In-hospital time to treatment of patients with acute ST elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary angioplasty: determinants and outcome. Results from the registry of percutaneous coronary interventions in acute myocardial infarction of the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Leitender Kardiologischer Krankenhausärzte


Objective: To determine the predictors of time between presentation and primary angioplasty and the influence of this delay time on in-hospital mortality in clinical practice.

Design: Analysis of data from the registry of percutaneous coronary interventions in acute myocardial infarction of the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Leitender Kardiologischer Krankenhausärzte (ALKK).

Patients: Data of 4815 patients registered at 80 hospitals between 1994 and 2000 were analysed.

Results: Mean age of the patients was 61.4 (12.5) years. Cardiogenic shock was present in 14.1%. Mean time from admission to primary angioplasty (“door to angiography” time) was 83 (122) minutes. Logistic regression analysis showed the presence of a bundle branch block (odds ratio (OR) 1.95, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.15 to 3.29), prior coronary artery bypass grafting (OR 1.67, 95% CI 1.08 to 2.59), pre-hospital delay > 3 hours (OR 1.61, 95% CI 1.37 to 1.89), and female sex (OR 1.21, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.45) to be independently associated with longer door to angiography times, whereas a higher hospital volume of performing primary angioplasty (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.62) and the year of the investigation (OR 0.96, 95% CI 0.92 to 1.00) were independently associated with shorter door to angiography times. Independent predictors of in-hospital mortality were cardiogenic shock (41.6% v 4.0% without cardiogenic shock, p < 0.0001), technical success (29.2% with TIMI (thrombolysis in myocardial infarction) flow 3 v 6.5% with TIMI flow 3, p < 0.0001), age (16.5% > 70 years v 6.6% < 70, p < 0.0001), three vessel disease (16.5% v 6.8% with < 3 vessel disease, p < 0.0001), anterior location of infarction (12% v 7.4% without anterior infarction, p < 0.0001), year of inclusion (adjusted OR 0.92 per year, p = 0.011), and volume of primary angioplasty at the hospital (11% for < 20 angioplasty procedures/year v 8.3% for ≥ 20/year, p = 0.027) but not the door to angiography time (adjusted OR 1.14 per tertile, p = 0.397).

Conclusions: In current clinical practice in Germany median door to angiography time is quite short (83 (122) minutes). Some patients and hospital factors are independently associated with a longer door to angiography time. Within the observed short in-hospital delays door to angiography time did not influence in-hospital mortality. However, efforts to keep them as short as possible should be continued.

n patients with acute ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) reperfusion with primary angioplasty is superior to intravenous thrombolysis regarding in-hospital mortality, repeat infarctions, strokes, and cerebral bleeding. This difference becomes more pronounced with increasing time from symptom onset to start of reperfusion.

For thrombolysis a clear relation between mortality and time delay between symptom onset and the initiation of thrombolysis has been shown. In contrast, data concerning the influence of time to treatment with primary angioplasty are conflicting. Most studies have suggested that treatment with primary angioplasty may not be as time dependent as treatment with thrombolysis. This difference between primary angioplasty and thrombolysis may result from differences in the time dependent effect on achieving TIMI (thrombolysis in myocardial infarction) grade 3 flow. In the case of primary angioplasty there seems to be no major effect of time to treatment on the rate of TIMI grade 3 flow.

However, in the case of thrombolysis, longer times to treatment are associated with lower patency rates. This is more pronounced for streptokinase and for urokinase than for tissue plasminogen activator, but tissue plasminogen activator also becomes less effective with longer times to treatment.

An analysis of the NRMI-2 (second national registry of myocardial infarction) data showed, however, that if the treating physician or hospital delays the start of primary angioplasty while the patient is already in the hospital, which
is the case with long times from hospital admission until the
beginning of primary angioplasty ("door to angiography"
time), then longer delays are associated with increasing
mortality.14 In contrast a recent analysis of the Zwolle
database did not confirm these findings.14
To investigate the predictors of door to angiography
times and their influence on primary angioplasty on in-
hospital mortality in clinical practice, we analysed data
from the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Leitender Kardiologischer
Krankenhausärzte (ALKK) registry of percutaneous coronary
interventions (PCI) in acute myocardial infarction (AMI).

METHODS
The ALKK registry of PCI in AMI
The PCI registry of the ALKK contains all PCI procedures
from 80 centres in Germany since October 1992. Details of
the organisation of the registry have been published previously.17 In brief, all interventions were prospectively
registered by telephone or fax to the coordinating centre in
Kassel, Germany. All complications occurring in the catheter
room and during the hospital stay were prospectively
documented.

From July 1994 until the end of 2000 a subregistry was
opened of all PCI procedures in AMI within 24 hours after
symptom onset in more detail than in the general registry.18
Only the procedures from this subregistry were analysed for
this report. All data were collected prospectively and analysed
centraly.

Definitions
STEMI was diagnosed in the presence of the two following
criteria: persistent angina pectoris for $\geq 20$ minutes and ST
segment elevation of $\geq 1$ mm in at least two standard leads
or $\geq 2$ mm in at least two contiguous precordial leads or the
presence of a left bundle branch block. It was later confirmed
by the increase of cardiac enzymes of $> 3$ times the normal
upper range. Pre-hospital delay was defined as the time from
the onset of symptoms until hospital admission. In-hospital
delay (door to angiography time) was defined as the time
from admission to the hospital until the start of primary
angioplasty (angiographic needle entry). Angioplasty was
performed according to the standard protocol of each centre.
The physician performing the intervention evaluated the
success of primary angioplasty according to the TIMI flow
grade.13

Patient selection
All patients undergoing PCI for STEMI within 12 hours after
symptom onset were considered for this analysis. Patients
were excluded from this analysis if pre-hospital delay was
longer than 12 hours, if patients were treated with
thrombolysis before PCI, if patients had a non-STEMI, or if
patients were transferred from other hospitals for primary
angioplasty (fig 1). Transferred patients were not included
because recording of time intervals started with the patient’s
admission at the PCI centre and not at the primary hospital.

Statistical analysis
Absolute numbers, percentages, and mean (SD) were
computed to describe the patient population. Categorical
values were compared by $\chi^2$ analysis. Continuous variables
were compared by analysis of variance. Door to angiography
times were divided into tertiles to investigate angiographic
success and in-hospital mortality according to the door to
angiography time. Multiple logistic regression analysis was
used to adjust for factors influencing door to angiography
times and in-hospital mortality. The following variables
were examined in the model of door to angiography times: age,
sex, location of infarction, cardiogenic shock, previous
coronary bypass surgery, presence of a bundle branch block,
pre-hospital delay, volume of primary angioplasty at each
hospital, and the year of inclusion. The following variables
were examined in the model of in-hospital mortality: age,
sex, location of infarction, cardiogenic shock, previous
coronary bypass surgery, presence of a bundle branch block,
volume of primary angioplasty at each hospital, year of
inclusion, technical success (TIMI 3 flow after primary
angioplasty), and tertiles of the door to angiography time.
Pre-hospital delay was not included in this model because it
was not associated with mortality in univariate analysis.
Further logistic regression analyses regarding mortality were
done separately for patients with and without cardiogenic
shock and after exclusion of technical success from the
model. We also compared the mortality rates of patients with
a door to angiography time $< 120$ minutes with those $\geq 120$
minutes. All $p$ values are results of two tailed tests. All
statistical calculations were made with the CSS STATISTICA
software package from StatSoft, Inc (Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA).

Figure 2 Distribution of door to angiography times.

ALKK AMI Registry
n = 10 946

Exclusion:
- Thrombolysis before PTCA 2210
- Pre-hospital delay $> 12$ hours 457
- Non-ST elevation AMI 644
- Transfer for primary angioplasty* 2820

* in transfer patients, time intervals were not evaluated in detail to
differentiate between the initial hospital and the interventional
hospital. Therefore these patients had to be excluded from the
current analysis.

Figure 1 Selection of patients from the Arbeitsgemeinschaft Leitender
Kardiologischer Krankenhausärzte (ALKK) registry of percutaneous
coronary interventions in acute myocardial infarction (AMI). BBB, bundle
branch block; PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.
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Patients
Between July 1994 and the end of 2000 a total of 10,946 patients from 80 hospitals were entered into the ALKK PCI in AMI registry. Of these patients, 4815 with STEMI were admitted within 12 hours after onset of symptoms primarily to a hospital with PCI facilities and did not receive thrombolysis before angioplasty (fig 1).

Door to angiography times
Figure 2 shows the distribution of the door to angiography times. Mean (SD) door to angiography time was 83 (122) minutes. In most patients PCI was started within 60 minutes after admission to the hospital and only a few patients had a delay exceeding 120 minutes.

Mean (SD) age of the patients was 61.4 (12.5) years and 25.6% of patients were women (table 1). Cardiogenic shock was present in 14.1%. With increasing in-hospital delay, age increased slightly (p = 0.002). With longer door to angiography time pre-hospital delays also increased, from 166 (152) minutes (first tertile) to 204 (173) minutes (last tertile, p < 0.0001).

Logistic regression analysis showed the presence of a bundle branch block (odds ratio (OR) 1.95, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.15 to 3.29), prior coronary artery bypass grafting (OR 1.67, 95% CI 1.08 to 2.59), a pre-hospital delay > 3 hours (OR 1.61, 95% CI 1.37 to 1.89), and female sex (OR 1.21, 95% CI 1.01 to 1.45) to be independently associated with longer door to angiography times, whereas a higher hospital volume of performing primary angioplasty (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.62) and a more recent year of investigation (OR 0.96, 95% CI 0.92 to 1.00) were independently associated with shorter door to angiography times (table 2).

Angiographic success and in-hospital mortality
TIMI grade 3 flow was achieved in 87.5% of all patients. Among patients with door to angiography times < 30 minutes, 89.3% had TIMI grade 3 flow, among patients with door to angiography times between 31–60 minutes 89.0% had TIMI grade 3 flow, and among patients with door to angiography times > 60 minutes 84.1% achieved TIMI grade 3 flow (p = 0.0005). In-hospital mortality was 9.2% with door to angiography times < 30 minutes, 8.3% with door to angiography times between 30–60 minutes, and 10.5% in patients with door to angiography times > 60 minutes (p = 0.552; table 1).

Independent predictors of in-hospital mortality were cardiogenic shock (p < 0.0001), technical success (p < 0.0001), age (p < 0.0001), three vessel disease (p < 0.0001), location of infarction (p < 0.0001), year of inclusion (p = 0.011), and volume of primary angioplasty in each hospital (p = 0.027) but not the door to angiography times (p = 0.397) (table 3). These data did not change substantially if the technical success rate was excluded from the regression model. In-hospital mortality was not significantly lower among patients who started treatment within 30 minutes after admission than among patients treated within 31–60 minutes (OR 1.02, 95% CI 0.49 to 2.13) or later than 60 minutes (OR 1.16, 95% CI 0.74 to 1.84) (fig 3). These data did not change if patients presenting with and without cardiogenic shock were analysed separately.

In-hospital mortality was 12.2% in patients with door to angiography times ≥ 120 minutes compared with 8.8% in patients with door to angiography times < 120 minutes (p = 0.118, after adjustment for confounding parameters: OR 1.34, 95% CI 0.97 to 1.85, p = 0.098).

DISCUSSION
The data of the ALKK PCI in AMI registry obtained in 80 hospitals in Germany showed a mean door to angiography time of 83 (122) minutes, with only a few patients having a delay exceeding 120 minutes. The presence of a bundle branch block, prior coronary artery bypass grafting, a pre-hospital delay > 3 hours, and female sex were independently associated with longer door to angiography times, whereas a higher hospital volume of performing primary angioplasty (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.62) and a more recent year of investigation (OR 0.96, 95% CI 0.92 to 1.00) were independently associated with a shorter door to angiography time. Under these circumstances of short in-hospital delays, we found no influence of the door to angiography time on in-hospital mortality.

Door to angiography times in the ALKK PCI in AMI registry
The observed 50 minute median (quartiles of 31–75 minutes) door to angiography time in our analysis of the ALKK PCI in AMI registry is much shorter than the 116 minute median (quartiles of 95–163 minutes) of the NRMI-2, in which only 8% of patients had a door to balloon time of 60 minutes or less.14 Although obtained at 80 hospitals in Germany these data are very close to the data from the single centre registry

Table 1  Baseline characteristics and outcome by door to angiography time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>0–30</th>
<th>31–60</th>
<th>&gt; 60</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>4815</td>
<td>1487</td>
<td>1777</td>
<td>1551</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.4 (12.5)</td>
<td>61.0 (12.2)</td>
<td>60.8 (12.4)</td>
<td>62.3 (12.9)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior PTCA</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior CABG</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior wall MI</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle branch block</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.94%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-hospital delay (min)</td>
<td>180 (158)</td>
<td>166 (152)</td>
<td>169 (145)</td>
<td>204 (173)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three vessel disease</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMI 3 flow after primary angioplasty</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
<td>89.3%</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
<td>84.1%</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>0.552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>0.70 to 1.13</td>
<td>0.91 to 1.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CI, confidence interval; MI, myocardial infarction; NA, not applicable; OR, odds ratio; PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; TIMI, thrombolysis in myocardial infarction.
in Zwolle (mean 55 (36) minutes door to balloon time). Door to angiography time is not door to balloon time, but this difference is usually 10–15 minutes in clinical practice and therefore does not explain the observed large differences in time between the ALKK und the NRMI-2 studies. Door to angiography time may be the more objective way to look at time intervals because it is similar to an intention to treat way to handle primary angioplasty. This becomes obvious in the small group of patients in whom reperfusion cannot be achieved because the obstructed vessel cannot be crossed by a guidewire. No balloon can be used in such circumstances and these patients have a high mortality. How such patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Time &gt; 90 minutes</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Adjusted OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 70 years</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.97 to 1.38</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt; 70 years</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.01 to 1.45</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.15 to 3.29</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No BBB</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior STEMI</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.82 to 1.13</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No anterior STEMI</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.67 to 1.08</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior CABG</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.08 to 2.59</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No prior CABG</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-hospital delay &gt; 3 hours</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.37 to 1.89</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–3 hours</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of primary PTCA &gt; 20/year</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.46 to 0.62</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20/year</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.96 (per year)</td>
<td>0.92 to 1.00</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BBB, bundle branch block; STEMI, ST elevation myocardial infarction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>In-hospital mortality</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Adjusted OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>16.56</td>
<td>12.9 to 21.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical success</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final TIMI flow &lt; 3</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>3.73 to 6.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final TIMI flow 3</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 70 years</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.00 to 3.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt; 70 years</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Vessel disease</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.53 to 2.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 3 Vessel disease</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.92 to 3.56</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No BBB</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior STEMI</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.41 to 2.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No anterior STEMI</td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year of intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.92 (per year)</td>
<td>0.87 to 0.98</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of primary PTCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 20/year</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.59 to 0.97</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20/year</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-hospital delay* &gt; 3 hours</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–3 hours</td>
<td>9.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door to angiography time &lt; 30 minutes</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>1.14 (per tertile)</td>
<td>0.84 to 1.53</td>
<td>0.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–60 minutes</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 60 minutes</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not included in the logistic regression model.
were handled in the Zwolle registry is not mentioned and there is only one short sentence about this in the NRMI-2 publication.

Predictors of long door to angiography times
An analysis of the NRMI-2 data by Angeja and colleagues described a lot of patient related and hospital related factors being independently associated with longer door to balloon times. These factors are concordant with our findings, especially the finding that door to angiography time increased with increasing pre-hospital delays. This finding may perhaps best be summarised with the notion that if the patient comes late, the doctor does not hurry either. The longer door to balloon times for angioplasty during the night and at low volume hospitals underscores the need for quality control at each hospital. The shortened door to angiography times during the last years of the ALKK registry may already be the consequence of the quality control reports of this registry and the increased experience of the centres.

Outcome of long door to angiography times and the paradox of no time dependency of primary angioplasty
The analysis of Cannon and colleagues of the NRMI-2 database is the only adequately powered evaluation showing a clear interaction between door to balloon time and in-hospital mortality; increasing door to balloon time was independently associated with increasing in-hospital mortality. Berger and colleagues and Juliard and associates reported similar results from much smaller patient groups. However, neither the Zwolle data nor our data confirmed these results. Both studies found no association between in-hospital delay and mortality. Are these findings really in conflict with a door to angiography time dependency of primary angioplasty? No, because the symptom onset to balloon time is mainly influenced by pre-hospital delay and only in a small part by door to angiography time. Increasing pre-hospital delays are associated with increasing in-hospital mortality only among patients treated with thrombolysis, not in patients receiving no reperfusion, as shown in the placebo arms of the randomised thrombolysis studies. The interaction between in-hospital mortality and pre-hospital delay in thrombolysis is mainly caused by the decreasing effectiveness of thrombolysis to achieve TIMI grade 3 flow with increasing pre-hospital delays, which is not true for primary angioplasty. This is also supported by a recent paper of Schömig and colleagues, who found a treatment dependent influence of time to treatment interval on myocardial salvage in patients with AMI treated with thrombolysis but not in patients treated with primary angioplasty.

Conclusions
In current clinical practice at a variety of hospitals in Germany median door to angiography time is 50 minutes (quartiles of 31–75 minutes). Several patient and hospital related factors are independently associated with a longer door to angiography time. Although we did not find an influence of door to angiography time on in-hospital mortality within these overall short in-hospital delays, we should continue to keep them as short as possible.

Limitations of the study
Several limitations should be considered. This was an observational study with no randomisation to different door to angiography times. We documented door to angiography time but not door to balloon time, so direct comparison of the in-hospital delays with other registries may be difficult. However, needle to balloon time is usually only 10–15 minutes in clinical practice. Since the number of patients with a door to angiography time ≥ 90 minutes was very low, we could not statistically analyse the long time intervals in detail. Therefore, our analysis reflects the consequences only of small differences in door to angiography times as observed in the ALKK data.
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Complete resolution of a large intracardiac mass with medical treatment: an echocardiographic follow up

A 12 year old boy was admitted with supraventricular tachycardia and congestive heart failure. An echocardiogram showed dilatation of all four chambers of the heart with biventricular dysfunction, the left ventricular ejection fraction being 21%. In addition there was a large mass, occupying most of the right atrium, extending to the anterior and lateral wall but free of the interatrial septum and tricuspid valve (panel A). There was no restriction of flow to the right ventricle. Minimal pericardial effusion was present. Haematological, urine, and sputum examinations were normal. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging were performed; however, the nature of the intracardiac mass could not be characterised further. Since the tissue diagnosis could not be made with these investigations, biopsy of the mass was performed using an endomyocardial biopsy. The histopathology revealed multiple, well formed necrotising epithelioid cell granulomas along with multinucleated giant cells with focal areas of necrosis, suggestive of a chronic inflammatory disease such as tuberculosis. The patient was treated with a diuretic, digoxin, and amiodarone to control the heart rate and the congestive heart failure. Based on endomyocardial biopsy findings and a wide prevalence of tuberculosis in this part of the world, antitubercular chemotherapy was added to his treatment. The patient was closely monitored and successive echocardiograms showed gradual resolution of the right atrial mass in six weeks. Treatment was continued for one year, and the last follow up echocardiogram showed near complete disappearance of the intracardiac mass (panel B). Left ventricular function improved with an ejection fraction of 45%.