TIMING OF SURGERY IN MITRAL REGURGITATION
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The timing of surgical intervention for mitral regurgitation depends primarily on two factors: clinical symptoms; and the left ventricular response to volume overload. However, the aetiology of mitral regurgitation and the likelihood of surgical valve repair also are important factors in the optimal timing of surgical intervention.

AETIOLOGY AND DIAGNOSIS

The mitral valve apparatus is a complex anatomic and functional unit composed of the mitral annulus, valve leaflets, chordae, papillary muscles, and the underlying left ventricular wall. Normal function depends on both normal anatomy of each of these components and on the overall three-dimensional relationships of these structures to each other, including the effects of overall left ventricular size, shape, and systolic function. Diverse mechanisms of mitral regurgitation are associated with different clinical outcomes. In addition, medical or surgical treatment is directed at the specific mechanism of regurgitation in each individual patient. Mitral regurgitation caused by an anatomic abnormality of the leaflets and chordae is termed primary regurgitation, while mitral regurgitation caused by a process primarily affecting the left ventricle is termed secondary mitral regurgitation. Examples of primary mitral regurgitation include myxomatous mitral valve disease which results in mitral regurgitation caused by leaflet prolapse and/or chordal rupture, rheumatic disease which typically causes increased leaflet stiffness with chordal shortening and fusion, and endocarditis with leaflet deformation and destruction. Examples of secondary mitral regurgitation include ischaemic disease that affects the function of the papillary muscles and underlying left ventricular wall, and dilated cardiomyopathy that alters the normal angle between the papillary muscles and mitral annulus (table 1).

Echocardiography allows reliable identification of the presence, severity and mechanism of mitral regurgitation. When transthoracic imaging is suboptimal, transoesophageal images provide excellent visualisation of mitral valve anatomy, and allow an accurate assessment of the aetiology of valve disease and the likelihood of surgical repair (fig 1). The severity of mitral regurgitation can be quantitated by several approaches, including calculation of regurgitant volumes and fraction from calculation of volume flow rate at two intracardiac sites, from the proximal isovelocity surface area, or by measurement of the vena contracta on colour flow imaging. However, in practical terms, many clinicians rely on the simpler, albeit less quantitative, evaluation of regurgitation severity by colour flow imaging in multiple views (figs 2 and 3).

Assessment of the haemodynamic consequences of regurgitation is even more important than quantitation of regurgitant severity. Specific parameters that are important for clinical decision making are the degree of left ventricular dilation, left ventricular systolic function, left atrial enlargement, and pulmonary hypertension.2–4

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND CLINICAL OUTCOMES

Primary mitral regurgitation often is initially diagnosed based on finding a systolic murmur in an asymptomatic patient. In some patients, the diagnosis is made only after the onset of atrial fibrillation or congestive heart failure symptoms. The clinical outcome of patients with symptomatic severe mitral regurgitation caused by primary mitral valve disease is very poor so that there is uniform agreement that valve surgery is indicated for symptoms due to primary mitral valve regurgitation. In patients with primary mitral regurgitation, there may be an interval of several years between the diagnosis of significant mitral regurgitation and onset of symptoms, with a rate of symptom onset of 2–4% per year in one series of 300 patients with mitral prolapse followed prospectively. However, the rate of symptom onset depends on the aetiology of mitral valve disease and the severity of regurgitation. For example, the rate of symptoms onset and adverse outcomes is much higher in patients with severe mitral regurgitation caused by a partial flail leaflet. In addition to development of symptoms, the two major concerns in patients with asymptomatic primary mitral valve disease are the risk of sudden death and the risk of irreversible left ventricular dysfunction. The risk...
Problematic as simple clinical measures of left ventricular performance, such as ejection fraction, may be in the normal range even when function is impaired, due to the altered loading conditions imposed by the regurgitant lesion itself. Of even more concern, this irreversible impairment in left ventricular function can occur in the absence of clinical symptoms. Thus, the clinical management of chronic asymptomatic mitral regurgitation focuses on periodic imaging studies for early detection of ventricular dysfunction.

Other adverse consequences of chronic mitral regurgitation that are related to the severity and duration of regurgitation include left atrial enlargement, atrial fibrillation, and pulmonary hypertension.

Secondary mitral regurgitation typically is diagnosed during evaluation of the primary disease process, often on echocardiography requested for evaluation of left ventricular function. Especially in patients with ischaemic mitral regurgitation, significant mitral regurgitation may not be audible on physical examination. In patients with secondary valve regurgitation, clinical outcome depends on the underlying disease process and it can be difficult to separate symptoms caused by mitral regurgitation from those caused by coronary or myocardial disease. In addition, treatment directed at the underlying disease process may favourably impact mitral regurgitation severity. For example, afterload reduction and optimisation of loading conditions in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy is associated with a significant decrease in mitral regurgitant severity, most likely because of improved alignment between the papillary muscles and mitral annulus. In patients with ischaemic mitral regurgitation, revascularisation to relieve ischaemia may be associated with a reduction in mitral regurgitant severity.

**MEDICAL TREATMENT**

In patients with chronic mitral regurgitation, medical treatment is directed at preventing the secondary complications of the disease process. Endocarditis prophylaxis is indicated based on accepted guidelines. In patients with rheumatic valve disease, guidelines for prevention of recurrent rheumatic fever also should be implemented. Since many patients with mitral regurgitation will eventually need surgical intervention, and since surgery is higher risk when coronary artery disease is present, it is especially important to evaluate and treat coronary artery disease risk factors. If atrial fibrillation occurs, treatment with anticoagulation and cardioversion or rate control is indicated.

Periodic clinical and echocardiographic evaluation is key to the optimal management of chronic mitral regurgitation.

**Table 1: Mechanisms of mitral regurgitation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease process</th>
<th>Probable mechanism of regurgitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myxomatous mitral valve disease</td>
<td>Excess leaflet motion (chordal rupture, leaflet prolapse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatic mitral valve disease</td>
<td>Restricted leaflet motion (chordal shortening and fusion, increased leaflet stiffness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocarditis</td>
<td>Destruction of valve tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>Floe papillary muscle and leaflet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary muscle rupture</td>
<td>Abnormal tethering of chordae and leaflet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infarction of papillary muscle or inferior-posterior LV wall</td>
<td>Intermittent MR caused by abnormal chordal tethering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic of inferior-posterior LV wall</td>
<td>Altered angle between papillary muscle and mitral annulus, annular dilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End stage disease with LV dilation and systolic dysfunction</td>
<td>Altered angle between papillary muscle and mitral annulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilated cardiomyopathy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LV, left ventricular, MR, mitral regurgitation.

**Figure 1** Normal function of the mitral valve apparatus depends on normal anatomy and function of the mitral annulus, the anterior and posterior leaflets, chordae, papillary muscles and the size, shape and systolic performance of the left ventricle. Reproduced with permission from: Otto CM. *Valvular heart disease*. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 1999.

Of sudden death is estimated to be 10 to 100 times normal, with an absolute risk of sudden death of 1–2.5% over six years. Risk factors for sudden death in patients with mitral regurgitation are left ventricular systolic dysfunction, leaflet redundancy, and severe mitral regurgitation. Unfortunately, it is unclear whether mitral valve surgery alters the risk of sudden death.

Chronic mitral regurgitation imposes a volume load on the left ventricle. In response to this volume load, initially the left ventricle empties more completely. Over time, left ventricular dilation maintains a normal forward cardiac output despite the regurgitant flow into the left atrium in systole. Early in the disease course, left ventricular systolic function remains normal, as measured by the rate of rise in ventricular pressure (dP/dt) or by end systolic elastance (*E*_**es**). However, in the long term, some patients develop an irreversible decrease in left ventricular contractility. Diagnosis of impaired contractility is problematic as simple clinical measures of left ventricular per-
Prompt intervention at symptom onset and early intervention to prevent left ventricular systolic dysfunction or pulmonary hypertension provide optimal clinical outcomes. The frequency of echocardiography is determined by the cause and severity of mitral regurgitation. Patients with mild regurgitation need infrequent examinations, about every five years. Patients with moderate mitral regurgitation and normal left ventricular size are examined every 1–2 years. However, if regurgitation is severe or if “moderate” regurgitation is accompanied by left ventricular dilation, annual echocardiography is appropriate. The frequency of examination should be increased if there is an interim change in symptoms or physical examination, new onset atrial fibrillation, or if sequential studies suggest progressive left ventricular dilation, contractile dysfunction or increasing pulmonary pressures. Conversely, in a patient with mild or moderate regurgitation, the interval between echocardiograms may be lengthened if there are stable findings over two to three examination intervals.

There is no specific approach to the disease process itself in patients with primary mitral regurgitation. There are no known therapies that favourably alter the leaflet tissue in myxomatous mitral valve disease; the leaflet abnormalities in this genetic disorder appear intrinsic with no known associated clinical factors or medical treatment. In addition, medical treatment directed at prevention of left ventricular dilation is controversial. Although afterload reduction appears to favourably alter ventricular geometry and reduce regurgitant severity in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy, the haemodynamic effects are less clear in patients with primary mitral valve disease. Furthermore, if the left ventricular response to volume overload is a beneficial compensatory response, rather than a pathological response, prevention of ventricular adaptation may not improve clinical outcome. An additional concern is that medical treatment might “mask” the recognition of ventricular dysfunction, thus resulting in an unnecessary delay in surgical intervention.

**Surgical Options**

The surgical options for treatment of mitral regurgitation include mitral valve replacement, with or without chordal preservation, and mitral valve repair (fig 4). Mitral valve repair offers several advantages including avoidance of long term anticoagulation and, most importantly, preservation of the continuity between the mitral annulus and papillary muscles. This annular–papillary muscle continuity helps maintain normal left ventricular geometry and systolic function. When annular–papillary continuity is preserved, ejection fraction typically remains stable or improves after mitral surgery in contrast to a decline by an average of 10 ejection fraction units when this continuity is disrupted. Preservation of left ventricular dysfunction translates into decreased operative mortality and improved long term outcomes for repair versus replacement of the mitral valve. The average operative mortality for mitral valve repair is 1–2% compared to 5–10% with valve replacement. In addition, the mitral valve repair procedure is very durable with a low rate of reoperation for
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Although the surgeon makes the final decision after direct
inspection of the valve as to whether the valve can be repaired
or must be replaced, echocardiography is highly reliable in
determining whether valve repair is likely to be successful. On
echocardiographic imaging, the key features that help predict
the likelihood of valve repair are the thickness and redundancy
of the anterior and posterior mitral valve leaflets, identification
of prolapsing or flail leaflet segments with associated ruptured
cordae, and the direction of the mitral regurgitant jet. It is
important to evaluate the exact site of valve dysfunction; ante-
rior leaflet repairs are more difficult than posterior leaflet
repairs; the posterior leaflet has three scallops (medial, middle,
and lateral); and an occasional patient has dysfunction
localised to the medial or lateral commissural region. Many of
these features can be appreciated on a good quality transtho-
racic examination, but definitive diagnosis usually requires
transoesophageal imaging for precise delineation of valve
anatomy. Blood pressure and heart rate during the examina-
tion should be similar to the patient’s normal state to ensure
regurgitant severity is not underestimated. It is preferable that
this examination be performed before scheduling surgery so
that the cardiologist and surgeon can decide on the potential
approaches and discuss these options with the patient.

## Table 2  Predictors of outcome after surgery for mitral regurgitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary predictors</th>
<th>Secondary factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical symptoms caused by mitral regurgitation</td>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end systolic volume or dimension</td>
<td>Other valve involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ejection fraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other measures of LV function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LV maximum dP/dt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mitral valve replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mitral valve repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LV end diastolic pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pulmonary artery pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right ventricular ejection fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LV, left ventricular.

**PREDICTION OF OUTCOMES AFTER MITRAL VALVE SURGERY**

In patients with symptomatic severe chronic mitral regurgita-
tion and patients with acute severe mitral regurgitation,
prompt surgical intervention is indicated for relief of
symptoms and preservation of left ventricular systolic
function. The difficult clinical decision is whether surgery is
indicated in an asymptomatic patient with chronic severe
mitral regurgitation. Since the patient feels well, there must be
convincing evidence that surgery will prolong life or improve
the long term quality of life. In addition, potential benefit
must be greater than the risk and complications of surgery.

The current guidelines for optimal timing of surgical inter-
vention in chronic mitral regurgitation have been derived
from studies evaluating the effect of preoperative factors on
long term outcome after surgical intervention. All of these
series include only patients with “severe” mitral regurgitation;
albeit the definition of severity varies from study to study and
typically is based on clinical, not quantitative Doppler, criteria.
There are no studies yet available showing the predictive value
of quantitative measures of regurgitant severity in the timing
of surgical intervention.

Instead, it is the physiologic consequences of chronic mitral
regurgitation that provide the best predictors of clinical
outcome—left ventricular size and systolic function, left atrial
size, pulmonary artery pressures, and atrial fibrillation—with
the left ventricular response to chronic volume overload hav-
ing the strongest predictive value (table 2). From a theoretical
point of view, the optimal measure of left ventricular function
should reflect contractility and be independent of preload,
afterload, and comorbid diseases. In research studies, meas-
ures such as left ventricular elastance derived from multiple
pressure–volume loops have been used to approximate this
ideal measure.
In practical clinical terms, simpler measures such as left ventricular end systolic and end diastolic dimensions and ejection fraction have been used as these measures are easily obtained, reproducible, and predict clinical outcome, even though they are imperfect measures of ventricular contractility. As a general guideline, surgery should be considered in chronic asymptomatic severe mitral regurgitation when left ventricular end systolic dimension is ≥45 mm (normal <40 mm) and ejection fraction is ≤60% (normal 65%). Since there is some variability in echocardiographic measurements, it is preferable to document a consistent trend on serial echocardiographic studies or to repeat a study after a shorter interval for abnormal values, rather than recommending surgery based on a single number. The current American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines for timing of surgery are shown in table 3.

In the operating room, predictors of long term outcome are the surgical procedure (repair versus replacement) and the degree of residual mitral regurgitation after valve repair as assessed by intraoperative transoesophageal echocardiography, with loading conditions matched to a baseline study performed at the beginning of the surgical procedure. A successful repair is associated with no or minimal mitral regurgitation. If more severe regurgitation persists, a second bypass pump run with repeat repair or valve replacement may be indicated, although this decision is modulated by other factors in individual patients.

CONTROVERSIES
Several issues in the optimal timing of surgical intervention for chronic mitral regurgitation are unresolved because current guidelines are based on incomplete natural history data and on the consensus of experts, rather than on prospective randomised trials.

The first issue is the definition of severe mitral regurgitation: specifically should severe mitral regurgitation be defined by quantitative Doppler techniques or by the physiologic consequences of chronic volume overload? Some centres have proposed that patients with severe mitral regurgitation defined by a specific regurgitant volume or regurgitant orifice area should undergo earlier surgical intervention, particularly if valve repair is feasible. However, this approach assumes that all these patients will rapidly develop irreversible consequences of the valvar lesion, such as left ventricular dysfunction. It is worrisome that mitral valve prolapse is associated with an increased risk of sudden death, but there are no convincing data that surgical intervention decreases this risk. Until we have prospective data supporting the hypothesis that early intervention decreases the adverse consequences of chronic mitral regurgitation, mitral valve surgery based on Doppler criteria alone is not appropriate.

The utility and timing of surgery for secondary mitral regurgitation remains controversial. In patients with ischaemic mitral regurgitation, revascularisation alone may result in a decrease in regurgitant severity if the aetiology was intermittent ischaemia. In other patients, significant regurgitation persists after revascularisation; in this subgroup, a mitral annuloplasty to reduce regurgitant severity may be beneficial. In the absence of controlled clinical trials, the decision to repair the mitral valve in patients with ischaemic mitral regurgitation is based on specific factors in each case and there is wide variability in the use of this approach between institutions. Mitral valve repair in patients with regurgitation secondary to dilated cardiomyopathy is even more controversial. Some centres report improved outcomes with mitral repair in this group of patients, but this approach has not been widely accepted.

In the patient with mitral regurgitation and atrial fibrillation, some centres now advocate a concurrent atrial procedure to restore sinus rhythm and prevent recurrent atrial fibrillation. As the surgical procedure to isolate the atrial tissue has become simpler, this procedure is likely to become used more widely and likely will be a standard adjunct to mitral valve repair in the future.

The other problematic area in clinical decision making in patients with severe mitral regurgitation is whether it is ever “too late” for surgical intervention. In patients who first present with severe left ventricular dilation and systolic dysfunction caused by chronic volume overload, outcome after mitral valve replacement is poor. In patients with severe mitral regurgitation and an ejection fraction <30% or an end systolic dimension >55 mm, surgery should be considered only if it is highly likely that a valve repair can be performed.

Table 3  American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines for surgery for non-ischaemic severe mitral regurgitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class I (evidence and/or general agreement that surgery is useful and effective)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms caused by mitral regurgitation (acute or chronic)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| - Asymptomatic patients with severe MR and mild-moderate LV dysfunction defined as an:
  - Ejection fraction 30–60% and
  - End systolic dimension 45–55 mm                                           |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Ia (conflicting evidence and/or divergence of opinion but the weight of evidence/opinion favours surgical intervention)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Asymptomatic patients with normal LV function and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Atrial fibrillation or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pulmonary hypertension (&gt;50 mm Hg at rest or &gt;60 mm Hg with exercise)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Asymptomatic patients with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ejection fraction 50–60% or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- End systolic dimension 45–55 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Severe left ventricular systolic dysfunction (ejection fraction &lt;30% and/or end systolic dimension &gt;55 mm) if chordal preservation is highly likely</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LV, left ventricular; MR, mitral regurgitation.
Timing of surgery in mitral regurgitation: key points

- Primary mitral regurgitation is caused by an anatomic abnormality of the valve apparatus while secondary mitral regurgitation is caused by abnormal three dimensional relationships between valve components.
- Mitral valve surgery is indicated for symptoms caused by severe mitral regurgitation.
- Chronic left ventricular volume overload may lead to an irreversible decline in contractility, even in the absence of symptoms.
- Practical clinical measures for early contractile dysfunction are a left ventricular end systolic dimension \(\geq 45\) mm and an ejection fraction \(\leq 60\%\).
- Preservation of continuity between the mitral annulus and papillary muscles at the time of surgery helps maintain left ventricular systolic function.
- Mitral valve repair has a lower operative mortality and better long term outcome than mitral valve replacement.
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