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While the potential for curative treatment of atrial fibrillation has generated much interest in the role of catheter ablation, its widespread use has been limited by a number of factors generated much interest in catheter ablation for AF. However, a number of factors have limited its widespread use. Initial enthusiasm was tempered by long procedure times and limited success rates, often with the need for repeat procedures. In addition, it became evident that the technique carried the threat of potentially life threatening complications, such as cardiac tamponade and embolic stroke. Success rates have improved with the evolution and development of techniques, but more extensive ablation has brought the spectre of new complications, such as atrio-oesophageal fistulae. The majority of studies report higher success rates in patients with paroxysmal, compared to persistent, AF. Technology in the field is rapidly advancing, and complication rates may be improved further by having better three dimensional anatomical information—for example, derived from computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging—and alternative ablation energy sources such as focused ultrasound.

EVOLUTION OF TECHNIQUE

The optimal method of catheter ablation for AF has been hotly debated. The initial technique was to identify and ablate the “culprit” focus within the pulmonary vein, but this approach was limited by the complication of pulmonary vein stenosis and the recognition that multiple veins were involved in the majority of patients. The technique evolved to aim for electrical isolation of the pulmonary veins, which can be achieved by segmental ablation guided by potentials from a multipole catheter positioned within the ostium of the vein. Around the same time, an alternative approach was developed, involving more extensive ablation of the left atrium, with wider circumferential lesions around the pulmonary veins, guided by sophisticated three dimensional electroanatomical mapping. This method alters not only the triggers, but also the substrate for the arrhythmia, and can include additional linear atrial lesions. The initial report of this technique suggested higher success rates than the electrophysiologically guided segmental pulmonary vein isolation. A randomised trial comparing the two methodologies in paroxysmal AF confirmed this improved outcome, with 88% of patients who underwent circumferential ablation free of AF at six months, compared to 67% of those who had segmental isolation. Similar findings were reported in a non-randomised study of consecutive patients, with 75% and 60% of patients free from AF following circumferential and segmental ablation, respectively.

LIMITATIONS OF CATHETER ABLATION FOR AF

The potential for curative treatment of the most common cardiac arrhythmia, with its association with significant morbidity and mortality, has...
two, and complete heart block in one. Again, the technique evolved during the study, from targeting only arrhythmogenic veins, to attempting to isolate all four pulmonary veins, and the addition of linear lesions in the atrium. These two studies have demonstrated that the technique of pulmonary vein isolation for AF can be introduced into experienced electrophysiology centres with promising results and a complication rate comparable to that reported in the wider literature.

"RATE VERSUS RHYTHM"

The benefits of maintaining sinus rhythm have been questioned by the “rate versus rhythm” debate, with evidence of possible harm from a pharmacologically based, rhythm control strategy. However, there is growing evidence that the maintenance of sinus rhythm following catheter ablation for AF can provide clinical and prognostic benefits. A non-randomised study examining outcome in 589 patients following catheter ablation for AF showed improved mortality, morbidity, and quality of life, compared to 582 medically treated patients. Indeed, the overall survival of ablated patients was no different to that of the general population, matched for age and sex. Additionally, a study of ablation in patients with congestive heart failure and atrial fibrillation, the majority of whom were in persistent AF, reported improvements in cardiac function, symptoms and quality of life.

CONCLUSIONS

Curative ablative treatment for AF is a clinical reality, but has its limitations, including failure rates of around 25%, recurrences with the need for repeat procedures, and the potential for serious complications. It demands high levels of expertise, including the transseptal puncture technique and skills in catheter manipulation in the left atrium. There is a requirement for trained support staff, and the procedure is facilitated by expensive modern technology. These considerations will restrict its use to specialist electrophysiology centres. As such, the technique is not currently applicable to the majority of patients with AF, many of whom are frail and elderly. However, it is now appropriate that catheter ablation for AF should be available to treat, and possibly cure, those many patients with troublesome AF in whom medical treatment has failed. This will require the expansion of electrophysiology services in many parts of the world. As techniques continue to evolve, and the understanding of this complex arrhythmia advances, the procedural success and safety may improve making it available to more of our patients.
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