Where are we today? Early results from MINAP, the national audit of myocardial infarction project
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The Myocardial Infarction National Audit Project (MINAP) was developed primarily as a response by the profession to the audit requirements of the National Service Framework for coronary heart disease. MINAP began to collect data in October 2000 and by October 2002, 223 hospitals in England and Wales had returned data on patients with acute myocardial infarction. MINAP provides contemporary analyses of hospital performance, with the ability to compare local performance against the national aggregate. In the third quarter of 2002, 67% of patients received thrombolytic treatment within 30 minutes of hospital arrival. At the same time only 37% of patients received treatment within 60 minutes of calling for help, and only about 20% reached hospital within 30 minutes of calling for help. In order to improve speed of access to thrombolytic treatment there is a need for increased use of pre-hospital treatment.

The Myocardial Infarction National Audit Project (MINAP) was established as a response by the profession to the audit requirements of the National Service Framework (NSF) for coronary heart disease. In developing the project it was recognised that it was important to encourage clinicians to accept ownership of clinical data in order that they would then accept the analyses of the data that were produced. The project also merges clinical audit and performance management data by providing analyses for both purposes from the same source data.

MINAP was developed by the Clinical Effectiveness and Evaluation Unit at the Royal College of Physicians of London. The project relies on a close collaboration with the Central Cardiac Audit Database (CCAD) group, based at the Royal Brompton Hospital. Using secure and encrypted transmission of data via the internet or National Health Service net, anonymised patient records are analysed centrally and comparisons of local and national aggregate performance are made available to participating hospitals on-line, and are updated every 24 hours.

CCAD is also developing links to audit data from the Society of Cardiothoracic Surgeons and the British Cardiovascular Intervention Society. As these links develop MINAP will become more than a simple audit of process and outcome of myocardial infarction, as it will then be possible to examine subsequent management of patients in terms of intervention such as coronary artery bypass surgery and angioplasty. CCAD is already linked to the Office of National Statistics allowing provision of case fatality data.

In the two years since MINAP started to collect records in October 2000, data have been collected on more than 100 000 patients. Of these, about 33% had ST segment elevation acute myocardial infarction, 40% had non-ST segment elevation acute myocardial infarction, and the majority of the remainder had acute coronary syndromes. A total of 222 out of approximately 235 hospitals in England and Wales that manage acute myocardial infarction are participating in the project.

The data presented relate to hospital performance against the NSF target aimed to reduce delay in the use of thrombolytic treatment for patients with myocardial infarction. The target was that by April 2002, 75% of eligible patients should receive thrombolytic treatment within 30 minutes of hospital arrival (“door-to-needle” time), and by April 2003 to receive thrombolytic treatment within 20 minutes of hospital arrival. In addition hospitals were required to collect audit data showing the number and percentage of patients reaching hospital within 30 minutes of a call for help and the number and percentage having treatment within 60 minutes of a call for help.

IMPACT OF PATIENT DELAY CALLING FOR HELP

There is a risk in focusing too closely on the door-to-needle interval as this tends to deflect attention from other aspects of delay from onset of patient symptoms to arrival in hospital. Potentially the greatest component of delay in the provision of treatment results from the failure by the patient with symptoms to call for help. There are many reasons why patients delay calling for help, and this delay varies considerably with the time of symptom onset, being greater when symptoms start during the night than when they start during the daytime (fig 1). There is a close relation between delay by the patient in seeking help and delay to treatment with thrombolytic agents. This is important because of the close relation between the interval from onset of symptoms to provision of thrombolytic treatment and subsequent mortality.

HOW PATIENTS REACH HOSPITAL

MINAP data for 2002 were compared with data collected from an audit carried out in 1993 and 1997 of patients with myocardial infarction in 15 hospitals in the UK. The earlier data, although relating to a much smaller patient sample, were
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collected to the same criteria as the MINAP data. There has been a substantial fall in the number of patients ringing their general practitioner and an increase in direct calls to the emergency service. Calls to the general practitioner fell from 54% in 1993 to 37% in 1997 and to 15% in 2002, while calls to the emergency service increased from 32% in 1993 to 44% in 1997 and to 66% in 2002. The proportion of patients making their own way to hospital has varied only slightly, between 11% in 1993 and 14% in 2002 (fig 2). It is well recognised that patients who call 999 reach hospital more quickly than those who are seen by a general practitioner.

In addition to reaching hospital more quickly, greater use of the ambulance service has the additional benefit of providing earlier access to a defibrillator, which has been shown to have significant mortality benefit; more lives may be saved by defibrillation by paramedics in an ambulance than are saved by thrombolytic treatment. However, the ambulance journey may be lengthy; MINAP data for 2001–2 show that 50% of patients with ST segment elevation infarction who call the ambulance service do not reach hospital within 40 minutes (fig 3). This, and the recognition that very early thrombolytic treatment carries substantial benefit, makes a strong case for use of pre-hospital thrombolytic treatment, which has now been accepted by the UK Department of Health. Agreement has been reached for the use of single bolus thrombolytic drugs by ambulance paramedic crews, and training is in progress. This development, which will be carefully evaluated by MINAP, is essential in order to make further inroads into the delay before treatment; further reductions in delay in hospital, although desirable, will be of limited overall benefit.
WHERE TREATMENT IS GIVEN IN HOSPITAL

There has been substantial change in where thrombolytic treatment is given in hospital since the 1993-97 audit. The proportion of patients receiving thrombolytic treatment in accident and emergency departments has increased from 4% in 1993 to 67% in 2002 (fig 4). In addition about 15% are admitted direct to the cardiac care unit (CCU) for thrombolytic treatment. Within hospital thrombolytic treatment provided after direct admission to a CCU, or in an accident and emergency department, is the most rapid, but treatment in a CCU after admission elsewhere in hospital takes substantially longer (table 1). At present more than 80% of patients receive thrombolytic treatment either after direct admission to a CCU or in accident and emergency departments. Overall the median door-to-needle time for all treatment given in hospital has halved over the past eight years, from 53 minutes in 1993 to 26 minutes in 2002.

The proportion of patients receiving treatment within 30 minutes of arrival at hospital has increased in a linear fashion between the first quarter of 2001 (43%) and the third quarter of 2002 (67%) (fig 5). At the present rate of increase the NSF target will be reached during the first quarter of 2003. These are the crucial hours that really matter, and this improvement represents a significant health gain.

CONCLUSION

MINAP has been developed into a powerful tool with which to examine national performance in managing myocardial infarction; early analyses show encouraging improvement. The latest data from MINAP show that just under 70% of patients received thrombolytic treatment within 30 minutes of hospital arrival, a substantial improvement over two years. However, only 37% of patients received treatment within 60 minutes of calling for help. Further improvement in this figure may have to await increasing use of pre-hospital thrombolytic treatment as there has been no real change in the interval from a call for help to reaching hospital, and further reductions in door-to-needle times will have limited impact.
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DISCUSSION

Question: Who do you envisage might give pre-hospital thrombolysis?

Dr Birkhead: I think it is primarily going to be the paramedics but some general practitioners in remote parts of the country are doing this. However, administration of thrombolytic treatment outside of a hospital setting is still infrequent, with only around 180 doses given so far in 2002.

Professor Lewis Ritchie: In rural Scotland, where I work, at present if GPs do not give thrombolysis then patients do not receive the treatment.