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Diabetic patients are recognised as being at high risk of vascular complications in a variety of situations. Approximately 80% will die of a cardiovascular event. In recent years there has been increasing recognition of the diversity of mechanisms responsible for prevalence of adverse events, although there are still many aspects that are poorly understood. Coronary artery disease is the major cause of death among diabetics and tends to be more severe and diffuse in this group. The growth of the diabetic population combined with recent technological and pharmacological advances in both bypass surgery and angioplasty make choosing the optimum revascularisation strategy in this group one of the most challenging issues facing the cardiologist today.

The exponential relation between the risk of developing diabetes mellitus (DM) and increasing body mass index ensures that the incidence of type 2 DM will rapidly increase if the current trend in western countries of increasing weight each succeeding generation continues. This is particularly relevant to immigrant communities moving to cultures enjoying a higher standard of living; not only do they have a higher incidence of diabetes, but their growth in population tends to be proportionately greater than the growth in the indigenous population. This statistic suggests that DM will continue to consume an increasing proportion of medical resources, not least the provisions set aside for the treatment of coronary artery disease.

THE DIABETIC PROCESS

Not only do diabetics have a greater complexity and extent of vascular disease in general, but they also have the additional disadvantages of having multisystem dysfunction involving endothelium, platelets, and renal and neurological systems.

The primary defect in type 2 DM is not fully understood, but the pathophysiology driving the disease process can be divided into four areas: endothelial dysfunction, platelet and clotting abnormalities, lipid abnormalities, and the consequences of hyperglycaemia, including protein and collagen modifications. All four interact with each other to produce a cycle of progression affecting every organ system in the body. The consequence of this pathophysiological process on the coronary arterial vasculature is a tendency towards smaller calibre coronary vessels and a more severe diffuse type of coronary disease.

REVASCULARISATION IN DIABETICS WITH MULTIVESSEL DISEASE: THE STORY SO FAR

Nearly all of the data comparing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) in diabetic patients with multivessel disease relates to comparisons made in the late 1980s and 1990s. These studies tend to demonstrate increased rates of revascularisation in the PCI group but no difference in either survival, non-fatal myocardial infarction (MI) or cerebrovascular accident (CVA) (table 1). Given the advances in CABG and especially PCI over the past decade it is difficult to justify extrapolating these data to the present day. Additionally, all of the randomised trials comparing bypass surgery with angioplasty involved highly selected populations and this is particularly true when considering the subset of patients with diabetes. The percentage of patients with DM recruited into randomised trials varies from 6–19%, and the outcomes in sample populations recruited into trials may not accurately reflect the outcome of the general diabetic population.

Particular emphasis has been placed on the results of the BARI (bypass angioplasty revascularisation investigation) trial which completed in 1984 and involved 324 patients with DM. The five year mortality was 19.4% among 180 patients assigned to CABG and 34.5% among 173 patients assigned to PCI (p < 0.003). The large difference in mortality between the two arms strongly suggests that between 1988 and 1991 diabetics were better off with surgical revascularisation.

The clinical relevance of this analysis should be examined further. While these patients were not stratified in the randomisation process, their baseline characteristics were similar and crossover between arms was minimal. However, registry data reveals that only 16% of eligible patients were actually randomised. Furthermore the advantage of surgery over PCI was only seen in those patients who received a left internal mammary artery (LIMA) graft to the left anterior descending (LAD) artery (81% of the diabetics)—in the absence of a LIMA graft, PCI and CABG conferred equal benefit. The PCI arm also experienced a 10% rate of abrupt closure and 8% rate of emergency CABG, levels much higher than seen in current clinical practice. In addition, there was no assurance that diabetic control was maintained in the diabetics either in the initial in-hospital phase or during long term follow up, something that may have important implications for the outcome of revascularised diabetic patients. Lastly this trial was conducted in an era that preceded stenting and the adjunctive pharmacotherapy now available. Clinical developments would suggest that the BARI data have little relevance to current clinical practice.

Data from the more recent ARTS (arterial revascularisation therapies study) published in 2001 compared CABG to PCI and demonstrated that CABG was associated with a significantly lower rate of major adverse cardiac events, MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary angioplasty; RITA, randomised intervention of angina; TLR, target lesion revascularisation; TVR, target vessel revascularisation.

MINI-SYMPOSIUM

Abbreviations: BARI, bypass angioplasty revascularisation investigation; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft surgery; CABRI, coronary angioplasty versus bypass revascularisation; CREDI, clopidogrel for the reduction of events during observation; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; DES, drug eluting stents; DM, diabetes mellitus; EAST, Emory angioplasty versus surgery trial; EPIC, evaluation of 7E3 for the prevention of ischaemic complications; EPILOG, evaluation in PTCA to improve long-term outcome with abciximab GP IIb/IIIa blockade; EPISENT, evaluation of platelet IIb/IIIa inhibition for stenting; GABI, German angioplasty bypass surgery investigation; LAD, left anterior descending coronary artery; LIMA, left internal mammary artery; MASS, medicine angioplasty or surgery study; MAGE, major adverse cardiac events, MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary angioplasty; RITA, randomised intervention of angina; TLR, target lesion revascularisation; TVR, target vessel revascularisation.
multivessel PCI and stenting. In 1205 patients randomised there was no difference in the composite end point of death, MI or CVA (8.7% CABG v 9.4% PCI arm, p = ns) at one year; however, there were more repeat revascularisation procedures in the PCI arm (3.5% CABG v 16.8% PCI, p < 0.001). There were 208 diabetics included in the 1205 patients randomised. This group again was not stratified prospectively, but the diabetic patients in each arm (112 in the PCI, 96 in the CABG arm) had similar baseline characteristics. The rate of the combined end point of death/CVA/MI was similar in the two arms (12.5% CABG v 17% PCI, p = ns). The requirement for additional revascularisation was higher after PCI (3.1% CABG v 25% PCI, p < 0.01), but was reduced in both diabetic and non-diabetic subgroups compared to trials from the pre-stent era. These findings suggest an improvement in periprocedural complications compared to the earlier trials, but little change on the impact of restenosis.

**ADVANCES IN PCI TECHNOLOGY**

The benefits of intracoronary stenting are well established, with a reduction in restenosis rates and clinical events most pronounced in diabetics. Despite these improvements, the main limitation of PCI in diabetics continues to be the high rate of restenosis. Even in the stent era studies demonstrate DM to be an independent risk factor for restenosis, with restenosis rates following stent implantation in some studies in excess of 50% depending on lesion length and/or the diameter of the lumen.

**PLATELET INHIBITION**

The most significant and earliest development in pharmacological adjunctive treatment for PCI is platelet inhibition. The benefits were proven even in the pre-stent era. Since then the optimum regimen of aspirin and a thienopyridine has been implemented. Clopidogrel is now the thienopyridine of choice following safety concerns associated with the use of ticlopidine. Aspirin and clopidogrel work synergistically together to deliver enhanced inhibition of platelet aggregation.

It is accepted that patients who have undergone PCI should remain on aspirin long term. However, the optimum duration for thienopyridine treatment remains less well characterised. O’Neill and colleagues found no difference in outcome with long term thienopyridine treatment post-PCI. However, subset analysis shows a tendency in the diabetic subgroup towards improved survival, which was non-significant.

More recently the CREDO (clopidogrel for the reduction of events during observation) investigators examined the effect of long term (12 month) administration of clopidogrel post-PCI compared to one month. They found that long term thienopyridine treatment was associated with a 26.9% relative reduction in risk of the composite end point of death/MI or CVA. Approximately a quarter of patients included in the study were diabetic. Interestingly subgroup analysis of these patients showed the reduction in relative risk was less when compared to non-diabetics although this did not achieve statistical significance.

The EPIC, EPILOG, and EPISTENT trials all examined the efficacy of a periprocedural infusion of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor as adjunctive therapy in PCI. The EPILOG (evaluation in PTCA to improve long-term outcome with abciximab GP IIb/IIIa blockade) investigators compared the outcomes of 638 diabetic patients enrolled in the trial to non-diabetic patients. They found during hospitalisation a composite of death, MI or urgent revascularisation occurred in 7.1% of diabetics compared to 7.5% of non-diabetics. At six months the composite risk of death or MI was 8.8% for diabetics and 7.4% for non-diabetics. Treatment with the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor abciximab significantly reduced the composite end point of death or MI among both groups (0.28 and 0.47 at 30 days and 0.36 and 0.60 at six months for diabetics and non-diabetics, respectively).

In the more recent EPISTENT (evaluation of platelet IIb/IIIa inhibition for stenting) trial the benefit of stenting and adjunctive glycoprotein IIb/IIIa treatment was especially pronounced in the diabetic subgroup. Diabetics receiving abciximab had a 48% relative reduction in composite event rate of death, MI or target vessel revascularisation (TVR) (25% v 13%) with the benefit extending to one year follow up. Interestingly major adverse cardiac event (MACE) rates in the stent plus abciximab group for diabetics and non-diabetics were similar (18.6% v 20.5%) suggesting this combination of treatment abolished the excess risk associated with PCI in diabetics.

A pooled analysis of the three abciximab trials showed a reduction in one year mortality in the diabetic subgroup from 4.5% to 2.5% (p = 0.031) compared to 2.6% to 1.9% in non-diabetics (p = 0.1).14

**DRUG ELUTING STENTS IN DIABETICS**

Specific data for the diabetic subsets have been reported in the RAVEL (randomised study with the sirolimus-eluting velocity balloon-expandable stent) and the SIRIUS (sirolimus-eluting stent in de novo native coronary lesions) studies, using the Cypher sirolimus coated stent, and in Taxus IV using the Taxus paclitaxel coated stent.

In the RAVEL trial where there were relatively few diabetics recruited (n = 44), there was a dramatic difference in the primary end point of late luminal loss at six months (0.08 mm for the sirolimus group against 0.82 mm for the bare metal stent group, p < 0.0001). This is a sensitive measurement of post-PTCA intimal proliferation and probably provides the best index of the extent of restenosis.

Data from the SIRIUS investigators support the encouraging results from RAVEL. In the SIRIUS trial, which included a more complex patient population consisting of 26% diabetics (n = 279), small vessels and complex lesions (type B2 and C = 58.6%), the investigators reported consistent reductions in late loss and restenosis in the diabetic subgroup. At nine months 12.2% of diabetics treated with

### Table 1 Summary of trials comparing PCI with CABG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Total number of patients</th>
<th>Number of diabetics</th>
<th>Recruitment period</th>
<th>CABG superior to PCI in diabetics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BARI</td>
<td>1829</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>1988-1991</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABRI</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1988-1992</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1987-1990</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RITA</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1988-1991</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERACI</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1896-1991</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABI</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1996-1997</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTS</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1996-1998</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERACII</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1996-1998</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See footnote on first page for explanation of trial acronyms.
drug eluting stents (DES) reached the primary end point of target vessel failure (a composite of cardiac death, MI, or target vessel revascularisation) compared to 27.0% of patients receiving bare metal stents. The diabetic patient subgroup treated with DES showed an absolute reduction in in-segment restenosis from 50.3% to 17.6%. This treatment effect was large compared to the non-diabetic group, where the absolute reduction was smaller; from 31.2% to 6.1%. In this trial target lesion revascularisation (TLR) was driven by symptoms and not by the angiographic findings. At one year TLR rates were dramatically reduced in the DES group (20.0% v 4.9%). In the diabetic subgroup the TLR rate was 27.1% in the control group and 8.8% in the Cypher group.

Diabetics with small vessels (≤ 2.5 mm) and longer lesions (≥ 15 mm) demonstrated an unprecedented 43.1% (66.8% v 23.7%) absolute reduction of restenosis rates with 64.5% relative reduction. Patients at lower risk, like non-diabetics, with large vessels (≥ 3 mm in diameter) and short lesions (≤ 12 mm in length) presented a more modest 15.2% (18.6% v 3.4%) absolute reduction of restenosis, which still represents a high relative reduction of 81.7%.

In the more recently reported Taxus IV study comparing the paclitaxel eluting Taxus stent to an identical uncoated stent a broadly similar population were recruited: a total of 1326 patients with a quarter of patients being diabetic and 57% of patients received glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors. At nine month follow up there was no difference between the two groups with regard to the incidence of death or MI. However, TLR and TVR rates, as well as MACE and target vessel failure rates, were significantly lower in the Taxus arm of the study.

In the diabetic subgroup, the Taxus stent was associated with a 68% relative risk reduction in TLR rates compared to bare metal stenting (16.0% v 5.2%, respectively; p < 0.001). This is almost identical to the 69% relative reduction in TLR seen in the diabetic subgroup receiving DES in the SIRIUS study (22.9% v 7.2%). Although both studies recruited primarily patients from North America the absolute difference in TLR rates may indicate important and unidentified differences in the recruited populations. Nevertheless the threefold reduction in the TLR rate in the diabetic group compared to the non-diabetic group is impressive and makes a strong case for the routine use of coated stents in diabetics.

CURRENT STATUS

While there is growing evidence for the use of DES and platelet inhibitors in diabetics undergoing PCI, it is less clear how diabetic patients should be selected for PCI.

With recognised advances in the treatment of diabetics with PCI the question now arises: have these improvements reached the stage when PCI can challenge surgery as the optimal revascularisation strategy in multivessel diabetics?

To answer this question the CARDia (coronary artery revascularisation in diabetics) trial has been set up in the UK and Ireland. It is an investigator initiated study and is the first prospective study designed specifically to address the hypothesis: optimal PCI with stenting and absciximab is not inferior to up-to-date CABG as a revascularisation strategy for diabetics with multi-vessel or complex single vessel coronary disease (fig 1). The primary end point is the well established composite of death, non-fatal MI, or CVA at one year. Twenty one centres in the UK are a third of the way through recruiting 600 diabetic patients randomised to PCI or surgery, and a further group randomised to a bare metal versus Cypher stents. Recruitment is due to be completed in 2004.
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Silent right ventricular myocardial infarction: the Q wave never lies

A 78 year old patient was referred for a cardiology opinion following the incidental discovery of Q waves in leads III and aVF on a 12 lead ECG (upper panel). There was also ST segment depression in leads I, aVL, V5, and V6 and electrical evidence of left ventricular hypertrophy. There was no definite history suggestive of myocardial ischaemia and cardiovascular risk factors included previous smoking and hypertension. On examination the patient’s blood pressure was 182/88 mm Hg.

Transthoracic echocardiography showed preserved left ventricular (LV) and right ventricular (RV) systolic function with normal dimensions. In particular there was no inferior wall motion abnormality.

In order to resolve this conflict in information cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) was undertaken (Siemens Sonata 1.5T system with a phased array chest coil). LV function and dimensions were normal and no wall motion abnormality was present. However, the RV was hypokinetic with an ejection fraction of 43%. Delayed hyperenhancement imaging for myocardial infarction was performed 10 minutes post-intravenous contrast injection (0.1 mmol/kg gadolinium DTPA). This revealed an extensive transmural RV myocardial infarction as indicated by the arrows (lower panel).

CMR confirmed the diagnosis of isolated RV infarction and demonstrates that this may lead to chronic RV systolic impairment. Symptomatic isolated RV infarction is uncommon and the prevalence of silent RV ischaemia is unknown. Confirmation of the diagnosis of myocardial infarction is always of clinical importance and secondary prevention has now been advised with aspirin, a β blocker, an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, and a statin.
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