Benefit of glyceryl trinitrate on arterial stiffness is directly due to effects on peripheral arteries
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Objective: To determine how the vasodilator glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) alters arterial stiffness and improves left ventricular afterload.

Methods: Ascending aortic pressure waves were measured with fluid filled catheters of high fidelity in 50 patients undergoing cardiac surgery, before cardiopulmonary bypass, both before and after intravenous infusion of GTN. In all 50 patients, wave reflection was identifiable as a secondary boost to late systolic pressure, permitting the pressure wave to be separated into a primary component, attributable to left ventricular ejection and properties of the proximal aorta, and a secondary component, attributable to reflection of the primary wave from the peripheral vasculature.

Results: GTN infusion caused no change in amplitude of the primary wave (mean (SD) 0.0 (1.4) mm Hg, not significant) but substantial reduction (14.6 (9.6) mm Hg, p < 0.0001) in amplitude of the secondary reflected wave. Fall in mean pressure was attributable to a mix of arterial and venous dilatation, with relative contributions unable to be separated.

Conclusion: Favourable effects of GTN on arterial stiffness can be attributed to effects on peripheral muscular arteries, causing reduction in wave reflection. Results conform with previous invasive studies on vasodilator agents and their known effects on calibre and compliance of muscular arteries.

Increased death and disability rates from cardiovascular disease in older people is associated with, and attributable to, increased systolic and pulse pressure, causing greater load on the heart and greater tension in arterial walls. The prime cause of increased systolic and pulse pressure is increased stiffness of the aorta and central elastic arteries. Such awareness has led to efforts to develop drugs that may have specific effects on arterial stiffness and thus the potential to lower pulsatile pressure while maintaining mean pressure and normal perfusion of vital organs.

While beneficial effects on pulse pressure and arterial stiffness have been reported for different drugs there is no consensus on how these drugs act or on how their effects should be measured. Recent major publications have focused on compliance of the whole arterial tree for the advanced glycation end product cross link breaker AT71118 and on ascending aortic characteristic impedance for omapatrilat and other drugs. However, other recent and earlier studies had focused on the ability of nitrates, enzyme inhibitors to reduce wave reflection through an increase in calibre and distensibility of peripheral small arteries. Such studies were performed during cardiac catheterisation or non-invasively, with generation of central from peripheral waveforms by means of generalised transfer functions. The validity and relevance of these have been questioned.

The present study was undertaken to examine the effects of intravenously infused glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) on the amplitude of the reflected pressure wave and on central aortic pulse pressure recorded directly in a large group of patients with cardiovascular disease, before open heart surgery.

METHODS

Similar equipment and methods were used as in previous studies including anaesthetic agents and the matched fluid filled pressure systems. After the study was approved by the institution’s clinical research practices committee, written consent was obtained from 50 consecutive patients who were to undergo cardiac surgery. Patients were studied before being placed on cardiopulmonary bypass. Radial artery pressure was routinely established before induction of anaesthesia through a 20 gauge Teflon catheter. Recordings for this study were taken 60–90 minutes after anaesthesia had been established and after preparations for aortic cannulation had been completed. Ascending aortic pressure was recorded at the site prepared for bypass cannulation through a catheter identical to that used at the radial artery. Both catheters were attached to individual high pressure tubes 91.4 cm long. These had been attached to matched Transpac IV transducers (Abbott Critical Care Systems, Abbott Laboratories, North Chicago, Illinois, USA). Frequency response and damping coefficient were obtained by the flush method at the beginning and end of the recording period in each patient and were confirmed to have a natural frequency > 20 Hz and damping coefficient ≥ 0.2. All transducers were calibrated statically with a mercury manometer and maintained at the same level. Matching was confirmed as previously described.

After control recordings of aortic and radial pressure had been obtained, GTN was infused at an average dose of 6 μg/kg/min for 10–30 seconds, up to 16 μg/kg/min for 5–10 seconds, aiming at lowering systolic radial artery pressure to 100 mm Hg. Further recordings of aortic and radial pressures were taken at the peak of the GTN effect. Recordings were obtained simultaneously during a period of 2–5 minutes. Once the study was completed, the catheter was removed and the surgeon proceeded with the aortic cannulation. Data were recorded by a Siemens medical systems recorder with linear frequency response up to 500 Hz. Mean arterial pressure was obtained by electronic integration. Simultaneous recordings of aortic and radial pressure waves were analysed off line.

Paired aortic pressure waves over 10 second periods were compared before and after GTN infusion. Waveform types were assigned the labels of type A, B, or C by visual inspection.
according to Murgo et al.⁵ according to the amplitude of systolic pressure augmentation to pulse pressure.

The patient group comprised 42 men and eight women with ages ranging from 41–87 years. All but one (mitral valve disease) had coronary artery disease and were to undergo coronary artery bypass or valve repair surgery. All but three were being treated for hypertension. Table 1 presents the details of the 50 patients.

**Statistical analysis**

We used a paired two tailed t test to evaluate the effect of treatment on the aortic primary wave (amplitude from wave foot to first systolic wave shoulder, the primary component), aortic augmentation (amplitude from shoulder to wave peak, the secondary component), and delay of the wave foot between aortic and radial pulse. All data were expressed as mean (SD).

**RESULTS**

**Baseline**

Figure 1 shows typical radial and aortic pressure waves as recorded simultaneously before nitrate infusion. In 46 patients, the amplitude of the radial pressure wave was clearly greater than the aortic and the foot of the radial wave was delayed in relation to the foot of the aortic pressure wave in all 50 patients. Two localised distinct peaks, a first (P1) and second peak (P2), were seen in 45 aortic pressure waves but in only 21 of 50 of the radial pressure waves.

The aortic pressure wave showed P1, or the first component, as a shoulder on the ascending part of the wave with P2, or the second component, forming the apex of the wave. In contrast, in the radial artery the first component or P1 usually constituted the peak of the wave, with P2 when apparent, a late systolic shoulder on the falling limb of the wave before the incisure. According to the classification of Murgo et al.⁴⁸ 48 aortic control pulse waves were type A, in which \((P2 - P1) < (P2 - P0)\), where \(P0\) is the wave foot, was > 0.12, and only two were type B, in which \((P2 - P1) / (P2 - P0)\) ranged between 0.00–0.12. None were type C, in which \((P2 - P1) / (P2 - P0)\) was less than zero.

**Treatment**

GTN infusion led to a fall in arterial pressure but did not change the relations between aortic and radial systolic, diastolic, and mean pressures from those under control conditions (table 2). While the mean and diastolic pressure differences between the two sites were small, those for systolic, pulse pressure, and end systolic pressure were large (table 2), as under control conditions and as previously described.³⁶ ³⁷

GTN greatly changed the shape of the aortic and radial pressure waveforms. In the aortic pressure tracing, the main change was a decreased P2–P1 (fig 2) and in the radial tracing the second shoulder (P2), present in only 21 patients, disappeared in 18 and the location of the incisure became blurred.

The effect on aortic augmentation (P2–P1), primary wave (P1–P0), and PP with GTN was clear in all observations (table 3). P1–P0 did not change (28.7 (8.9) to 28.7 (9.0); fig 3, left), whereas P2–P1 was reduced to almost one third (23.0 (11.5) to 8.4 (7.5), \(p < 0.0001\); fig 3, right).

![Figure 1](http://heart.bmj.com/)
GTN infusion was associated with a delay in the foot of the radial compared with the aortic pulse wave (table 3), indicating a decrease in aortic to radial pulse wave velocity. However, the time from the aortic wave foot to the aortic systolic shoulder was not perceptibly increased (89 (11) ms before and 87 (12) ms after GTN). This has been taken as a measure of aortic pulse wave velocity and suggests no significant change in aortic stiffness, despite treatment with nitrate and a fall in aortic pressure. The foot of the reflected wave could not be identified with the same precision as the foot of the initial wave so that this interpretation needs be taken with great caution. Heart rate increased from 67 to 69 beats/min, with small changes in cycle length (889 (194) ms, p = 0.01 for both) and no change in diastolic period (569 (161) ms), with small changes in cycle length (889 (194) ms, p = 0.01 for both) and no change in diastolic period (569 (161) ms).

**DISCUSSION**

Results presented here are for a large series of patients studied at surgery before cardiopulmonary bypass and receiving GTN by intravenous infusion. Findings are in line with those previously published for smaller series of patients that we and others have studied at cardiac catheterisation included poor GTN absorption and tolerance. The predominant effect of nitrate was a substantial (63%) reduction in aortic systolic and pulse pressures. This has been taken as a delay in aortic to radial pulse wave velocity (indicated by changes in large amplitude of the initial aortic pressure wave (P2) without a change in the amplitude of the initial wave (P1–P0)). In this patient, heart rate changed little before and during GTN, so that a second beat also appeared to align. C, control; T, treatment with GTN.

**Table 2** Differences in aortic and radial pressures on insertion of the radial artery catheter (initial) under baseline conditions and after glyceryl trinitrate (GTN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>SAP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>DAP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>MAP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>PP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>ESP (mm Hg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>153 (19)</td>
<td>76 (12)</td>
<td>101 (12)</td>
<td>78 (19)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial</td>
<td>127.7 (15.0)</td>
<td>61.2 (8.8)</td>
<td>83.8 (9.8)</td>
<td>66.9 (13.8)</td>
<td>78.7 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic</td>
<td>114.5 (16.2)</td>
<td>62.3 (9.0)</td>
<td>84.8 (10.0)</td>
<td>52.1 (15.0)</td>
<td>90.5 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>13.2 (9.2)</td>
<td>-1.2 (2.5)</td>
<td>-1.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>14.8 (9.9)</td>
<td>-1.8 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0002*</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD).

DAP, diastolic aortic pressure; ESP, end systolic pressure; MAP, mean aortic pressure; NA, not applicable; PP, pulse pressure; SAP, systolic aortic pressure.

*Radial-aortic DAP and MAP differences, though statistically significant, were very small.
artery stiffness and thus overlook or dismiss wave reflection in the reduction of aortic systolic pressure and left ventricular load.

Despite the reality of wave travel and reflection, so apparent in the data presented here, many investigators still use a Windkessel model of the arterial system (in which wave reflection cannot exist) to explain disease and drug effects on arterial stiffness. Input impedance is the long acknowledged full description of hydraulic left ventricular load presented to the left ventricle by the vascular tree. This can be interpreted to show peripheral resistance (a measure of predominantly of arteriolar properties), characteristic impedance (a measure of proximal aortic stiffness), and wave reflection (to which all arterial properties contribute).

The present study describes the effects of nitrates on arterial stiffness and left ventricular load. Other vasodilator drugs appear to have similar but usually less potent effects on wave reflection and left ventricular load. For these, effects on wave reflection appear to be dominant and explicable on the basis of drug action on peripheral muscular rather than on central elastic arteries. Indeed, it is difficult to conceive how any vasodilator drug can have any effect on the disorganised and degenerate proximal aorta in older people with isolated systolic hypertension. In this study as in others there was no evidence of any effect on aortic characteristic impedance with GTN. The present study takes a new look at an old drug and shows substantial benefit, which is attributable to a change in properties of muscular conduit arteries. The benefits of nitrate can exceed those of many newer drugs in the management of diseases such as angina, heart failure, and even hypertension. Such benefits can be explained in large part from analysis of the pulse waveform as practised by the father of cardiology, and the founder of Heart, Sir James Mackenzie.

**Table 3** Aortic baseline–treatment differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>52.1 (14.9)</td>
<td>37.2 (11.7)</td>
<td>14.9 (8.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentation (P2−P1) (mm Hg)</td>
<td>23 (11.5)</td>
<td>8.4 (7.5)</td>
<td>14.6 (9.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1−P0 (mm Hg)</td>
<td>28.7 (8.9)</td>
<td>28.7 (9.0)</td>
<td>0.0 (1.4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-R delay (ms)</td>
<td>69.8 (13.5)</td>
<td>86.2 (14.7)</td>
<td>−16.4 (8.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD).

A-R, aortaloradial wave foot delay; NS, not significant; P0, wave foot; P1, first peak; P2, second peak.
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**Figure 3** (Left) Amplitude of P1−P0 in the ascending aorta before (left margin) and after GTN infusion (right margin) (n = 30). Mean values are denoted by filled circles and red lines. (Right) Amplitude of the augmented systolic pressure wave (P2−P1) in the ascending aorta before (left margin) and after infusion of GTN (right margin) (n = 50).
Interventional cardiology: it’s a hairy business

In our department several of the senior Interventional cardiologists have noticed the onset of hair loss affecting both lower limbs. The panel here shows, from left to right, a junior cardiologist and two senior Interventional cardiologists with pronounced atypical hair loss.

Dermatological advice suggested that the appearances are consistent with chronic occupational radiodermatitis.

Cardiac angiography is known to produce one of the largest radiation exposures of any occupational radiodermatitis. The fast flush test measures the dynamic relationship to pressure wave forms. Circulation 1980;62:105–16.
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