68 (10)</td>
<td>65 (12)</td>
<td>66 (17)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>73 (17)</td>
<td>69 (17)</td>
<td>65 (12)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.5 (3.6)</td>
<td>23.8 (3.1)</td>
<td>24.7 (2.8)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>22.8 (3.1)</td>
<td>21.6 (2.8)</td>
<td>23.7 (3.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>124 (11)</td>
<td>126 (12)</td>
<td>124 (11)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>128 (9)</td>
<td>119 (8)</td>
<td>132 (21)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWT (mm)</td>
<td>33 (6)</td>
<td>35 (4)</td>
<td>41 (9)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>38 (5)</td>
<td>35 (4)</td>
<td>40 (9)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA diameter (mm)</td>
<td>37.8 (7.0)</td>
<td>40.6 (8.0)</td>
<td>57.9 (12.4)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>36.6 (8.5)</td>
<td>37.4 (10.0)</td>
<td>63.7 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are n or mean (SD).
*p Values for global variance analysis.

β-MHC, β-myosin heavy chain gene; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; LA, left atrial; LVMi, left ventricular mass index; MyBPC, cardiac myosin binding protein C gene; non-penetrant, genetically affected but no left ventricular hypertrophy; penetrant, genetically affected with left ventricular hypertrophy.

**Figure 1** QTcmax, QTcmin, QTd, and maximum wall thickness in controls, non-penetrant subjects, and penetrant subjects in subjects originating from families with mutations in the MyBPC or β-MHC genes; p value for 2×2 tests. β-MHC, β-myosin heavy chain; C, control; MWT, maximum wall thickness; MyBPC, cardiac myosin binding protein C; NP, non-penetrant: genetically affected but no left ventricular hypertrophy; P, penetrant: genetically affected with left ventricular hypertrophy; QTc, corrected QT interval; QTd, QT dispersion.
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hypertrophy between the two groups. In non-penetrants and controls, QTcmax was not significantly different between the two groups.

The positive correlation (fig 2) of maximum wall thickness with QTcmax and QTcmin in genetically affected subjects (non-penetrants and penetrants) was significant in the MyBPC group ($r = 0.41$, $p = 0.002$; and $r = 0.29$, $p = 0.01$, respectively) but not in the $\beta$-MHC group ($r = 0.22$, NS; and $r = 0.08$, NS).

DISCUSSION

Up to now, QT abnormalities in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy have only been described in non-genotyped populations. Published reports have concerned only case-control studies involving various different control populations (healthy volunteers or patients, stratified or not for age and sex), and various types of case (usually a combination of cases of sporadic and familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy). In the MyBPC group there was a similar pattern of increase in maximum wall thickness, QTcmax, and QTcmin between controls, non-penetrants, and penetrants, and the correlation between QTcmax (or QTcmin) and maximum wall thickness was significant. These findings suggest that the increased QT interval observed in individuals with mutations in the MyBPC gene is related to myocardial hypertrophy. On the other hand, in subjects with a mutation in the $\beta$-MHC gene, non-penetrants had significant prolongation of QTcmax and QTcmin, despite the absence of left ventricular hypertrophy in this group. The non-penetrants had similar QTcmax and QTcmin values to the penetrants, despite pronounced differences in maximum wall thickness. Thus in mutations of the $\beta$-MHC gene, a prolonged QT interval does not appear to be related solely to myocardial hypertrophy.

QT abnormalities in non-penetrant subjects

QTcmax and QTcmin were significantly longer in non-penetrants carrying a mutation in the $\beta$-MHC gene than in controls. These subjects did not have the usual criteria of penetrance of the disease—that is, a maximum left ventricular end diastolic wall thickness of more than 13 mm and/or the presence of major abnormalities on the ECG (left ventricular hypertrophy, Q waves, and significant ST–T segment changes). On the other hand, in view of the prolonged QT interval, it is questionable whether such individuals should be classed as truly non-penetrant. The prolonged QT interval may increase the risk of ventricular arrhythmias and could explain the occurrence of sudden death in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in the absence of left ventricular hypertrophy, as reported previously.

Possible mechanisms

Mechanisms other than myocardial hypertrophy could be involved in QT prolongation. Hyperreactivity of the adrenergic system and an imbalance in sympathetic/parasympathetic tone have been described in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Slight differences in sympathetic tone, which affect the RR interval to a minor degree, have been shown to cause much greater differences in QT intervals in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy compared with controls. Thus the response of the ventricular myocardium to changes in sympathetic tone may be increased in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.

Regional myocardial fibrosis, scarring, or ischaemia have also been described in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. In a recent study, Yoshida found perfusion abnormalities in 69% of such patients. A direct or indirect effect of the gene mutation on QT abnormalities cannot be excluded. Familial long QT syndrome is a disorder characterised by abnormal ventricular repolarisation, ventricular arrhythmias triggered by sympathetic nervous activation, and sudden death. In long QT syndrome it has been possible to trace specific mutations on ion channel genes, and numerous novel mutations have been described. Thus in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, mutations in the genes encoding for sarcomere proteins may interfere with genes encoding for channel subunits, and this could increase the QT interval independently of any myocardial hypertrophy. This hypothesis would conveniently explain the behaviour of the QT interval in individuals with the $\beta$-MHC gene mutation.

Limitations

Our findings were obtained in a selected population, and thus the conclusions are limited to families with mutations in the $\beta$-MHC and MyBPC genes. Moreover, because of the small differences between controls, non-penetrant subjects, and

Figure 2 Correlations between QTcmax and maximum wall thickness in subjects with mutations in the MyBPC or $\beta$-MHC genes; $p$ value for Spearman rank correlations. $\beta$-MHC, $\beta$-myosin heavy chain; MWT, maximum wall thickness; MyBPC, cardiac myosin binding protein C; QTc, corrected QT interval.
penetrant subjects, the QT abnormalities cannot be used at the individual level for diagnosing hypertrophic cardiomyopathy within a family.

No significant differences were observed between separate families with mutations within a given gene, but the power to detect such differences was low. Combining families into groups according to gene type (β-MHC or MyBPc) may have pooled individuals with very different forms of expression of the disease. However, in the absence of preliminary data, this approach appeared to be the most logical.

When subjects treated with β blocking agents (n = 9) were excluded from the analysis, the results did not change significantly. Furthermore, as only penetrant subjects were treated with β blockers, this variable did not affect the differences in QT interval observed between the controls and the non-penetrant subjects.

Different methods have been described to measure the QT interval, and there is at present no gold standard. Manual measurements were used in the present study, with the usual limitations of that method—it is time consuming, there is high interobserver variability, and there is poor reproducibility. By using a single trained cardiologist with a standardised measurement, we eliminated interobserver variability and we increased the reproducibility, which was higher than previously reported. On the other hand, automatic measurements are faster and provide better reproducibility, though their reliability is still questioned, particularly in abnormal hearts. Finally, in a study to validate an automated method in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, Savelyeva and colleagues showed that both manual and automatic methods were suitable, but that they characterised different facets of the shape of the T wave. Manual measurements may reduce the differences in QT interval observed between controls, non-penetrants, and penetrants. The absence of significant differences in QTd between these three groups in our study could reflect both the unreliability of the measurements and a large overlap with the healthy population.

Conclusions

In familial hypertrophic cardiomyopathy associated with mutation of the β-MHC gene, the prolonged QT interval appears to be partially independent of myocardial hypertrophy. Further studies on other gene mutations will be useful in determining whether the QT abnormalities in this condition are related to prognosis.
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