Incidence and electrophysiological characteristics of spontaneous ventricular tachyarrhythmias in high risk coronary patients and prophylactic implantation of a defibrillator
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Objectives: To assess the incidence and electrophysiological characteristics of spontaneous ventricular tachyarrhythmias after implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) implantation for primary prevention.

Design: Prospective observational study.

Patients: 41 consecutive patients, who fulfilled MADIT ( multicenter automatic defibrillator implantation trial ) I criteria, except for suppressibility by procainamide, and who received a prophylactic ICD.

Interventions: Subpectoral implantation of an ICD.

Main outcome measures: Incidence of ventricular tachyarrhythmias and their electrophysiological characteristics with respect to timing of the arrhythmia, tachyarrhythmia cycle length, mode of termination, and clinical relevance.

Results: During a mean (SD) follow up of 30 (21) months 18 of 41 (43.9%) patients experienced 142 appropriate ICD treatments. The mean (SD) time to first event was 9.6 (15.1) months. One patient had ventricular fibrillation (VF), 12 patients ventricular tachycardia (VT), and five both VT and VF. The mean (SD) cycle length of monomorphic VT was 306 (42) ms. Of 142 episodes, 117 (82.3%) were terminated by antitachycardia pacing and another 25 (17.6%) by ICD discharges. Cumulative survival of hypothetical death, defined as treated VT with a cycle length < 260 ms or VF, was 83.2% after one year and 78.4% after two years.

Conclusions: Patients with a left ventricular ejection fraction < 35%, a history of myocardial infarction, non-sustained VT, and inducible VT/VF are at high risk of VT/VF early after implantation. Therefore, implantation of a tiered treatment defibrillator seems to be justified.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

During the study period 145 patients who fulfilled the MADIT inclusion criteria for programmed stimulation underwent programmed stimulation to test for inducible ventricular tachyarrhythmias. Forty one of 145 (28.2%) consecutive patients fulfilling all of the following criteria after induction of VT/ventricular fibrillation (VF) at programmed stimulation were then prospectively enrolled into the study:

- depressed left ventricular function (< 35%) assessed by left ventriculography
- prior history of myocardial infarction (> 1 month)
- documented non-sustained VT ≥ 3 consecutive beats (> 120 beats/min) during Holter monitoring
- inducible sustained monomorphic VT or VF at electrophysiological study (defined as a duration ≥ 30 seconds or requiring cardioversion because of haemodynamic compromise).

Abbreviations: AVID, antiarrhythmics versus implantable defibrillators; CASH, cardiac arrest study Hamburg; CIDS, Canadian implantable defibrillator study; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; MADIT, multicenter automatic defibrillator implantation trial; MUSTT, multicenter unsustained tachycardia trial; NYHA, New York Heart Association; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia
All patients underwent coronary angiography, echocardiography, and Holter ECG monitoring before implantation. Programmed stimulation was performed at both the right ventricular apex and the right ventricular outflow tract with up to three premature stimuli at three driving cycle lengths (600, 500, and 400 ms) with a 10 ms decrement of the last coupled extrastimulus and no interpulse cycle length less than 190 ms. Reinducibility was not assessed. In contrast to the original MADIT protocol polymorphic VT or VF was accepted, even if induced by three premature stimuli. When qualifying for ICD implantation, each patient gave written informed consent before ICD implantation. All patients underwent non-thoracotomy placement of the device. Twenty-one patients received a single and another 20 patients a dual chamber defibrillator. The device was placed in the left subpectoral region in all patients except for one. Devices were uniformly programmed to a two zone detection based on the information of the inducible VT or by programming empirical detection rates between 130–180 beats/min for the lowest VT detection boundary. Devices in all patients were programmed to primary antitachycardia pacing attempts followed by shock.

All devices provide extensive data log information and stored endocardial electrograms for further rhythm analysis. Stored endocardial electrograms were analysed by three independent observers to classify the arrhythmia leading to ICD treatment and to avoid inclusion of inappropriate treatments. Classification was based on the following:
- sudden onset of the arrhythmia
- rate stability
- ventriculoatrial association
- intracardiac morphology (polymorphic or monomorphic)
- rate (fast VT defined as cycle length < 260 ms)
- treatment success.

Patients were seen one month after ICD implantation and thereafter every three months routinely in the outpatient clinic. At each follow up visit all device parameters and thresholds were tested, the device data log was interrogated, and stored electrograms were reviewed.

Table 1 outlines patient demographics at the time of implantation. There were 38 men and three women. The mean (SD) age was 67.4 (8) years. The mean (SD) left ventricular ejection fraction was 27.2 (8)\%. Nineteen patients had a history of anterior myocardial infarction, 16 had a history of an inferior infarction, and six patients had a history of both inferior and anterior infarction. The mean (SD) time between the last myocardial infarction and device implantation was 101 (87.2) months (median 84 months). A left ventricular aneurysm was present in 21 patients and 54% of the patients had previously undergone coronary bypass grafting. Coronary angioplasty had been performed in 30% of all patients. Sixteen of 41 (39%) patients had a wide QRS complex defined as > 120 ms. Six patients were permanently paced in the ventricle. Twenty per cent of the patients were in New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class I, 51% in class II, and the remaining 29% in class III. Fifty nine per cent of the patients were taking β-blockers at the time of implantation and 69% at the last follow up. Nine of 41 patients received specific antiarrhythmic agents such as sotalol and amiodarone for concomitant atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter, 83% of the patients were treated with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, and 73% were treated with diuretics.

During programmed ventricular stimulation 39 patients had inducible VT and another two patients had inducible VF.

RESULTS

Incidence of ventricular tachyarrhythmias

All 41 patients were followed up over a mean (SD) of 30.2 (21) months after ICD implantation. No patient was lost to follow up. Two patients died during the observation period of non-cardiac causes, one of cancer and one of complicated stroke. Eighteen of the 41 patients (43.9%) received at least one appropriate ICD treatment during follow up: one patient experienced an episode of VF, another five patients had both monomorphic VT and fibrillation, and 12 patients presented with monomorphic VT (an example is shown in fig 1). Thus, 17 of 18 (94%) patients had monomorphic VT. Among all patients with any ventricular tachyarrhythmia six patients had one episode, nine patients had two to five episodes, and three patients had more than five episodes during follow up. A comparison between those patients with a non-paced QRS width > 120 ms and those with < 120 ms showed that patients with a wide QRS complex were more likely to be appropriately treated. Eleven of 16 (68.7%) patients with a wide QRS complex experienced a total of 127 VT/VF episodes during follow up. Seven of 19 (36.8%) patients with a QRS complex < 120 ms had 10 episodes during follow up. One of six patients with permanent ventricular pacing had five ventricular tachyarrhythmias.

Time to first event

The mean (SD) time to the first event of a ventricular tachyarrhythmia was 9.6 (15.1) months (median 2.5 months) after ICD implantation. Thirteen of 18 (72.2%) patients experienced the first event in the first 12 months. In eight of 18 (44.4%) patients the first event occurred during the first four weeks after implantation. Figure 2 shows the Kaplan-Meier curve for arrhythmia-free survival. The actuarial rate for freedom from any VT/VF was 68.3% and 58.5% after 12 months and 24 months, respectively. The actuarial rate for freedom from fast VT/VF rate was 83.2% and 78.4% at one and two years, respectively. The mean (SD) time from first documented VT/VF to last infarction was 155 (95) months in this patient population.

Characteristics of spontaneous ventricular tachyarrhythmic events

Of 142 spontaneous ventricular tachyarrhythmias, 131 (92.3%) were monomorphic VTs. Eight of 142 (5.6%) episodes were classified as VF and three episodes as polymorphic VT (2.1%). The mean (SD) cycle length of the

| Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the patients (n = 41) |
| Age (years) | 67.4 (8) |
| Male sex | 92% |
| NYHA functional class |
| I | 20% |
| II | 51% |
| III | 29% |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction (%) | 27.2 (7.6) |
| Myocardial infarction location |
| Anterior wall | 46% |
| Inferior wall | 39% |
| Anterior and inferior wall | 15% |
| >6 month lag between MI and ICD implantation | 88% |
| Atrial fibrillation | 29% |
| Coronary bypass surgery | 54% |
| Coronary angioplasty | 30% |
| Medication at last contact |
| Diuretics | 73% |
| ACE inhibitor | 83% |
| β Blockers | 69% |
| Digitalis | 75% |

Data are mean (SD) or percentages.

ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; MI, myocardial infarction; NYHA, New York Heart Association.
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documented VT was 306 (42) ms. No VTs had a cycle length of < 430 ms. Twenty four episodes had a cycle length between 430–320 ms. One hundred and seven episodes had a cycle length, 320 ms. Altogether, 55.6% (10/18) of the patients with a VT or VF event experienced fast VT (cycle length, 260 ms). The minimum time to treatment was 16 cycles or 2.5 seconds for all patients depending on the implanted device and on the manufacturer’s detection criterion for initial detection of VT. Antitachycardia pacing successfully treated 117 of 142 (82.3%) episodes. Defibrillator shock terminated 25 (17.6%) episodes.

The mean (SD) cycle length of induced VT was 264 (53) ms at programmed stimulation. Comparing the VT cycle length of spontaneous VT versus induced VT only two patients had a difference of < 30 ms between spontaneous and induced VT.

DISCUSSION
The role of ICDs in secondary prevention is now well established after the AVID (antiarrhythmics versus implantable defibrillators) trial, CIDS (Canadian implantable defibrillator study), and CASH (cardiac arrest study Hamburg).2–5 The usefulness of ICD treatment in the absence of documented VT/VF (primary prevention) is still under debate. The results of MADIT I have led to an extension of indications, introducing the ICD as a prophylactic treatment for patients with coronary artery disease, severely depressed left ventricular function, prior myocardial infarction, documented non-suppressible of ventricular tachyarrhythmias after intravenous procainamide has been a precondition for randomisation. In MADIT II, inducibility and suppressibility of VT/VF were no longer necessary inclusion criteria. Patients with severely depressed left ventricular function were shown to have a lower mortality when treated with ICDs.6 Although the results of MADIT I and MADIT II have not yet been confirmed by other studies, both indications have been incorporated into the guidelines for ICD implantation of the American Heart Association, which have been updated recently.7 In Germany, for example, the MADIT I criteria have been adopted by the guidelines in a modified way, but the MADIT II criteria have not been addressed by the German Cardiological Society and are defined only as a class IIa indication by the European Society of Cardiology.8 9 The main reason for this is the lack of confirming trials and clinical reports dealing with the actuarial risk of ventricular tachyarrhythmias in these selected patients.

There are four major findings in the present study. Firstly, there was a high incidence of spontaneous ventricular tachyarrhythmias; secondly, more than 90% of all episodes recorded during follow up were monomorphic VT; thirdly, there was a high incidence of fast VT (VT cycle length < 260 ms); and lastly, there was a long time interval between MI and the first VT/VF episode.

Incidence of VT/VF
In the present study the overall incidence of ventricular tachyarrhythmias after ICD implantation was 43.9%, which is close to that observed in patients who survived a sudden death event or presented with haemodynamically intolerated
VTs (secondary prevention). This high event rate is alarming, especially because these patients had so far been considered to have a low risk, and may, as now confirmed by MADIT II, lead to a drastic change in implantation practice. The results show, furthermore, that serial testing with antiarrhythmic drugs does not seem to be necessary to prove that these patients are at risk. The importance of inducibility itself, which seems to be questionable after MADIT II, however, is not yet clarified. When comparing the non-inducible registry patients with randomised inducible patients in MUSTT (multicenter unsustained tachycardia trial), it becomes obvious that patients with non-inducible VT had a significantly better outcome than conventionally treated patients with inducible ventricular tachyarrhythmias.

Incidence of fast VT and potential clinical benefit of treatment

One frequently used argument against implementation of the MADIT I or II criteria is that tachyarrhythmias treated by the device during follow up may not be life threatening. Furthermore, because of the short detection period of the devices for VT, detection may lead to overtreatment. However, the VT cycle length in the present study was shorter than 260 ms in 55.6% of the patients. It is very likely that VTs of more than 16 consecutive beats faster than 230 beats/min are “malignant” events. Böcker and colleagues have discussed the necessity of a surrogate end point of fast VT based on a cut off of 240 beats/min to assess the potential benefit of ICDs in their large patient series of ICD patients, who received an ICD for secondary prevention. When applying this surrogate end point of fast VT as a hypothetical death rate, the event-free rate after one year and 18 months in patients with coronary artery disease was 85% and 74%, respectively. In the present study the rate of freedom from death was comparable, at 83.2% after one year and 78.4% after two years, with the one year and 18 month rates in their study. In a larger study by the same authors of 353 patients with coronary artery disease they found rates of freedom from death of 78% after one year and 61.7% after three years.

Time interval between last MI and first VT/VF

Finally, one striking result of the present study was the long interval between the last documented myocardial infarction and the first VT/VF event after ICD implantation. The widely used argument that a patient who has survived many years after myocardial infarction without syncope or documented VT and VF even a long time after a myocardial infarction. In a larger study by the same authors of 353 patients with coronary artery disease they found rates of freedom from death of 78% after one year and 61.7% after three years.

Limitations

This study was not a randomised trial. However, because of its prospective design this observational study confirms the outcome data of MADIT I. Mortality was not an end point; however, the high rate of potentially life threatening arrhythmic events (VT and VF within 260 ms cycle length) may serve as a valuable surrogate end point.

Conclusions

Patients fulfilling modified MADIT I criteria should receive an ICD to prevent sudden death. There is a high incidence of VT and VF even a long time after a myocardial infarction. In the majority of patients the first arrhythmic event is
monomorphic VT. Polymorphic VT and primary VF seem to be rare.
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