Evidence of gender bias when applying the new diagnostic criteria for myocardial infarction
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In 2000, the European Society of Cardiology and the American College of Cardiology presented new diagnostic criteria for myocardial infarction (MI) which placed a greater emphasis on cardiac markers by stating that any elevation in cardiac troponin T (cTnT) or cardiac troponin I (cTnI) above the 99th centile of normal was sufficient to diagnose a MI. Recent studies since then have shown that the wholesale adoption of these new criteria would lead to a substantial increase in MI incidence.

As a separate issue, it has been repeatedly shown that women diagnosed as having had an MI tend to be managed less aggressively and have a poorer prognosis compared to men. However, since women tend to present when they are older it has been disputed that age rather than sex bias may be the reason.

This study therefore aimed to establish the extent to which the new criteria for MI were being applied to patients admitted to a busy UK teaching hospital and to determine whether any age or sex bias may exist when making this diagnosis.

METHODS

Six thousand one hundred and seventy two samples of cTnT were collected during 5343 non-elective admissions on 4828 patients; 2505 were men, median age 66 years, interquartile range (IQR) 54–78 years, 2323 were women, median age 74 years, IQR 63–84 years, attending Hull and East Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust in 2002. These figures excluded patients with heart failure, pulmonary embolism, or with one other the set of notes could not be traced.

RESULTS

Of 561 recorded MIs during 2002 in patients with a full dataset, 521 (93%) had raised cTnT values. However, only 521/1304 (40%) of admissions with raised cTnT concentrations were discharged with a MI diagnosis. Furthermore, this comprised 326/713 (46%) of males and just 197/591 (33%) of females ($\chi^2 = 20.14$, p < 0.0001) (fig 1).

Multiple logistic regression showed sex to still be a separate predictor of MI diagnosis (female v male odds ratio 0.61, p < 0.0001) but patient age not so (p = 0.10), independently of a raised cTnT value (p < 0.0001).

DISCUSSION

In the hospital studied, the anticipated rise in MI incidence because of the new diagnostic criteria has yet to be fully realised since the majority of patients (60%) with raised cTnT values were not recorded as having had an infarct; this is despite a full calendar year having elapsed between the guidelines being published and the start of this study. Variation in the adoption of these criteria between centres is likely to lead to inconsistencies in the way many patients are treated or subsequently investigated, and may also result in inaccurate comparisons in clinical care standards between hospitals.

Until now, sex bias has only been identified in the management of females after a diagnosis of MI has already been made. This study has now provided the first evidence that women seem less likely to be diagnosed with an MI in the first place, despite a raised cTnT value being a completely objective finding available to the clinician.

The reasons for this bias must remain speculative. However, from this data, the finding appears to be independent of the older age at which the females were affected. Thus, other factors, such as the perception that women have a lower pre-test probability of infarction, must influence the clinician’s discharge decision.

In conclusion, this study has shown that the new diagnostic criteria for MI are not being applied methodically in the hospital studied, and that males with raised cTnT values were admitted to the hospital at a younger age and were more likely to be discharged with a MI diagnosis.
values are more likely to be discharged as having had an MI than females. Since we know that cTnT is at least as useful a prognostic indicator in women as in men,6 this lack of systematic use of new criteria appears to disadvantage females more than males.
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IMAGES IN CARDIOLOGY

Evolution of aortitis after cardiac catheterisation

A 65 year old man with severe aortic stenosis presented with high fever and chills, two weeks after cardiac catheterisation. Staphylococcus epidermidis was isolated in blood cultures. Transoesophageal echocardiography (TOE) revealed a large mobile vegetation, 13 mm in size, attached on the aortic wall above the origin of the left main coronary artery (panels A and B). The patient was managed with antibiotics according to the sensitivity of the microorganism but failed to improve.

On the fifth day of the treatment the patient suffered an acute cerebral embolic event with right sided hemiplegia, aphasia which was complicated with clinical signs of heart failure. A new TOE showed disappearance of the vegetation. An aortic abscess had been formed extending and infiltrating the aortic cusps (panel C) causing severe aortic regurgitation. Blood cultures continued to be positive.

Surgery was performed confirming the findings. During the operation a bileaflet mechanical prosthesis was inserted, because of technical difficulties for using an aortic composite graft. Four days after the operation a third TOE study showed signs of persisting inflammation at the affected region (panel D) with good function of the prosthesis. The patient died a few days later in sepsis and multiorgan failure.

This case shows the evolution of ascending aorta bacterial infection as assessed by TOE. Although the incidence of aortitis and/or endocarditis after cardiac catheterisation is extremely rare, this cannot be excluded in our patient.