EDITORIAL

Is alcohol anti-inflammatory in the context of coronary heart disease?

M de Lorgeril, P Salen

Although the cardioprotective effect of alcohol has been primarily explained by its effect on blood lipids and platelets, could an anti-inflammatory mechanism be involved?

A number of human studies have reported a lower rate of cardiovascular disease among moderate alcohol drinkers than among abstainers. Even in very small amounts (one drink (approximately 12–15 g of pure ethanol) per week in certain studies), alcohol appears to be protective, which is quite difficult to explain through the “alcohol–lipid–haemostasis” theory. In fact, the protective effect of alcohol has been primarily explained by an effect on blood lipids (increase in high density lipoprotein (HDL) concentrations) and platelets (decreased aggregation) resulting in a reduced rate of coronary artery obstruction. Other mechanisms are probably involved. For instance, recent clinical studies have shown that moderate drinking may improve the early outcomes after acute myocardial infarction and prevent sudden cardiac death, suggesting a direct effect of ethanol on the ischaemic myocardium.

Another possibility, as suggested by the article by Zairis and colleagues in this issue of Heart, is that alcohol may protect against coronary heart disease (CHD) through an anti-inflammatory mechanism. Indeed, many scientists regard coronary atherosclerosis as a product of chronic arterial wall inflammation, and a growing body of evidence from animal and human studies indicate that ethanol has a direct and profound effect on inflammation. Furthermore, population based studies have shown that inflammation markers such as C reactive protein (CRP) predict future CHD complications even better than all other biomarkers, including low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol. However, in the absence of randomised trials aimed at reducing CRP, no cause–effect relation can be ascertained. CRP was shown to be predictive of postangioplasty restenosis, but statins (which were claimed to reduce CRP in several studies) failed to reduce restenosis rates. This example again underlines that a risk marker (or predictor) should not be assimilated to a causal factor.

Knowing that no prospective long term randomised trial with alcohol is feasible, the next question is whether there are biological data, experimental (animal) studies, or human studies to support the hypothesis that drinking alcohol may have an anti-inflammatory effect, accounting for its cardioprotective properties.

BIOLOGICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

In a recent review, Stewart summarised current knowledge in that field. As early as 1938, scientists reported that alcohol may inhibit leucocyte function. Later, in 1963, leucocyte motility was shown to be decreased by the presence of ethanol in donor blood, and throughout the 1970s a number of researchers continued to study the effects of ethanol on human cell lines involved in immune responses. Several laboratories also studied the effects of ethanol on a variety of inflammatory mediators in animal models. While most studies indicated an inhibitory effect of low dose ethanol on these mediators, including NF-κB and various cytokines, some showed that the interactions of ethanol with a diet high in unsaturated fats possibly led to an increased expression of proinflammatory mediators. It was also reported that free radicals and antioxidants may modify the effects of ethanol on these mediators.

However, it is important to remember that the vast majority of these studies dealt with high doses of ethanol, because the workers in question were usually looking for the toxic effect of heavy alcohol drinking rather than for the protective effect of moderate drinking. In addition, the molecular mechanisms by which ethanol may interfere with inflammation (or with the production of inflammation mediators) were not fully investigated; in particular, dose–effect relations were rarely examined. This is especially important, given the epidemiological evidence that the effects of moderate and heavy drinking are completely different as regards cardiovascular diseases.

In that context of different (beneficial versus deleterious) effects of ethanol, depending at least partly on dosage, some investigators have studied the effects of non-ethanolic components in certain alcoholic beverages such as red wine. The recent discovery that resveratrol, a natural stilbene derivative found in fruits, vegetables, and in high concentration in many red wines, is a natural antagonist of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor may be of interest because several
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HUMAN STUDIES

The in vivo effect of ethanol on cytokine production in humans has been assessed in a few studies. For instance, Mendall and colleagues reported that tumour necrosis factor (TNF-α) concentrations are lower in drinkers than in abstainers. In a randomised, diet controlled intervention study, Sierksma and colleagues studied the effect of four glasses (three glasses for women) of beer or alcohol-free beer (control) with dinner during two successive 3 week periods. The total diet was supplied to subjects who were apparently healthy. Plasma CRP and fibrinogen concentrations decreased by 33% and 12%, respectively (both p < 0.05) after the consumption of beer, as compared to alcohol-free beer (control). This suggests that only those with the lowest baseline CRP values would benefit from the anti-inflammatory (anti-CRP) effect of moderate ethanol drinking. Further studies with larger sample sizes and more homogeneous populations are therefore needed to confirm this point. Nonetheless, the results of the study by Zairis and colleagues in this issue of Heart actually are in line with that theory.

POPULATION BASED STUDIES

On the other hand, data are scarce about the influence of alcohol on inflammation in population based samples. Imhof and colleagues reported that tumour necrosis factor (TNF-α) concentrations are lower in drinkers than in abstainers. In a randomised, diet controlled intervention study, Sierksma and colleagues studied the effect of four glasses (three glasses for women) of beer or alcohol-free beer (control) with dinner during two successive 3 week periods. The total diet was supplied to subjects who were apparently healthy. Plasma CRP and fibrinogen concentrations decreased by 33% and 12%, respectively (both p < 0.05) after the consumption of beer, as compared to alcohol-free beer (control). This suggests that only those with the lowest baseline CRP values would benefit from the anti-inflammatory (anti-CRP) effect of moderate ethanol drinking. Further studies with larger sample sizes and more homogeneous populations are therefore needed to confirm this point. Nonetheless, the results of the study by Zairis and colleagues in this issue of Heart actually are in line with that theory.

CONCLUSIONS

The main limitation of the theory (proposed by Zairis and colleagues) that moderate ethanol drinking is cardioprotective through anti-CRP effects is that a risk marker (such as CRP) is not necessarily a causal factor, as discussed above in regard to CRP, statins, and post-angioplasty restenosis. Thus, this cross sectional survey is in line with the studies by Imhof and colleagues and Zairis and colleagues, and again supports the hypothesis that the cardioprotective effect of alcohol is (at least partly) mediated through an anti-inflammatory effect.
endothelial cells, monocytes–macrophages); at present, there is no evidence that CRP is a causal (or even a major) factor in that context. Further studies are obviously required to explore that intriguing (and appealing) theory.
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IMAGES IN CARDIOLOGY

Isolated commissural detachment of the aortic valve after minor trauma

A 38 year old male patient with a history of hypertension was sent to the emergency room for shortness of breath for two days. His history revealed only minor chest trauma two weeks previously. The diagnostic workup suggested severe aortic regurgitation caused by infective endocarditis.

Intraoperative transoesophageal echocardiography showed that the commissure between the right coronary and non-coronary cusp of the aortic valve was detached from the aortic wall, with resultant right coronary cusp prolapse and severe aortic regurgitation. Successful repair of the detached commissure of the aortic valve was done under hypothemic cardiopulmonary bypass. The patient was discharged uneventfully seven days after the operation.
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