Is maternal transmission of coronary heart disease risk stronger than paternal transmission?
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Objective: To test whether intergenerational transmission of coronary heart disease (CHD) to offspring is greater from the mother than from the father, the association between parental history of CHD and coronary mortality in male offspring was examined.

Design: Prospective cohort study with 43 years of follow up.

Setting: University of Glasgow.

Participants: Male students (n = 8402) aged 16–30 years when examined in 1948 to 1968.

Main outcome measure: Fatal CHD.

Main results: Of the 8402 men studied, 615 (7.3%) reported a history of CHD in at least one of the parents: 479 (5.8%) for fathers only, 124 (1.6%) for mothers only, and a further 12 (0.2%) for both their parents. During follow up, 373 (4.4%) men died of CHD. Parental history of disease was associated with fatal CHD and controlling for personal risk factors such as cigarette smoking, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, and father’s social class did not attenuate this relation. The fully adjusted hazard ratios were 1.53 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.08 to 2.18), 1.19 (95% CI 0.61 to 2.32), and 8.65 (95% CI 2.65 to 28.31) for father only, mother only, and both parents with CHD, respectively, with men whose parents did not have CHD. There was some evidence for interaction between parental histories (p = 0.049), with particularly high risk if both parents reported a history of CHD.

Conclusions: This study found no differential transmission of CHD. Paternal history of CHD was at least as important as maternal history. Data from other comparable cohorts provide consistent evidence of differential transmission. Intergenerational transmission of CHD does not appear to have differential effects between mothers and fathers.

Parental history of coronary heart disease (CHD) has long been recognised as a risk factor for CHD. Little attention has been paid to the possible differential transmission from mothers or fathers. This has relevance for the “fetal origins” hypothesis in which the association between low birth weight and later CHD is taken to be evidence of fetal programming from other lines of inquiry is remarkable by its absence. The questionable validity of low birth weight as a proxy for adverse intrauterine programming caused by adverse circumstances in intrauterine weight and later CHD is taken to be evidence of fetal gins” hypothesis in which the association between low birth weight and later CHD, hypertension—which strongly determine the risk of low birth weight and CHD, maternal factors—for example, cigarette smoking and hypertension—which strongly determine the risk of low birth weight and CHD, maternal factors—parents: 479 (5.8%) for fathers only, 124 (1.6%) for mothers only, and a further 12 (0.2%) for both their parents. During follow up, 373 (4.4%) men died of CHD. Parental history of disease was associated with fatal CHD and controlling for personal risk factors such as cigarette smoking, body mass index, systolic blood pressure, and father’s social class did not attenuate this relation. The fully adjusted hazard ratios were 1.53 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.08 to 2.18), 1.19 (95% CI 0.61 to 2.32), and 8.65 (95% CI 2.65 to 28.31) for father only, mother only, and both parents with CHD, respectively, with men whose parents did not have CHD. There was some evidence for interaction between parental histories (p = 0.049), with particularly high risk if both parents reported a history of CHD.

Conclusions: This study found no differential transmission of CHD. Paternal history of CHD was at least as important as maternal history. Data from other comparable cohorts provide consistent evidence of differential transmission. Intergenerational transmission of CHD does not appear to have differential effects between mothers and fathers.

Parental history of coronary heart disease (CHD) has long been recognised as a risk factor for CHD.1 Little attention has been paid to the possible differential transmission from mothers or fathers. This has relevance for the “fetal origins” hypothesis in which the association between low birth weight and later CHD is taken to be evidence of fetal programming caused by adverse circumstances in intrauterine life.7 Although there is now a substantial body of evidence in support of the association between low birth weight and CHD,6 evidence for fetal programming from other lines of inquiry is remarkable by its absence. The questionable validity of low birth weight as a proxy for adverse intrauterine circumstances6 makes it all the more important that support for this hypothesis be sought from other types of studies if these associations are to be regarded as causal.

One area of study is parent–offspring disease associations. Maternal factors—for example, cigarette smoking and hypertension—which strongly determine the risk of low birth weight and later CHD,2 are also ones that strongly influence the mother’s own risk of CHD.3 If “fetal programming” occurs, then one would expect to find a greater maternal–offspring than paternal–offspring association for CHD, since modifiable factors influencing paternal CHD risk do not influence the fetal growth rate to the same extent as maternal factors. Greater maternal–offspring transmission of type II diabetes is well established4 and has been shown to be associated with intrauterine exposure to diabetes.5 Evidence on differential transmission of CHD, however, is mixed. Case control studies that suggest an excess in paternal transmission of CHD have been criticised because of their potential for recall bias.6–8 Cohort studies offer a more reliable study design, but the ones conducted so far have been few and inconclusive.9–11 One reason is the lack of history of disease data collected separately for both parents rather than as a combined “parental history of CHD” category in most longstanding cohorts. Availability of such information in an established cohort of students from the University of Glasgow—with over 40 years of follow up for mortality—has allowed us to examine the question of differential transmission of CHD from parents to the male offspring. Studying this question is important not just for testing the fetal origins hypothesis, with attendant policy implications,12 but also has value for clinicians in risk assessment and in guiding genetic research.

METHODS

Details of the Glasgow student cohort are presented elsewhere.13 Briefly, between 1948 and 1968, all students registered at the University of Glasgow were invited to attend annual health checks at the Student Health Service. Half of the student population attended at least one examination. At each examination, the physician completed a questionnaire covering sociodemographic and medical history details of the student and his or her family. Clinical measurements included height, weight, and blood pressure. These students have been traced and flagged through the UK’s National Health Service Register (NHSCR), which provide copies of death certificates, as deaths occur. The representativeness of the students examined and traced to the university population and the general population has already been reported and discussed elsewhere.14

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; CHD, coronary heart disease; ICD, international classification of diseases; NHSCR, National Health Service Register.
Main exposure and outcome
A positive parental history of CHD was recorded if the student reported a history of angina or of fatal or non-fatal myocardial infarction in either parent; no distinction was made between these outcomes. The main outcome was death from CHD. Cause of death was determined from the death certificate and those participants for whom the cause of death included the International classification of diseases (ICD) codes 410–414 (ICD 9th revision) and I20–I25 (ICD 10th revision) contributed to the outcome.

Follow up period and exclusion criteria
The follow up period extended from the date of the first examination to 30 November 2001 or the date of death, whichever came earlier. Where the participants were lost to follow up by leaving the UK or entering the armed forces, the last available date of exit was used as the censoring date. Those for whom the last date of exit was not available were excluded. The remaining 9257 men were available for analyses; information on all the potential confounders was complete for 8402. The results did not differ materially on exclusion of men with incomplete information. Data presented below are therefore for the 8402 men among whom examination of potential confounding was possible.

RESULTS
Of the 11 756 male students who originally attended the student health service, 9930 (85%) have been traced through the NHSCR. Of these, 292 men were excluded because of unknown date of last exit and a further 381 by virtue of being over age 30. Those without date of birth (n = 2) and those with existing CHD (one student had a history of angina) were also excluded. The remaining 9257 men were available for analyses; information on all the potential confounders was complete for 8402. The results did not differ materially on exclusion of men with incomplete information. Data presented below are therefore for the 8402 men among whom examination of potential confounding was possible.

Of the 8402 men, 615 (7.3%) reported a history of CHD in at least one parent: 479 (5.8%) for fathers only, 124 (1.6%) for mothers only, and a further 12 (0.2%) for both their parents.

Table 2  Relation between parental history of CHD and fatal CHD in the male offspring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parental history of CHD</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>deaths</td>
<td>(unadjusted)</td>
<td>(adjusted for year of birth)</td>
<td>(fully adjusted)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither parent†</td>
<td>326 (87.4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Either parent</td>
<td>47 (12.6)</td>
<td>1.60 [1.18 to 2.18]</td>
<td>1.53 [1.13 to 2.09]</td>
<td>1.53 [1.13 to 2.08]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father only</td>
<td>35 (9.7)</td>
<td>1.59 [1.12 to 2.26]</td>
<td>1.53 [1.08 to 2.18]</td>
<td>1.53 [1.08 to 2.18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother only</td>
<td>9 (2.7)</td>
<td>1.26 [0.65 to 2.45]</td>
<td>1.20 [0.62 to 2.33]</td>
<td>1.19 [0.61 to 2.32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both parents</td>
<td>3 (0.9)</td>
<td>10.08 [3.23 to 31.46]</td>
<td>9.88 [3.16 to 30.89]</td>
<td>8.65 [2.65 to 28.31]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for year of birth quintile, cigarette smoking, father’s social class, height, body mass index, and systolic BP.
†Reference category.
CI, confidence interval.
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study cohort</th>
<th>N* (cases)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Confounders</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US male health professionals</td>
<td>1713 (653)</td>
<td>22–65</td>
<td>Fatal CHD</td>
<td>Serum cholesterol, BP, obesity, glucose intolerance</td>
<td>P: 1.7 (1.1 to 2.7) M: 2.2 (1.2 to 3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tecumseh community</td>
<td>1402 (57)</td>
<td>40–59</td>
<td>Fatal CHD</td>
<td>Serum cholesterol, BP</td>
<td>P: 3.9 (1.9 to 8.4)‡ M: 3.8 (1.9 to 7.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British regional heart</td>
<td>7735 (336)</td>
<td>40–59</td>
<td>Fatal CHD</td>
<td>Serum cholesterol, BP, obesity, glucose intolerance</td>
<td>P: 2.1 (1.4 to 3.1) M: 1.3 (0.9 to 1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>7609 (768)</td>
<td>30–59</td>
<td>Non-fatal and fatal CHD</td>
<td>Smoking, serum cholesterol, BP, diabetes, obesity, social class</td>
<td>P: 1.7 (1.4 to 2.0) M: 1.3 (1.0 to 1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US physicians</td>
<td>20515 (653)</td>
<td>40–84</td>
<td>Non-fatal and fatal CHD</td>
<td>Self-reported smoking, alcohol, exercise, obesity, glucose intolerance</td>
<td>P: 1.6 (1.3 to 2.0) M: 1.5 (1.2 to 1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Adjusted for age and all the other confounders shown in the adjacent column, and compared with the baseline of neither parent with CHD.‡Relative risk (unadjusted) calculated from raw data in the article.

M, maternal; P, paternal.

The mean age at examination was 21 years (range 16–30 years). During the subsequent 43 years of follow up, 1002 (11.9%) men died; 373 (4.4%) of the deaths were caused by CHD. The significant risk factors for CHD related mortality in this cohort were age, cigarette smoking, father’s social class, height, BMI, and systolic blood pressure (table 1). Parental history of CHD was associated with death from CHD. This relation was not attenuated by controlling for other risk factors; fully adjusted hazard ratios were 1.53 (95% confidence interval (CI) 1.08 to 2.18), 1.19 (95% CI 0.61 to 2.32), and 8.65 (95% CI 2.65 to 28.31) for father only, mother only, and both parents with CHD, respectively, compared with the baseline category of neither parent having CHD (table 2). There was some evidence in favour of multiplicative interaction between parental histories (Pinteraction = 0.049).

Eight comparable cohort studies with data on male participants were identified from the literature.13–18 21 22 Table 3 summarises their study characteristics and those of the present study. One cohort has since been re-examined but the relative risks presented in the more recent study were not controlled for confounding factors (the unadjusted results do not show differential transmission); hence, earlier results are included in table 3.15 Five of the nine studies (including the current data) have found the central estimates to be in favour of paternal transmission, but there is considerable overlap between the confidence intervals. Significant between study heterogeneity (p < 0.001) precluded a quantitative synthesis of results.

DISCUSSION

These results in a cohort of male students with over 40 years of follow up showed that a paternal history of CHD was positively associated with death due to CHD, whereas the association with maternal history was relatively weaker and did not reach conventional levels of significance. The relative risk for a man with both parents having CHD (as compared with neither parent having CHD) appeared to be greater than that which would be expected simply by multiplying the relative risk from each parent; however, the number of cases in this category was quite small. The overlapping confidence intervals in this study do not allow any firm conclusions about differential transmission, but evidence from other comparable cohorts suggests that it is unlikely.

Strengths and limitations

The main strengths of the present study are its large sample size and a long duration of follow up with relatively limited loss of participants. Its greatest strength, however, lies in the early age at which the cohort was established. Knowledge and reporting of family history and risk behaviour are highly susceptible to the experience of disease outcome in the subject. Cohort studies serve their purpose best when exposure information is collected at the baseline. This cohort was aged 16–30 years at the time and only one subject had experienced the outcome (angina).

There are two main limitations to this study: the early age at measurement and completeness of risk factor data, and the possibility of selection bias. Risk factor information was collected at one point in time in young adulthood and may have changed over time; data on some other established risk factors such as serum cholesterol were not available. Other studies have found the risk factor data in this cohort to be highly predictive of future cardiovascular disease and CHD.23 Moreover, studies that controlled for risk factors from later life or from blood investigations did not report any notable change in the direction or strength of the observed associations.24 Selection bias is possible, as only half of the students who were invited to take part in the health screening did so; they may have been more health conscious or had a family history of disease. Cohort members and non-participants have already been shown to be comparable in...
their sociodemographic characteristics, and the prevalence rates for family history of disease and disease outcome are comparable with those of other cohorts. Despite this, selection bias remains a possibility and its contribution to the results is impossible to estimate; however, it would be expected to influence the association with both parents similarly, leaving the mutual relation unaltered. A remaining issue is misclassification arising out of the use of offspring reported family history as a measure of exposure (disease in the parent). This measure has been used by other studies and allows direct comparison of the results. Although the validity of this measure was not examined in the present study, other studies that have looked at this have found it to be moderate in sensitivity and high in specificity. This would imply that the true estimates for the associations with family history may be higher than those estimated in this study.

Comparison with previous work
The magnitude of the hazard estimates derived from various studies were similar apart from one study—Tecumseh community, which also had wide confidence intervals (only 57 cases). Five of the nine studies (including current data) have found the central estimates to be in favour of paternal transmission, but the overlapping confidence intervals (between and among studies) prevent any firm conclusions being drawn. The differences between studies, apart from sampling variation, can also be attributed to the differences in age of the participants and the severity of the disease arising from differing definitions for exposure and outcome. Con founding factors measured in these studies also vary; however, they are unlikely to be a source of great variation—there was little actual difference between the crude and adjusted hazard ratios in the studies. The majority of the studies controlled for the two important risk factors: smoking and hypertension.

Biological plausibility
The a priori mechanism under study was the fetal origins hypothesis, which proposes in utero programming of chronic diseases caused by fetal malnourishment. Sharing of common risk factors between CHD and low offspring birth weight, or the greater maternal than paternal heritability of birth weight, which in turn may be determined genetically or by the fetal environment, would result in stronger maternal–offspring associations for CHD, but this was not the case. There are other possible mechanisms by which parental history of a chronic disease such as CHD may be transmitted differentially to the offspring. These differences can arise from differences in genetic susceptibility per se or from differential transmission of risk factors. Differential transmission of risk factors in turn may arise because of behavioural reasons (selective adoption of risk behaviour depending on the sex of the parent and the offspring) or genetic susceptibility to risk factors. Differential genetic transmission may conceivably be influenced by the X chromosome or mitochondrial DNA, or arise out of the process of genomic imprinting. So far, however, there is little evidence to support any of these in the aetiology of CHD.

Implications of the study
Apart from shedding light on the debate surrounding the fetal origins hypothesis, which in itself has significant resource and health policy implications, a differential transmission of CHD, if true, has other clinical and research implications. Taking a bedside family history is already an important tool for the clinician and its value in offering prognosis and risk behaviour advice may be enhanced, although the ability of such advice to alter behaviour remains controversial. In epidemiological research and clinical trials, such knowledge may improve risk stratification and limit residual confounding or even guide genetic research as in the case of diabetes mellitus. Despite the relevance, most of the studies so far have been unable to provide conclusive evidence, possibly because of lack of sufficient number of cases. We have summarised and highlighted the limitations of the existing evidence from prospective studies involving men. A more formal systematic review on the subject, which the authors are currently undertaking, may help to clarify the situation further.

Conclusions
This study in a cohort of male students with over 40 years of follow up did not find a differential transmission of CHD. Paternal history of CHD was at least as important as maternal history of CHD. Although the overlapping confidence intervals here make firm conclusions difficult, evidence from other comparable cohorts suggests that a significant differential transmission is unlikely. If fetal programming is indeed a dominant mechanism in the aetiology of CHD, then this study has failed to substantiate that hypothesis by showing an excess in maternal transmission. Although the fetal origins hypothesis is now supported by a reasonable level of “consistency of association” between low birth weight and CHD, “coherence of evidence” from different types of study, another very important criterion for causality, remains elusive.
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Electrocardiographic gated multislice computed tomography of the thoracic aorta

A 72 year old man attended for follow up computed tomography (CT) of a chronic type A aortic dissection, three years on from his original presentation. The contrast enhanced multislice CT was acquired using retrospective ECG gating. Subsequent reconstruction of the CT images was from a diastolic “reconstruction window” centred at 75% of the RR interval. This mode of acquisition provided images of the thoracic aorta free of motion artefact. The chronic dissection flap could clearly be seen arising in the proximal, aneurysmal ascending aorta (panel A, axial CT section), extending to the head and neck vessels (panel B, sagittal CT section), and progressing distally to the descending aorta (panel C, three dimensional reconstruction in sagittal section). Advanced post-processing using volume rendering techniques demonstrated the dissection (panel C) and the aneurysm (panel D) in three dimension.

Aortic motion artefact is the most common cause of false positive detection of dissection of the ascending aorta. Motion artefact can be reduced by lowering the patient’s heart rate but also by the use of ECG gating in the scan acquisition. Selection of the diastolic “reconstruction window” with the best image quality can be predicted according to the patient’s heart rate. In this case the heart rate was 65 beats per minute and the “reconstruction window” selected was centred at 75% of the RR interval.
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