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Objective: To examine the relation of arterial compliance to diastolic dysfunction in hypertensive patients with suspected diastolic heart failure (HF).

Patients: 70 medically treated hypertensive patients with exertional dyspnoea (40 women, mean (SD) age 58 (8) years) and 15 normotensive controls.

Main outcome measures: Mitral annular early diastolic velocity with tissue Doppler imaging and flow propagation velocity were used as linear measures of diastolic function. Arterial compliance was determined by the pulse pressure method.

Results: According to conventional Doppler echocardiography of transmitral and pulmonary venous flow, diastolic function was classified as normal in 33 patients and abnormal in 37 patients. Of those with diastolic dysfunction, 28 had mild (impaired relaxation) and nine had advanced (pseudonormal filling) dysfunction. Arterial compliance was highest in controls (mean (SD) 1.32 (0.58) ml/mm Hg) and became progressively lower in patients with hypertension and normal function (1.04 (0.37) ml/mm Hg), impaired relaxation (0.89 (0.42) ml/mm Hg), and pseudonormal filling (0.80 (0.45) ml/mm Hg, p = 0.011). In patients with diastolic dysfunction, arterial compliance was inversely related to age (p = 0.02), blood pressure (p < 0.001), and estimated filling pressures (p < 0.01) and directly related to diastolic function (p < 0.01). After adjustment for age, sex, body size, blood pressure, and ventricular hypertrophy, arterial compliance was independently predictive of diastolic dysfunction.

Conclusions: In hypertensive patients with exertional dyspnoea, progressively abnormal diastolic function is associated with reduced arterial compliance. Arterial compliance is an independent predictor of diastolic dysfunction in patients with hypertensive heart disease and should be considered a potential target for intervention in diastolic HF.

The association between arterial dysfunction and left ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction is well characterised, but many patients with hypertension have exercise limitation but normal systolic function, raising the question of whether this could be due to diastolic dysfunction. Possibly, this reflects a common aetiology of arterial and ventricular disease: risk factors for diastolic heart failure (HF) (hypertension, increasing age, and atherosclerosis) are also associated with a reduction in arterial compliance. However, abnormal arterial compliance may potentially contribute to the development of LV diastolic dysfunction through increased pulse pressure and LV afterload, which in turn promote LV hypertrophy and subendocardial ischaemia.

Indeed, reduced aortic distensibility has recently been reported in patients with diastolic HF and correlates with exercise limitation in these patients. However, the relation of total arterial compliance to diastolic dysfunction in hypertensive heart disease remains unclear. The objectives of the study were to examine the relation of arterial compliance to the stage of diastolic dysfunction in hypertensive patients with suspected diastolic HF, to determine whether arterial compliance is independently associated with abnormal myocardial function in these patients, and to assess the interaction of sex with arterial compliance and diastolic dysfunction in this population.

METHODS

Patients

We prospectively studied 85 ambulatory patients from the community: 70 patients (40 women, mean age 58 (8) years) with medically treated hypertension and exertional dyspnoea (New York Heart Association class II) and 15 normotensive controls. All patients were clinically stable, with none requiring admission to hospital. Participants were clinically assessed by a cardiologist followed by screening ECG and echocardiography. Only patients with normal resting LV systolic function (ejection fraction > 50% and LV end diastolic internal dimension index < 3.2 cm/m²) and without significant (more than mild) valve dysfunction were enrolled. Patients also underwent exercise ECG or exercise echocardiography under the Bruce protocol, with evidence of ischaemia defined by ischaemic ECG changes or a new wall motion abnormality during stress. Patients with evidence of ischaemic heart disease were not eligible for the study. Such evidence included a history suggestive of angina (as taken by a cardiologist), history of unstable angina or myocardial infarction, Q waves on ECG, regional wall motion abnormality on echocardiography, or evidence of ischaemia during stress testing. B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) was measured in a subgroup of the hypertensive patients (n = 64) by the Triage assay point of care system (Biosite Diagnostics, San Diego, California, USA) as previously described. Normal or abnormal diastolic function was identified on the basis of Doppler echocardiography (see below).

Echocardiography

A detailed two dimensional Doppler echocardiogram (Vivid Five, GE Vingmed, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) was recorded for all patients. M mode measurements of end diastolic and end systolic dimensions were used to calculate LV mass. Mitral Doppler was used to determine the presence of diastolic function abnormalities: mitral E wave, mitral A wave, and mitral E/A ratio. Flow propagation velocity was assessed for all patients.

Abbreviations: A, peak late diastolic transmitral velocity; BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; E, peak early diastolic transmitral velocity; Ea, peak early diastolic mitral annular velocity; HF, heart failure; LV, left ventricular; Vp, flow propagation velocity
diastolic LV wall thickness and cavity diameter were used for calculation of LV mass and indexed to body surface area or height. Relative wall thickness was defined by the sum of the septal and posterior wall thicknesses divided by the end diastolic dimension. Left atrial area was traced in an apical four chamber view. Comprehensive assessment of LV diastolic function included transmitral and pulmonary vein pulsed wave Doppler from an apical four chamber view. Transmural early (E) and late (A) diastolic velocities, E wave deceleration time, and A wave duration were successfully recorded for all patients. Systolic and diastolic velocities were measured in the right upper pulmonary vein, as were the velocity and duration of the atrial reversal wave. The A wave measured in the right upper pulmonary vein, as well as the A duration, was used to determine mean aortic pressure. Simultaneous gated signals from tonometry, pulsed wave Doppler, and the ECG were acquired simultaneously by an analogue to digital board (WaveBook 512, IOTech Inc, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) attached to a personal computer. Data were analysed by a custom program written in MATLAB 4.2c (The MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA). Between 20 and 30 cardiac cycles were acquired; depending on data quality, 4–15 specific cardiac cycles were chosen from the raw data set and averaged for analysis. The analysis program determined mean values for pressure and flow and calculated mean aortic pressure by means of the transfer function. Total arterial compliance was then derived from pressure and flow data. The intraobserver coefficient of variation was 1.7%.

### Statistical analysis
Continuous variables are presented as mean (SD). Group differences in continuous variables were assessed with unpaired Student’s t test or one way analysis of variance as appropriate. Subgroup differences were analysed post hoc by the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Correlations between continuous and categorical variables were assessed with Pearson or Spearman methods as appropriate. The independent predictors of arterial compliance and diastolic dysfunction were examined with backwards stepwise linear regression including univariate correlates after adjustment for co-linearity. In addition, multivariate models of diastolic function that included age and LV mass were also assessed. The significance of any interaction between arterial compliance and sex on diastolic function was assessed with an interaction term in a general

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Hypertensive patients</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>40 (57%)</td>
<td>10 (67%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>58 (8)</td>
<td>53 (6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>148 (21)</td>
<td>120 (11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>82 (10)</td>
<td>68 (5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.1 (5.4)</td>
<td>24.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidaemia or statin</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasodilator</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β Blocker</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretic</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass/body surface area (g/m²)</td>
<td>82 (18)</td>
<td>79 (12)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass (height²/g/m²²)</td>
<td>39.3 (10.1)</td>
<td>35.9 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative wall thickness</td>
<td>0.38 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrial area (cm²)</td>
<td>22.4 (4.3)</td>
<td>18.6 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD), number, or number (%). LV, left ventricular; NS, not significant.
RESULTS
Table 1 presents the characteristics of the study participants. Women slightly outnumbered men. Hypertensive patients were overweight compared with controls. In the group as a whole, diastolic blood pressure was better controlled than systolic pressure. The majority of patients were taking vasodilator medication, which consisted of a calcium channel blocker for 42 patients, an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor for six patients, and prazosin for two patients. Diuretic medication was either thiazide or loop diuretics, with no patients taking aldosterone antagonists. Relative wall thickness and LV mass indexed to height were increased in hypertensive patients, as was left atrial area. As a result of the increased body mass index in hypertensive patients, LV mass indexed to body surface area in this group was similar to that of controls. Among the 70 patients with hypertension, conventional Doppler echocardiography showed normal diastolic function in 33 patients and abnormal diastolic function in 37 patients (22 women). The majority of those with abnormal diastolic function had an impaired relaxation pattern (n = 28), whereas a pseudonormal LV filling profile was documented in nine patients. Table 2 presents the clinical and echocardiographic variables according to category of diastolic function.

Arterial compliance by category of diastolic function
Figure 1 compares arterial compliance between controls and hypertensive patients according to category of diastolic function. Arterial compliance was highest in controls and was progressively reduced in hypertensive patients with increasingly abnormal diastolic function (p = 0.011 by analysis of variance), being lowest in patients with advanced diastolic dysfunction. The Bonferroni correction detected significant differences in arterial compliance between controls and the impaired relaxation group (p = 0.019), and between controls and the pseudonormal group (p = 0.034).

Table 2 Clinical and echocardiographic variables according to category of diastolic function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>HT-NF</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>PN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>53 (6)</td>
<td>54 (8)</td>
<td>62 (5)</td>
<td>62 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men:women</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>15.18</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>30.0 (5.9)</td>
<td>30.2 (4.9)</td>
<td>30.1 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>120 (11)</td>
<td>142 (18)</td>
<td>142 (22)</td>
<td>153 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>68 (5)</td>
<td>82 (11)</td>
<td>81 (11)</td>
<td>82 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass/body surface area (g/m²)</td>
<td>79 (12)</td>
<td>81 (17)</td>
<td>80 (17)</td>
<td>91 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrial area (cm²)</td>
<td>18.6 (3.5)</td>
<td>22.1 (4.4)</td>
<td>22.0 (3.9)</td>
<td>24.7 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/A</td>
<td>1.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E deceleration time (ms)</td>
<td>202 (23)</td>
<td>202 (30)</td>
<td>270 (15)</td>
<td>197 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary vein A reversal (cm/s)</td>
<td>29 (3)</td>
<td>29 (3)</td>
<td>31 (5)</td>
<td>36 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal Sa (cm/s)</td>
<td>8.0 (0.9)</td>
<td>6.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>6.5 (1.5)</td>
<td>5.4 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral E (cm/s)</td>
<td>12.1 (2.0)</td>
<td>9.1 (1.5)</td>
<td>7.8 (1.7)</td>
<td>7.2 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vp (cm/s)</td>
<td>79 (18)</td>
<td>71 (25)</td>
<td>52 (20)</td>
<td>48 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Ea (cm/s)</td>
<td>5.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>8.1 (1.7)</td>
<td>8.2 (2.7)</td>
<td>10.8 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E:Vp</td>
<td>0.90 (0.22)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.42)</td>
<td>1.34 (0.60)</td>
<td>1.78 (0.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial compliance (ml/mm Hg)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.58)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD) or number.
A, peak late diastolic transmitral velocity; E, peak early diastolic transmitral velocity; Ea, peak early diastolic mitral annular velocity; HT NF, hypertension with normal diastolic function; IR, impaired relaxation filling pattern; PN, pseudonormal filling pattern; Sa, peak systolic mitral annular velocity; Vp, flow propagation velocity.

Table 3 Analysis of arterial compliance in all hypertensive patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Univariate correlate</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse pressure</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary vein A reversal</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal Sa</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal Ea</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Ea</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vp</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/Ea</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/Vp</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multivariate predictor</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body surface area</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse pressure</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal Sa</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vp</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide.
R² = 0.67.
Relation of arterial compliance to (top) pulse pressure and (bottom) lateral early diastolic mitral annular velocity in patients with diastolic dysfunction.

**Relations of arterial compliance in hypertensive patients**

Table 3 presents the univariate correlates of arterial compliance in the hypertensive population. As expected, arterial compliance was inversely associated with age and blood pressure, pulmonary venous A reversal velocity (a marker of LV compliance), and markers of LV filling pressure (E to lateral Ea ratio, E/Vp, and BNP). Conversely, arterial compliance was directly related to body size and echocardiographic parameters of systolic and diastolic function.

Reduced arterial compliance was also associated with female sex but was not related to body mass index or LV mass indexed to either height or body surface area.

Multivariate analysis confirmed arterial compliance to be independently related to body surface area, pulse pressure, and Vp in this population (table 3). The class of antihypertensive agent used was not related to arterial compliance.

**Relations of arterial compliance in patients with diastolic dysfunction**

In the subgroup of patients with diastolic dysfunction, arterial compliance was most strongly related to pulse pressure (fig 2). Arterial compliance was moderately correlated with both the lateral Ea (fig 2) and the septal systolic mitral annular velocity \((r = 0.42, p = 0.011)\). Arterial compliance was also directly related to sex \((r = 0.38, p = 0.020)\), height \((r = 0.41, p = 0.012)\), and Vp \((r = 0.27, p = 0.100)\) and inversely related to age \((r = -0.39, p = 0.017)\), systolic blood pressure \((r = -0.69, p < 0.001)\), and the ratio of E to lateral Ea \((r = -0.44, p = 0.006)\). A multivariate model of these variables (excluding co-linear variables) showed arterial compliance to be independently related to age, pulse pressure, and diastolic function (both lateral Ea and Vp) (table 4).

With lateral Ea used as a marker of global diastolic function, the univariate correlates of diastolic dysfunction were sex, body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressures, and arterial compliance. In multivariate analysis of these variables, the independent predictors of diastolic dysfunction were sex, diastolic blood pressure, and arterial compliance (table 5). Each of these parameters remained significantly related to Ea when body surface area was added to the model, which increased \(R^2\) to 0.57. Arterial compliance remained an independent predictor of diastolic dysfunction (\(\beta = 0.458, p = 0.001\)) when age and LV mass were included in the multivariate analysis. A second multivariate model examining Vp as a marker of global diastolic function gave similar results. In this model, Vp was independently associated with systolic blood pressure (\(p = 0.038\)) and arterial compliance (\(p = 0.009\)).

**Relation of sex to arterial compliance and diastolic function**

Table 6 details sex differences in clinical and echocardiographic variables for the 70 hypertensive patients. Despite being well matched for age, body mass index, and blood pressure, women had reductions in several parameters of diastolic function. Arterial compliance was significantly lower in women, including when indexed to height. Similar findings were present in the subgroup of patients with diastolic dysfunction. In this group, sex correlated significantly with both lateral Ea \((r = 0.33, p = 0.046)\) and arterial compliance \((r = 0.38, p = 0.020)\). In the multivariate model described above (table 5), which did not examine interactions, sex was independently related to lateral Ea after adjustment for other variables. In a separate model, the combination of sex and arterial compliance in an interaction term was highly significant (\(p = 0.001)\) and resulted in slightly greater predictive value for Ea (\(R^2 = 0.54)\).

**DISCUSSION**

The study shows that in a relatively homogeneous and ambulatory hypertensive population, progressively abnormal diastolic function is associated with lower arterial compliance. In patients with established diastolic dysfunction, arterial compliance is inversely related to age and blood pressure and directly related to LV diastolic function. However, diastolic function is known to be influenced by...
Arterial stiffness and diastolic dysfunction

The relation between arterial compliance and diastolic dysfunction may be particularly important with respect to hypertensive women, who have a higher prevalence of diastolic HF than do men. Experimental and clinical studies suggest that in response to increased afterload, women exhibit a greater degree of concentric remodelling, which as discussed is associated with both arterial stiffness and diastolic dysfunction. In addition, several studies have reported increased values of arterial stiffness in women. In the present study sex was associated with reduced Ea velocity and independently related to arterial compliance in hypertensive patients (table 3). After adjustment for other factors, female sex was independently predictive of diastolic dysfunction. In addition, the results indicate that an interaction between sex and arterial compliance may be important, such that arterial dysfunction may contribute to diastolic dysfunction in hypertensive women to a greater extent than in hypertensive men.

Aortic stiffness is an independent predictor of all cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with essential hypertension. Although the mechanisms of this increased risk are not fully understood, the promotion of diastolic HF (itself associated with increased risk) is one potential mechanism. Although a causal relation was not investigated in this study, several interacting factors including age, sex, blood pressure, and LV hypertrophy, all of which may potentially influence arterial compliance. In a multivariate model adjusting for these other variables, the study showed that arterial compliance was an independent predictor of LV diastolic dysfunction (as assessed by both Ea and Vp). In fact, arterial compliance and blood pressure were the only variables that were independently associated with diastolic dysfunction, consistent with a possible mechanistic link between arterial compliance and diastolic HF.

Several plausible pathways exist whereby arterial compliance may contribute to the pathological changes in the LV that form the substrate for diastolic dysfunction (fig 3). Increased stiffness of conduit arteries is associated with higher velocity of transmission of the pulse wave generated by LV ejection; early return of reflected waves that arrive back at the heart during LV systole may lead to augmentation of the central aortic pressure wave amplitude, thus increasing LV afterload and central pulse pressure. Increased afterload may promote myocyte hypertrophy and may also directly slow LV relaxation. The concomitant reduction in central diastolic blood pressure may compromise coronary perfusion, which, in association with myocyte hypertrophy and increased afterload, may exacerbate subendocardial ischaemia. This can further impair myocardial relaxation and promote interstitial fibrosis leading to reduced LV compliance. Indeed, increased ventricular stiffness in association with arterial stiffness has recently been shown in patients with overt diastolic HF, and interaction of these processes may be important in the clinical expression of HF. Furthermore, in patients with presumed diastolic HF (clinical HF with preserved ejection fraction), Hundley et al observed reduced proximal aortic distensibility, which correlated strongly with exercise intolerance.

Similar findings to those of the present study have recently been reported in a more heterogeneous group. In a population including normal subjects and patients with various conditions, Vinereanu et al showed that arterial stiffness was inversely related to long axis LV function (systolic and early diastolic mitral annular velocities) and Vp. These authors also showed that arterial stiffness was independently related to Ea and suggested a possible causal link through the promotion of subendocardial ischaemia. Previous studies in hypertensive patients have also reported an association between arterial stiffness and LV structural changes, including concentric remodelling and hypertrophy, which are themselves associated with diastolic dysfunction.

The relation between arterial compliance and diastolic dysfunction may be particularly important with respect to hypertensive women, who have a higher prevalence of diastolic HF than do men. Experimental and clinical studies suggest that in response to increased afterload, women exhibit a greater degree of concentric remodelling, which as discussed is associated with both arterial stiffness and diastolic dysfunction. In addition, several studies have reported increased values of arterial stiffness in women. In the present study sex was associated with reduced Ea velocity and independently related to arterial compliance in hypertensive patients (table 3). After adjustment for other factors, female sex was independently predictive of diastolic dysfunction. In addition, the results indicate that an interaction between sex and arterial compliance may be important, such that arterial dysfunction may contribute to diastolic dysfunction in hypertensive women to a greater extent than in hypertensive men.

Aortic stiffness is an independent predictor of all cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with essential hypertension. Although the mechanisms of this increased risk are not fully understood, the promotion of diastolic HF (itself associated with increased risk) is one potential mechanism. Although a causal relation was not investigated in this study,

### Table 6 Clinical and echocardiographic variables by sex in all hypertensive patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>57 (8)</td>
<td>59 (8)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>29.9 (4.7)</td>
<td>30.3 (5.9)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>146 (19)</td>
<td>151 (22)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>82 (8)</td>
<td>81 (12)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>63 (15)</td>
<td>70 (16)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass/body surface area (g/m²)</td>
<td>86 (20)</td>
<td>79 (15)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass/height² (g/m²⁻²)</td>
<td>39.3 (10.8)</td>
<td>39.6 (9.7)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative wall thickness</td>
<td>0.40 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrial area/height (cm²/m²)</td>
<td>13.8 (2.5)</td>
<td>13.1 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ea</td>
<td>1.12 (0.36)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary vein A reversal (cm/s)</td>
<td>29.1 (3.9)</td>
<td>31.8 (5.3)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal Sa (cm/s)</td>
<td>6.4 (1.5)</td>
<td>6.3 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Ea (cm/s)</td>
<td>8.8 (1.8)</td>
<td>8.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vp (cm/s)</td>
<td>64 (26)</td>
<td>57 (22)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E/lateral Ea</td>
<td>8.0 (2.1)</td>
<td>8.8 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial compliance (ml/mm Hg)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial compliance/height (ml/mm Hg/m²)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.52 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (SD).

---

**Figure 3** Pathophysiological pathways through which aortic stiffness may contribute to the development of diastolic dysfunction. DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
the independent association of increased arterial compliance with linear parameters of diastolic function supports a mechanistic link between these two pathophysiological entities in hypertensive patients with exertional dyspnoea who have diastolic dysfunction.

Conclusion
In hypertensive patients with exertional dyspnoea, arterial compliance is independently related to the degree of diastolic dysfunction and may be particularly important to the development of diastolic HF in women. Therefore, as arterial compliance (like LV diastolic dysfunction) may be modifiable, it should be considered a potential target for intervention in future studies of diastolic HF.
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