Left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy may reflect physiological adaptation to an increased work load of the heart following intense physical training. However, LV hypertrophy often represents a pathophysiologic condition, and can develop due to intrinsic stimuli (cardiomyopathy), or secondary to extrinsic stimuli, such as pressure or volume overload accompanying hypertension and valvar disease (fig 1). Myocardial hypertrophy is also part of the remodelling process following an acute myocardial infarction, and is a common finding in patients with congestive heart failure caused by systolic and/or diastolic LV dysfunction.

The presence of LV hypertrophy is a strong independent risk factor for future cardiac events and all cause mortality. The risk for sudden cardiac death is increased in subjects with LV hypertrophy, independent of the aetiology, and this event occurs also in individuals with no or only mild prior symptoms related to cardiovascular disease. Intense animal and human research has identified some common features and provided a framework within which some crucial components can be explained. Thus, whether the focus is haemodynamic or electrophysiologic, there seems to be consensus as to the initiation of a fetal-like gene programme in myocardial hypertrophy. The insights into its consequences can be applied in the clinical management of individual patients.

During recent years, experts with different backgrounds within cardiovascular medicine have aimed to create a united picture of cardiac hypertrophy and sudden cardiac death, and to present it in such a way that it can be integrated and applied into clinical cardiovascular practice. This article is an attempt to pursue this ambition. We focus on LV hypertrophy in human hypertension as a model of cardiac hypertrophy, and myocardial hypertrophy as a condition with “reduced repolarisation reserve”, and thus a latent acquired long QT syndrome (LQTS). We will not cover aspects on hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, a topic which has already been addressed in an excellent contribution within this series, and will not attempt to discuss atrial fibrillation, for which recent excellent guidelines are available, although there are several important relations between these topics (fig 2).

**DEVELOPMENT OF LV HYPERTROPHY**

The myocardium has three morphological compartments: (1) the muscular compartment consisting of myocytes, the dominant cell type of the normal heart comprising ~30% of the myocardial cells and ~70% of cardiac tissue volume; (2) the interstitial compartment formed by fibroblasts and collagen; and (3) the vascular compartment with smooth muscle and endothelial cells. An increase in LV wall stress—for example, caused by hypertension induced increase in afterload—will stimulate myocyte hypertrophy, collagen formation and fibroblasts, and thus remodelling of the myocardium with a disproportionate increase in fibrous tissue. These changes will subsequently reduce LV compliance, leading to diastolic dysfunction. Structural changes of the coronary arteries and the increase in both interstitial myocardial fibrosis and in myocardial mass contribute to reduce the vascular coronary flow reserve. In addition, myocardial ischaemic episodes cause transient diastolic dysfunction (figs 3 and 4).

An increase in LV wall stress is the principal mechanical factor in the development of LV hypertrophy, and blood pressure the most powerful determinant of LV mass. However, some additional haemodynamic factors play important roles in the development and maintenance of LV hypertrophy (fig 5). Thus, volume overload also contributes importantly to the development of cardiac hypertrophy. Although the exact mechanism by which sodium intake influences LV mass is unclear, a high salt intake could expand intravascular volume and increase LV preload. Hypertrophy of the arterial resistance vessels with an increased peripheral vascular resistance is present in established hypertension. Also the carotid arteries and other large arteries show structural changes. These structural vascular changes with increased arterial stiffness lead to enhanced reflection of the arterial pulse wave, and the resulting increase in systolic blood pressure may promote the development of LV hypertrophy. While *diastolic blood pressure* is more
closely related to LV wall thickness probably reflecting pure pressure load, *systolic blood pressure* is more closely related to LV mass, suggesting an influence of both pressure and volume load. However, the modest correlation between blood pressure and LV mass suggests additional important factors.

Important non-haemodynamic factors for the development of LV hypertrophy include trophic influence mediated by the sympathetic nervous system and the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone (RAA) system. Noradrenaline (norepinephrine) and other substances with α1 adrenergic agonist activity have been shown to induce myocyte hypertrophy in vitro and in vivo. Recent results suggest that an increased cardiac sympathetic neurotransmission plays a part in the increase in LV mass in human hypertension. It is likely that direct haemodynamic effects from sympathetic augmentation in hypertension also contribute to the development of LV hypertrophy. Insulin has trophic effects, and raised levels of insulin and insulin resistance is more common in patients with cardiovascular disease, including hypertension. Although insulin may have peripheral haemodynamic actions that could increase afterload, it appears that it does not have a direct growth promoting effect on the myocardium.

Circulating plasma concentrations of aldosterone and angiotensin II are related to the extent of LV hypertrophy. Angiotensin II promotes myocyte cell growth, and aldosterone increases the collagen content and stimulates the development of myocardial fibrosis. Circumstantial evidence suggesting an important role for the RAA system is also the greater reduction in LV mass and of myocardial fibrosis by antihypertensive treatment with drugs that interfere with the actions of the RAA system. Furthermore, gene polymorphisms of various components of the RAA system also predict the response in LV mass to antihypertensive treatment.

Demographic determinants such as age, sex, race, and body size also play a role in the development of LV hypertrophy. Taken together, genetic as well as non-genetic influences on haemodynamic and non-haemodynamic factors seem to cause intracellular stimulation of protein synthesis ultimately influencing the development of LV hypertrophy, as summarised in fig 5.

**DIAGNOSIS OF LV HYPERTROPHY**

Although a physical examination might reveal signs of hypertension and LV hypertrophy by blood pressure measurement, auscultation of an augmented S2 (A2), and palpation of a broad and/or laterally displaced cardiac impulse (“thrusting apex”) ECG and echocardiography are the most prominent diagnostic tools. ECG is a readily available and fairly simple diagnostic procedure. An increased load of the left atrium will be reflected in a negative P wave in the precordial leads. Large QRS amplitudes with deep S in the anterior leads and high R in the lateral leads reflect an enlarged LV diameter. A prolonged ventricular activation time—that is, a wide QRS complex—as well as ST–T changes reflect altered myocardial depolarisation and repolarisation, respectively. Furthermore, it appears that an anterolateral fascicular block (previously
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**Figure 1** Left ventricular hypertrophy, a condition with variable background. AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CMP, cardiomyopathy.

**Figure 2** Common and often co-existing cardiovascular conditions with a risk for adverse events including sudden death.
“hemi-block”) in hypertensive patients is suggestive of LV hypertrophy. Various combinations of criteria have been suggested to identify LV hypertrophy by the ECG. While the specificity for LV hypertrophy is high (90% or greater), the sensitivity is low (20–40%), although more recent criteria may yield higher diagnostic accuracy.  

Echocardiography can provide relatively accurate measurements of the intraventricular septum, the posterior LV wall thickness, and the LV diameter in diastole. With the appropriate mathematical formulas these measurements can be used to calculate LV mass. Most commonly this is done according to the Penn convention. Because LV mass is related to body size, an indexation of LV mass to body size is important. Most often this is done by dividing with the body surface area. This may, however, misclassify obesity induced LV hypertrophy as normal, and indexing LV mass to its allometric power (that is, LV mass/height$^{2.7}$; g/m$^{2.7}$) would be a preferred approach in this case. The presence of LV hypertrophy is often considered when LV mass $>116$ g/m$^2$ for men and $>104$ g/m$^2$ for women, or $>125$ g/m$^2$ for men and women, or $>51$ g/m$^{2.7}$ for men and women.

Other partition values have been proposed, and were generally higher in earlier investigations.

Echocardiographic assessment of LV hypertrophy has a high specificity and sensitivity (both $\geq 80$%). Echocardiography can also provide important additional information, such as other reasons for LV hypertrophy (for example valvar disease, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy), LV geometric pattern, and information on systolic and diastolic function. Recent development in echocardiographic techniques such as
tissue velocity imaging appear to be more sensitive than traditional Doppler echocardiography to detect early signs of hypertensive heart disease such as systolic or diastolic dysfunction. New three dimensional imaging techniques, including magnetic resonance imaging, advanced computed tomography techniques and three dimensional echocardiography, can measure myocardial mass more accurately than conventional echocardiographic techniques. This may offer advantages, especially in relation to mechanistic studies, but may also give additional information on myocardial fibrosis and other structural alterations.

The prevalence of LV hypertrophy increases with the severity of hypertensive disease. By and large, one third to one half of hypertensive patients have LV hypertrophy. Obviously, the partition values used for the definition of an abnormal LV mass and the diagnostic procedures are critical. The actual prevalence of structural changes of the myocardium in hypertension may, however, be higher.\textsuperscript{1,8,18}

There are four distinct patterns of LV geometry in hypertension based on the relation between the cavity size and the wall thickness—that is, relative wall thickness\textsuperscript{19} (fig 6).

**LV HYPERTROPHY AS A RISK FACTOR**

Myocardial hypertrophy established by ECG or by echocardiography is a strong and independent risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity (including congestive heart failure, coronary heart disease, atrial fibrillation, supraventricular and ventricular arrhythmias, and stroke) and mortality, as well as for all cause mortality in the general population, in hypertensive patients, and in those with coronary artery disease.\textsuperscript{1,20} There is a two- to threefold increased risk for having a non-fatal or fatal cardiovascular event in the presence of LV hypertrophy.\textsuperscript{1,20} It appears that LV hypertrophy is a stronger risk factor than blood pressure, smoking, or cholesterol, and in multivariate analyses only age and LV
hypertrophy remain independent prognostic factors. Of note, the risk for an event is lowest in hypertensive patients with normal LV geometry, followed by those with concentric remodelling, those with eccentric LV hypertrophy, and is highest among those with concentric LV hypertrophy. Regression of LV hypertrophy by antihypertensive treatment reduces cardiovascular morbidity and mortality and thus improves prognosis. These results, based on echocardiographic evaluation of LV hypertrophy, are consistent with earlier observations using serial assessment of ECG.

Several mechanisms contribute to the increased risk for a cardiac event in the hypertensive patient. First, the development of LV hypertrophy and myocardial fibrosis leads to LV diastolic dysfunction, an important factor in the evolution of congestive heart failure. Second, hypertension promotes the development of coronary artery atherosclerosis, which increases the risk for an acute myocardial infarction, with subsequent systolic dysfunction and congestive heart failure. Indeed, hypertension remains the most important aetiology for the development of congestive heart failure, followed by ischaemic heart disease. In addition, the increase in arterial stiffness and subsequent afterload caused by atherosclerotic disease augments myocardial oxygen demand and can cause myocardial ischaemia. Furthermore, an increased myocardial mass and interstitial myocardial fibrosis is associated with a reduced coronary flow reserve. Consequently, not only is there an increased risk for myocardial ischaemia, but the tolerability is impaired. Thus, intermittent myocardial ischaemia, with transient diastolic dysfunction, may lead to myocardial scarring and systolic LV dysfunction, and also promote potentially malignant arrhythmias. Importantly there is also an increased risk for atrial fibrillation, an important risk factor for congestive heart failure and thromboembolic cardiovascular complications.

ARRHYTHMOGENESIS IN LV HYPERTROPHY

A strong chain of evidence for the mechanisms behind ventricular arrhythmia in myocardial hypertrophy has been established. There is also a strong link between ventricular arrhythmias and congestive heart failure. Thus, a relation between prolongation and heterogeneity (dispersion) of repolarisation and polymorphic ventricular arrhythmia, especially torsade de pointe ventricular tachycardia, has been established. Of interest is that this pattern is typical also in the congenital LQTS, and was recently found to be predictive of sudden death in post-myocardial infarction patients. This suggests electrophysiological similarities between hypertensive LV hypertrophy and other conditions associated with proarrhythmic potential, such as...
the LQTS, all of which are related to polymorphic ventricular tachycardia.

The repolarisation time and its dispersion are related to the degree of LV hypertrophy. Antihypertensive treatment reduces LV mass.\textsuperscript{w30–32} Furthermore, it reduces both repolarisation time and its dispersion,\textsuperscript{w24–27} the incidence and severity of ventricular arrhythmia,\textsuperscript{w18} and the risk for cardiovascular events.\textsuperscript{w11} As discussed, the RAA system plays an important role for the control of LV mass and structure. Consequently, recent interest has focused on angiotensin II type 1 receptor blockade (ARB), because this class of drugs might interact with several possible arrhythmogenic mechanistic factors induced by angiotensin II acting on its principal receptor, the type 1 receptor (fig 7).

Animal studies have shown important arrhythmogenic effects of angiotensin II on the cardiac conduction system, which has a high density of angiotensin II type 1 receptors. Angiotensin II reduces intracellular resistance, decreases conduction velocity, and shortens the refractory period in cardiac myocytes.\textsuperscript{w35–36} Local application of angiotensin II to the heart causes increased dispersion of the action potential durations.\textsuperscript{w36} In addition, it has been shown in a rat model of eccentric LV hypertrophy induced by aortic banding, that ARB prevents the development of disorganised cell-to-cell connections, as assessed by the distribution of gap junction protein Cx 43.\textsuperscript{w37} Angiotensin II can facilitate noradrenaline release by activation of prejunctional angiotensin II type 1 receptors.\textsuperscript{w38} Abnormal myocardial fibrosis is a common finding in LV hypertrophy,\textsuperscript{w39} but is reduced by drugs that block the actions of the RAA system,\textsuperscript{w11} which thereby may reduce the risk for malignant arrhythmia and sudden death. Also, regression of structural vascular changes that causes a reduced coronary flow reserve\textsuperscript{w41} could probably contribute to reduce the risk of arrhythmias. Finally, animal data provide intriguing results, since not only stretch induced by increased afterload but also stretch induced by sudden increases in preload might provoke arrhythmias.\textsuperscript{w42} This is of potential interest in the clinical setting of hypertension and eccentric LV hypertrophy, in which an increase in preload often is present.

**CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS**

Torsades de pointes ventricular tachycardias are uncommon both in the congenital and acquired forms of LQTS. Furthermore, these arrhythmias are potentially life threatening, and difficult to predict on the individual level, although risk factors have been identified. Therefore prevention is of utmost importance, which requires knowledge about risk conditions—that is, about conditions with a “reduced repolarisation reserve”—and about factors influencing ventricular repolarisation. Anything that might add risk, such as hypokalaemia and bradycardia, should be avoided. Furthermore, because the arrhythmia risk is so closely related to structure, imaging techniques should be applied for proper identification of risk individuals, and treatment that can reverse myocardial hypertrophy should be applied. New drug classes interacting with the RAA system such as angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, ARBs, and aldosterone receptor antagonists could be the beginning of a new era of antiarrhythmic drug treatment. We may see the focus shift from the more secondary electrophysiological aspects and their treatment to the arrhythmia substrate itself and to
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Figure 7 Some angiotensin II related effects of relevance for arrhythmogenesis. See text for details and references. NA, noradrenaline/norepinephrine.
reversing structural remodelling—similar to what has been suggested for the treatment of atrial fibrillation, for example.16 W43
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