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Clinical manifestations of coronary atherosclerosis are mainly a consequence of one of the following pathogenesis:

- Stable coronary artery plaque without thrombus formation causing variable degrees of luminal narrowing and stable angina
- Superficial plaque erosion, defined as endothelial erosions that may result in thrombus formation and acute coronary syndromes (ACS) (cause 30–40% of ACS, more common in younger patients and women)
- Deep plaque rupture defined as fibrous cap rupture with exposed lipid core resulting in in-situ thrombus formation and varying degrees of coronary ischaemia (cause 60–70% of ACS).

The lack of a linear relation between stenosis severity and the probability of developing an ACS is well recognised. Indeed the majority of plaques (~70%) leading to acute myocardial infarction are not of angiographic significance, implying that factors other than stenosis severity determine the probability of vessel closure. The term “vulnerable” plaque defines the vascular substrate most subject to superficial erosion or plaque rupture which may in turn lead to ACS. While there are multiple complex risk and “trigger” factors at work, accurate identification of a “vulnerable” plaque and efficacious treatment to “stabilise” it, thereby reducing the risk of thrombotic induced acute events, would be of major clinical use. In this review we examine stabilisation and passivation of the “vulnerable” plaque by systemic and local drug delivery (LDD).

Plaque stabilisation can be defined by any intervention or interaction which, by causing a change in either the structure, content or function of an atherosclerotic plaque and/or the overlying endothelium, will either prevent or reduce the severity of erosion or rupture. Plaque passivation is defined as any intervention that decreases the thrombogenicity of the endoluminal oriented vascular surface.

Established risk factors of plaque vulnerability include:

- increased lipid content (> 40%)
- reduced collagen content in a thinned fibrous cap
- increased inflammatory cell infiltration, commonly macrophages
- increased expression of matrix degrading metalloproteinases (MMP)
- reduced expression of tissue inhibitor of MMP (TIMP)
- increased concentrations of macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF)
- haemodynamic shear stress.

Treatment of these risk factors may reduce the probability of plaque erosion or rupture and subsequent ACS.

IDENTIFICATION OF THE VULNERABLE PLAQUE

Early detection of a vulnerable plaque may allow either systemic treatment or treatment by LDD. Coronary angiography, however, provides limited information on plaque morphology and plaque rupture risk factors. Features of plaque morphology associated with increased plaque vulnerability, as defined by intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) include: eccentric plaque and plaque with significant degrees of echolucency, representing a lipid-rich core and a thin fibrous cap. Clinical studies with IVUS have identified a higher percentage of vulnerable plaques in ACS and two or more plaque ruptures in up to 79% of cases.

At present imaging modalities such as magnetic resonance imaging using gadolinium derivatives or ferrous oxide particles to detect plaque volume or macrophage intensity respectively, or computed tomography (CT) using liposomal iohexol to reflect plaque volume or ultrafast/electron beam capability to grade plaque calcium, remain a research tool. Imaging of vulnerable plaques, using infrared spectroscopy and intracoronary thermography, have demonstrated efficacy in detecting the increased inflammation and metabolic activation seen in an unstable plaque. A further method, “elastography”, works by ultrasound reverberation pattern of vascular tissue and can be combined with ultrasound imaging and thermography.

TREATMENT OF THE “VULNERABLE” PLAQUE

Oral

Lipid modifying agents

Statin treatment is established in treating atherosclerosis, and its role in the treatment of the ACS has come under increasing scrutiny. Angiographic studies of statin treatment on coronary artery plaque have shown modest reductions in plaque dimensions, referred to as plaque regression, with proportionately greater clinical benefits, suggesting a beneficial plaque stabilisation effect which is likely to be multifactorial with:

- diminution of the plaque lipid-rich core
- reduction in inflammation with decreased macrophage and foam cell formation and promotion of fibrous cap thickening
- improvements in endothelial function
- decreasing platelet reactivity.

Abbreviations: ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CRP, C reactive protein; GP, glycoprotein; HDL, high density lipoprotein; IVUS, intravascular ultrasound; LDD, local drug delivery; LDL, low density lipoprotein; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; MACE, major adverse cardiac event; M-CSF, macrophage colony stimulating factor; MMP, matrix degrading metalloproteinases; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; TMMP, tissue inhibitor of MMP; UFH, unfractionated heparin
Although improvements in endothelial function (with non-specific lipid lowering) can be almost instantaneous, reduction in the incidence of thrombotic events can take up to three months and reduction in the plaque lipid pool up to six months.

Animal studies of cholesterol reduction demonstrate changes in plaque structure including reduction of macrophage numbers and MMP-1 expression and increases in interstitial collagen content resulting in increased plaque stability. Clinical studies of statin treatment, utilising IVUS, have consistently demonstrated increases in hyperechogenicity index (suggesting an increase in fibrous tissue), reductions in the plaque lipid pool, but only modest reductions in plaque volume. These changes in plaque structure have translated into improved outcomes in both the MIRACL and the PACT studies of early cholesterol reduction in ACS, which are likely to relate to beneficial effects on plaque stabilisation, probably by improved endothelial function and possibly by reduced platelet thrombogenicity.

Other cholesterol reducing agents, such as nicotinic acid and ezetimibe, may improve plaque stabilisation by reducing the lipid pool and improving endothelial function, but whether this will extend to improved outcomes in ACS has yet to be established.

Treatments which raise high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, such as niacin and fibrates, also improve cardiovascular outcomes in high risk groups with low HDL cholesterol, either in combination with simvastatin, or in isolation.

**Antithrombotic agents**

An important part of the process leading to arterial thrombotic occlusion is exposure of the subendothelial extracellular matrix, which occurs with either deep plaque rupture or superficial plaque erosion. Exposure of sub-endothelial tissue introduces platelet adhesion molecules and tissue factors to the circulation, thereby activating the clotting cascade leading to the term atherothrombotic disease. Antithrombotic agents act mainly by reducing intravascular haemostasis, but may also have a direct passivating effect on the vascular wall.

Heparin, both unfractionated heparin (UFH) and low molecular heparin (LMWH), improves outcomes in ACS. The theoretical advantages of LMWH include a prolonged half life and a reduced incidence of thrombocytopenia, and the passivating effect on the vascular wall.

**Angiotesin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors**

ACE inhibitors may improve plaque stability by inhibiting the endothelial dysfunction and oxygen-free radical production caused by angiotensin, while also decreasing macrophage activity and inhibiting smooth muscle cell lipoperoxidase activity. Animal studies demonstrating reduced atherosclerosis with ACE inhibitors in non-hypertensive models have led to clinical studies showing improvements in endothelial function and coronary flow—surrogate markers of plaque stabilisation. Observational studies have demonstrated added benefit with ACE inhibitors in ACS out of proportion to the modest degree of blood pressure lowering seen, in patients without significant left ventricular systolic dysfunction, but at high cardiovascular risk. It could be postulated that this is either due to plaque stabilisation, perhaps in part due to up-regulation of type III collagen synthesis, or an anti-atherogenic effect as suggested by the HOPE study subgroup which demonstrated significant reduction in the rate of carotid intimal medial thickening.

**Calcium antagonists**

Calcium antagonists may stabilise plaques by interfering with lipid oxidation and reducing foam cell formation, with the significant increase in transmembrane calcium transport seen in ACS implying an anti-atherogenic role. Amlodipine significantly reduced the rate of carotid intimal medial thickening and reduced ACS admissions in a high cardiovascular risk group.

**Other oral agents**

Oral antioxidants may contribute to plaque stabilisation by inhibiting oxidation of low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and stabilising vascular reactivity—effects most predominant in lipid soluble antioxidants. Despite this studies have consistently failed to show a benefit, yet diets rich in antioxidants, such as the Mediterranean diet, reduce cardiovascular events, an effect possibly mediated by high concentrations of the n-3 class of essential fatty acids. Oral treatment with corticosteroids also improves clinical outcomes and reduces in-stent restenosis in patients with high CRP concentrations. Interestingly, oral administration of immunomodulatory agents (rapamycin) showed no beneficial effect on clinical and angiographic end points.

**Local delivery**

Despite the success of oral and intravenous treatment in stabilising the vulnerable plaque, systemic side effects remain an important consideration. In particular antithrombotic agents can be associated with significant bleeding problems. LDL allows the possibility of effective, directed treatment achieving higher site specific concentrations, with much lower doses of drugs, thereby virtually eliminating systemic side effects. LDL may therefore result in more rapid plaque stabilisation and passivation and in addition can be combined with PCI, by either adapted angioplasty catheters or by using stents as a delivery platform.

**Local catheter based delivery**

Catheter based delivery allows targeted drug treatment before or after PCI by specially designed catheters, which deliver the compound site specifically into the vessel wall by one of the following principles: (pressure mediated) diffusion; convection or direct injection via micro-needles. LDL of heparin in animal models has greater efficacy than systemic administration and comparable antiplatelet effect

In addition, as platelets promote the accumulation of inflammatory cells, the antiplatelet aggregation activity of Gp IIb/IIa inhibitors reduces plaque macrophage burden.
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Despite the success of oral and intravenous treatment in stabilising the vulnerable plaque, systemic side effects remain an important consideration. In particular antithrombotic agents can be associated with significant bleeding problems. LDL allows the possibility of effective, directed treatment achieving higher site specific concentrations, with much lower doses of drugs, thereby virtually eliminating systemic side effects. LDL may therefore result in more rapid plaque stabilisation and passivation and in addition can be combined with PCI, by either adapted angioplasty catheters or by using stents as a delivery platform.
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Catheter based delivery allows targeted drug treatment before or after PCI by specially designed catheters, which deliver the compound site specifically into the vessel wall by one of the following principles: (pressure mediated) diffusion; convection or direct injection via micro-needles. LDL of heparin in animal models has greater efficacy than systemic administration and comparable antiplatelet effect
to systemic Gp IIb/IIa inhibitors administration. The feasibility of delivering heparin, both UFH and LMWH, has been demonstrated in both an elective clinical setting and during primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction.36 26 Equivalent levels of in-stent thrombosis with LLD are seen, with lower bleeding complications.27 Yet although heparin inhibits smooth muscle growth and stimulates endothelial regeneration, with the exception of enoxaparin delivered by the Transport catheter,28 this has not translated into lasting clinical benefit in markers such as reduction of restenosis.

Stent based delivery
Heparin coated stents, where heparin is covalently bound to a catheter or stent platform with combined treatment of stabilisation and passivation may require targeted LLD via the commonly used medications in cardiovascular medicine is in the grey area between that assessment of vulnerability highly fibrous or calcified plaque with little or no lipid pool. It visible that when assessing the vulnerability of coronary plaque some qualifications and unanswered questions exist. It is clear that when assessing the vulnerability of coronary plaque some qualifications and unanswered questions exist.

CONCLUSION
Despite strong evidence for the “vulnerable plaque” concept, some qualifications and unanswered questions exist. It is clear that when assessing the vulnerability of coronary plaque there is a continuum of risk. At one end is the highly vulnerable plaque, with a large lipid-rich pool, a thin fibrous cap, and significant inflammation, and at the other the highly fibrous or calcified plaque with little or no lipid pool. It is in the grey area between that assessment of vulnerability and risk of rupture becomes more difficult. Although many of the commonly used medications in cardiovascular medicine have positive effects on long term plaque stabilisation, the time course of these changes is unclear. Acute plaque stabilisation and passivation may require targeted LLD via a catheter or stent platform with combined treatment of anti-thrombotic and anti-inflammatory/immunomodulating drugs. It seems reasonable to assume that a combined pharmacological approach with local and systemic administration will achieve both optimal early periprocedural efficacy and long term prophylactic efficacy for plaque passivation and stabilisation.
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