The treatment of acute coronary syndromes, including acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina, has been one of the most successful contributions in the last 20 years to the improvement of medicine. However, we are still unable to predict them, and therefore to prevent occurrence of acute myocardial infarction and/or death. Major improvements have been made in an effort to understand the mechanism(s) which might lead to acute coronary occlusion: the concept of vulnerable plaque has helped us to understand better the cascade resulting in acute occlusion. Why does a stable plaque become vulnerable, and is it possible to reverse/prevent this process?

The contribution of pathologists has been critical in pointing out the role of the vulnerable plaque in the pathogenesis of acute coronary syndromes, and the major role of thrombus formation. Initially, plaque rupture has been defined as the mechanism responsible for the evolution from vulnerable plaque to acute occlusion. Although plaque rupture remains a major cause of plaque complications, other mechanisms have emerged—that is, plaque erosion, and less frequently calcified nodules protruding into the artery lumen. The fact that coronary thrombosis may occur without plaque rupture is a phenomenon that will have to be taken into account in the definition of vulnerability (table 1). These potentially independent mechanisms leading to acute coronary syndromes represent nowadays the new basis to approaching the treatment and prevention of vulnerable plaque. However, this does not eliminate the possibility of other unknown mechanisms undetectable at necropsy. Does a plaque become locally vulnerable or does the patient become vulnerable? The new concept of widespread coronary inflammatory processes during unstable angina has to be integrated into our strategies, provided it is recognised we are not just fighting the culprit vulnerable lesion, but facing a disease involving multiple lesions. This review will analyse the histologic, cellular, and molecular levels of the putative mechanisms responsible for plaque vulnerability—that is, a plaque prone to acute thrombosis.

VULNERABLE PLAQUE: DEFINITIONS AND MECHANISMS

Originally the term “vulnerable plaque” was specifically used to define a plaque prone to rupture. We have learned a great deal from pathologists about the histological aspects of the plaque in patients who present with sudden cardiac death. Three situations have been described: plaque rupture, plaque erosion, and plaque calcification (table 1). Since plaque rupture is not the exclusive histologic situation resulting in thrombosis, we therefore propose to extend the definition of the vulnerable plaque to “a plaque prone to thrombose”, resulting in acute coronary syndromes including acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina via permanent or transitory occlusion. Thus, the concept of plaque vulnerability has to be associated with the occurrence of thrombus formation. We would complete the histopathological approach by a hypothesis: vulnerable plaque is the resulting process of the lack of healing at the site of atherogenesis. We therefore propose a fourth situation which occurs after therapeutic strategies aimed at inhibiting plaque healing in conditions where the therapy has exceeded its goal, resulting in late occlusions secondary to brachytherapy or drug eluting stents targeted against the cell cycle.

PLAQUE RUPTURE

Davies and colleagues described plaque rupture almost 30 years ago. It is the most popular concept of vulnerable plaque although it should not be considered as the only one. Its occurrence varies from 60–75%. It has been generally considered as the standard model: the plaque prone to rupture is made of a large lipid core composed of foam cells, apoptotic and necrotic cells, and debris, and is separated from the lumen by a fibrous cap (mainly comprising collagen, proteoglycans, and smooth muscle cells) which is actively weakened both by a lytic process and a lack of repair; this results eventually in the plaque fissuring at one point, which ultimately brings the platelets into contact with the content of the lipid core, and the blood coagulation factors together with tissue factor (fig 1). Thus, the thinner the fibrous cap, the higher the risk of rupture. These criteria have been clearly established. The so-called “plaque rupture” has been extensively considered by pathologists.
as the process underlying thrombus formation. Many strategies have been tested in order to inhibit plaque rupture and thrombus formation, in particular the statins because of their numerous pleiotropic properties.7

**Cells involved in plaque rupture**

At the cellular level, the principal players are the endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, macrophages, T lymphocytes, and neutrophils, and their mutual interactions will result in plaque rupture.

Endothelial cells play a pivotal role since they represent the major barrier against thrombosis, and their dysfunction results in attracting inflammatory cells (dysfunctional endothelial cells allow T lymphocyte recruitment via over-expression of the cell adhesion molecules) within the plaque where they can induce spasm, and participate in the thrombogenesis. The smooth muscle cells are responsible for extracellular matrix production. In contrast to the classical concept implying their pathogenic role in atherogenesis, smooth muscle cells guarantee the integrity of the artery wall: it is not surprising that they have been shown to be decreased, apoptotic, and not able to warrant the synthesis and tissue repair of the extracellular matrix, which is actively destroyed by the macrophages in plaque rupture.7 Macrophages represent the “Trojan horse” of the atherosclerotic plaque. They play a central role in non-specific inflammation by secreting cytokines, and they concentrate the peroxidised lipids to become foam cells. Foam cells induce expression of the cell adhesion molecules in endothelial cells. Moreover, they may actively weaken the fibrous cap by secreting matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). Macrophages in apoptosis are more abundant in the ruptured fibrous cap, as compared to stable plaques or unruptured fibrous caps.7 Inflammation is further developed by the activated macrophages which secrete cytokines (tumour necrosis factor α, interleukin (IL)-1, IL-4). Neutrophils are present both in blood and in the plaque, secrete MMP 8, and actively contribute to the inflammatory process. Although the number of neutrophils infiltrated in human atherectomy specimens is equivalent between plaque rupture and plaque erosion, there are more activated neutrophils (neutral endopeptidase positive) in plaque rupture.10 T lymphocytes are responsible for the specific inflammation, depending on epitopes, namely oxidised low density lipoprotein (LDL). T lymphocytes transmit messages to smooth muscle cells and macrophages and influence the inflammatory process, particularly via the activation of MMP.

**Mechanisms of plaque “vulnerabilisation”**

Mechanisms of plaque rupture have been extensively studied and several parameters have been found to interact: the extracellular matrix, the inflammatory cells, the lipid core, and more particularly the oxidised lipids, and the factors inducing thrombosis.

The concept of plaque rupture is based on the weakening of the fibrous cap which protects the blood from the thrombogenic lipid core. Libby’s group has played a leading role in the identification of the mechanisms of plaque rupture.7 9 11–13 They have demonstrated that the extracellular matrix is actively destroyed by MMPs which are locally overexpressed by the macrophages.11 In the weakened plaque the number of macrophages producing MMP is increased and the number of smooth muscle cells repairing the extracellular matrix is decreased. This imbalance between extracellular matrix synthesis and degradation is a solid basis for plaque rupture. Activated T lymphocytes produce interferon (which decreases the synthesis of collagen I and III by smooth muscle cells11). The T lymphocytes also influence the degradation of the extracellular matrix by stimulating macrophage production of MMP involving CD40 ligation.13

MMP expression is also induced by oxidised lipids contained in the lipid core. Oxidised LDL contributes to the plaque weakening by decreasing the natural inhibitor of MMP.
TIMP-1) expressed by the macrophages, and by inducing apoptosis of the smooth muscle cells. In contrast, high density lipoprotein (HDL) has a protective effect. Paraoxonase and lecithine–cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) are HDL associated enzymes; paraoxonase protects LDL and HDL from oxidation, and LCAT prevents the formation of oxidised lipids in LDL. More generally oxidant stress may play a key role in increasing the vulnerability of the plaque; in the model of apoE and L-gulonolactone oxidase deficient mice (dependent on dietary vitamin C), the chronic deficiency of vitamin C clearly alters collagen deposition resulting in a fascinating model of vulnerable plaque prone to rupture (that is, a thinner fibrous cap and a lipid core devoid of collagen”).

More recently, it has been proposed that the phenomenon of plaque rupture might occur at several sites rather than an isolated one. This has been illustrated by the concept of widespread activation of neutrophils (measured by the concentration of neutrophil myeloperoxidase in blood) proposed by Maseri’s group, as they traverse the whole coronary vasculature of patients presenting with unstable angina. Similarly, angioscopy in patients with myocardial infarction detected that the three coronary arteries were widely diseased, with yellow plaques, and thrombus was present in the culprit artery. Systematic evaluation of the three coronary arteries by intracoronary ultrasound revealed that a clinically active ruptured plaque was accompanied by multiple plaque ruptures in 79% of cases. This shows that there is likely a strong interaction between the local inflammatory process and the systemic inflammatory process. Whether one process is triggering the other remains unknown. Practically, the concept of plaque vulnerability should not let us underestimate the potential vulnerability of the patient himself—that is, an identified vulnerable plaque may be only one among several factors that can be “switched on”. This should be borne in mind if we limit our therapeutic strategy to a local action, which gives preference to the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors.

It has been postulated that infectious agents like Chlamydia pneumoniae could induce atherosclerotic lesions. However, C. pneumoniae alone repeatedly failed to generate an atherosclerotic plaque. C. pneumoniae is present in the foam cells since it is carried by the monocytes–macrophages that penetrate the plaque. Nevertheless, it can be proposed that an infectious disease may non-specifically activate a stable plaque via the inflammatory process that is accompanying the disease itself.
Mechanical aspects of plaque rupture also have to be taken into account. The heterogeneity of the unstable plaque, the permanent stress caused by the physiological pressure changes, the pathological pressure elevations, and spasm all favour rupture. By finite element analysis, it has been shown that the mechanical properties of the fibrous cap play an important role in plaque rupture, and more particularly the cap thickness. Moreover, the changes in the constituents of the fibrous cap may explain the rupture: a decrease in collagen but also in proteoglycans may modify the mechanical properties of the fibrous cap. Thus, failure to compensate for cap fatigue caused by cell death and matrix loss may contribute to plaque rupture.

**PLAQUE EROSION**

Plaque erosion is a less known process which has been identified by Virmani’s group\(^6\) and confirmed by Arbustini and colleagues.\(^7\) Its importance has been less emphasised although it provides a critical message: it seems to concern a distinct group of patients (young, smokers, females), and there is increasing evidence that vulnerable plaque may not represent a unique disease, but is the result of different causes including plaque rupture and plaque erosion. It consists of the association of a thrombus with erosion of the endothelial cells at the site of occlusion with a lack of plaque rupture (fig 1). In contrast with the ruptured plaque, the eroded plaque is rich in smooth muscle cells and proteoglycans, and contains fewer macrophages and T lymphocytes, and fewer calcifications. The rate of plaque erosion in necropsy studies of patients after sudden coronary death was 25–44%.\(^3,9,15,17\) Therefore plaque erosion should not be ignored when it comes to developing prevention strategies for vulnerable plaque. More recently, Becker’s group studied the histology of 11 patients younger than 35 years old who presented with sudden cardiac death.\(^18\) Nine (four female) had plaque erosion (82%). Only one had a high cholesterol value, and four were smokers. This clearly defines plaque erosion as an entity which may be independent of plaque rupture (table 2). Interestingly, inflammation does not appear to play as large a role in plaque erosion as it does in plaque rupture.

**Mechanisms of plaque erosion “vulnerability”**

Plaque erosion is defined as an abrasion of the endothelium without plaque rupture. The problem is to know how endothelial cells disappear, and what are the circumstances which favour this erosion: does it come from the endothelium, the wall, or the blood? Apoptosis of the endothelium has been recently shown by Mallat and colleagues, leading to acute coronary syndromes.\(^19\) Apoptosis results in plaque erosion. It is well known that endothelial dysfunction is the initial event of atherogenesis. Part of the dysfunction results in loss of nitric oxide (NO) bioavailability. Among the mechanisms of endothelial protection provided by NO are the inhibition of platelet aggregation, of spasm, and particularly of endothelial apoptosis. Reduction of NO in atherosclerotic lesions impairs the antithrombotic and antispasm protection. Thus, endothelial apoptosis may be a rationale for plaque erosion.

Are there triggers of endothelial apoptosis? It has been shown that all risk factors for atherosclerotic disease promote endothelial dysfunction and apoptosis in vitro. However, in vivo, Virmani and colleagues demonstrated that smoking was related to plaque erosion, whereas high cholesterol was surprisingly not a risk factor.\(^11,12\) They also clearly showed that the population at risk for plaque erosion was younger than the population with plaque rupture, and comprised more women. Interestingly, women with plaque rupture are less numerous. Does this mean that there is a risk of plaque erosion for non-menopausal females? Is oestrogen a higher risk factor and do oral contraceptives favour endothelial cell apoptosis?

How does apoptosis lead to plaque erosion? It is known that endothelial progenitor cells repair the endothelium, and that endothelial progenitor cells are altered in patients with risk factors.\(^20\) Dimmeler and colleagues hypothesise that the endothelial repair may thus be impaired in those patients who undergo plaque erosion.\(^7\) Apoptotic cells result in microparticles which are raised in patients with acute coronary syndromes and are prothrombogenic.\(^20\) This gives a basis for acute thrombosis in patients with plaque erosion secondary to severe endothelial cell apoptosis. However, the triggers of endothelial cell apoptosis remain vague, whereas the consequences of plaque erosion may be catastrophic. The problem is that there is no proof yet that preventing endothelial cell apoptosis will be sufficient to control plaque erosion, and prevention strategies remain to be defined, even if the population at risk is grossly defined. The mechanisms of regulation of endothelial cell apoptosis to be targeted involve the cell–matrix interaction.

Like plaque rupture, plaque erosion may in part be caused by a mechanical process. Indeed it can be hypothesised that repeated spasm at the same site provoked by smoking may alter endothelial cells, thereby facilitating endothelial detachment from the artery wall. Moreover, flow turbulence may increase endothelial apoptosis, and facilitate plaque erosion. It has been shown that shear stress could prevent apoptosis by stimulating the production of low NO concentrations in endothelial cells via increased gene expression of NO synthase. However, we do not know whether plaque erosion exists without thrombosis. We previously showed in the New Zealand white rabbit after arterial air desiccation that both thrombosis and endothelial dysfunction were induced at the site of an artery segment filled with an adenoviral solution.\(^22\)

---

**Table 2** Risk factors associated with plaque rupture or erosion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>High cholesterol (%)</th>
<th>Smoker</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Plaque rupture</th>
<th>Plaque erosion</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Burke et al(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Burke et al(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Burke et al(^6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Burke et al(^6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-9</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Henriquez et al(^8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-9</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Henriquez et al(^8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>Arbustini et al(^16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>Arbustini 16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Thrombus occurrence is the obligatory signature of a complicated vulnerable plaque. The thrombus formation may occur from elements issued from the plaque itself and/or the interaction between blood and activated platelets and dysfunctional endothelium. Several plaque components may be either directly or indirectly thrombogenic. Macrophage foam cells overexpress tissue factor. Tissue factor is a coagulation protein (via interaction with factor VII) that is secreted by the endothelium and macrophages, and is present in the plaque and in inflammatory conditions. It plays an important role in the thrombotic process. T lymphocytes induce tissue factor from macrophages. T lymphocytes, via the CD40 ligand, activate the macrophages and more particularly secretion of tissue factor. Tissue factor secretion is also increased by oxidised LDL via the endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells. In vitro, oxidised LDL increases plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI)-1, and decreases protein C and tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA) in endothelial cells. Lipoprotein Lp(a) participates in the promotion of thrombosis by interfering with plasminogen and overexpressing PAI-1. Increased concentrations of PAI-1 and apo(a) have been found in atherectomy samples of patients presenting with acute coronary syndromes; interestingly there was a correlation between concentrations of PAI-1 and apo(a) and macrophage density.

Blood also plays a role in the occurrence of local thrombus formation. Platelet activation is prompted by collagen exposure from the subendothelium: this represents a simple substratum of acute thrombosis in the setting of plaque erosion. Inhibition of platelet activation is reduced in unstable plaque by reduction of prostacyclin. The nature of the plaque itself may also participate in the thrombus formation. CD44 and proteoglycans, namely versican and hyaluronan, were found in high concentrations in eroded plaques. It has been suggested that hyaluronan could be involved in the development of thrombosis. CD44 receptors mediate platelet adhesion to hyaluronan. Hyaluronan also increases fibrin formation. Together these elements may facilitate the occurrence of thrombus in eroded plaques.
CONCLUSIONS
The vulnerable plaque is a challenging, vexing topic. Pathologists have been most helpful in classifying the lesions. A basic approach with appropriate models is clearly necessary to address in vivo the issues regarding the mechanisms and treatment. It appears that rupture or erosion of the plaque are the leading causes of death, but we do not know whether other potential mechanism(s) may result in thrombus formation in vivo. Moreover, at our current level of knowledge, we are not able to declare any part of the arterial tree invulnerable. The concept of a vulnerable patient is growing over the concept of vulnerable plaque.
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