The role of nurses in the management of heart failure

J Grange

Care provided by specialist nurses has been shown to improve outcomes for patients with chronic heart failure (CHF), significantly reducing the number of unplanned readmissions, length of hospital stay, hospital costs, and mortality. Most patients develop CHF as a result of coronary artery disease. Once cardiac damage has occurred, the risk of developing heart failure can be reduced by providing appropriate treatment at appropriate dosages. While cardiac rehabilitation clinics provide an opportunity to check drug usage, their prime focus is on optimising patients’ physical well being following a heart attack. In addition, evidence suggests that general practitioners are frequently reluctant to initiate appropriate treatments and to up-titrate drug dosages even for patients with diagnosed heart failure. Therefore, to ensure that these patients are not left on starting doses of medications many hospitals are now setting up nurse led post-myocardial infarction (MI) clinics. The Omada programme is a secondary care based, nurse led model of care set up in 1999 to improve the management of CHF by providing appropriate patient education within a nurse led clinic setting, optimising evidence based medication and fostering partnership between health professionals in both primary and secondary care. The model of care is highly applicable to the post-MI setting, where it can ensure that patients receive better care at an earlier stage.

Coronary heart disease (CHD) is the most common cause of premature death before the age of 75 years in the UK. It is also the most common cause of heart failure in western countries, responsible for an estimated 70% of heart failure cases in the UK. Furthermore, the UK has one of the highest death rates from CHD; only Ireland and Finland have higher rates.1 However, death rates from CHD have been falling since the late 1970s; in the past 10 years, there has been a 44% reduction among those under 65 years of age. These improvements are said to be the result of favourable trends in risk factors, as well as the improved uptake of effective treatments.

While this is a welcome trend, there is still a long way to go. It is estimated that around 40% of myocardial infarctions are accompanied by left ventricular dysfunction, with or without clinical heart failure. Additionally, approximately 22% of male and 46% of female myocardial infarction (MI) sufferers will be disabled with heart failure within six years.2 Although the modern management of MI is improving the survival of patients, it is leaving them with a significantly compromised heart that is likely to fail at some time in the future.

It is estimated that 4% of men and 2% of women have had an MI; some 826 000 people under the age of 75 years living in the UK have had an MI. However, the prevalence of MI increases with age, suggesting that some 1.2 million people living in the UK have had an MI. If a high proportion of these are liable to develop heart failure within six years, then it is important that we recognise these patients on the ward and ensure that we have the resources to manage this rapidly growing group of patients.

Once cardiac damage has occurred, typically from a heart attack, the risk of developing heart failure can be reduced by providing appropriate treatment at appropriate dosages. While cardiac rehabilitation clinics provide an opportunity to check drug usage, their prime focus is on optimising patients’ physical wellbeing following a heart attack. Therefore, to ensure that these patients are not left on starting doses of medications, many hospitals are now setting up nurse led post-MI clinics, building on the models successfully developed for managing patients with chronic heart failure (CHF).

MANAGEMENT OF CHF

CHF is a complex syndrome that commonly affects elderly patients in whom it has a major impact upon longevity and quality of life. It is usually associated with symptoms such as dyspnoea, fatigue, and fluid retention, and results in frequent episodes of hospitalisation. Numerous clinical trials have shown that symptoms can be managed by drug treatments and modification of various lifestyle factors, resulting in reduced hospitalisation and improvements in morbidity and mortality. However, both patient characteristics and shortfalls in care delivery mean that the benefits seen in trials are frequently not observed in clinical practice. This, in part, is due to the fact that evidence based treatments are often not titrated to optimal levels due to lack of expertise, lack of time, difficulty in diagnosis, co-morbid conditions, and poor coordination of care.

Heart failure patients frequently have co-existing conditions, for which they take many medications. Often they may have cognitive and/or functional limitations, and may be isolated with poor social support.4 Many will have financial concerns, and psychological conditions.
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such as anxiety and depression are common. Heart failure patients frequently have inadequate access to health care personnel, receive poor follow up, and are poor compliers with medications.

From the patient’s perspective, the disease is characterised by a vicious cycle of worsening symptoms, acute decompression, hospitalisation, and subsequent stabilisation and discharge (fig 1). However, because patients often feel substantially better after hospitalisation, they are liable to feel they do not need to take so many tablets. Poor compliance with medication means the patient is more likely to decompensate, leading to re-hospitalisation and the need to re-stabilise the patient.

However, this vicious cycle can be broken by instituting a structured system of care delivery involving a multidisciplinary team that provides the patient with education about their condition, medications, and when to seek help for worsening symptoms (fig 2). It is estimated that 64% of re-admissions are caused by non-compliance while 54% of re-admissions are preventable. Inadequate discharge planning or follow up is a common factor in readmission.

THE CASE FOR SPECIALISED STRUCTURED CARE IN CHF

In the early 1980s it was recognised that a nurse led heart failure service can bring about significant reduction in re-hospitalisation and total days spent in hospital.

Subsequent studies demonstrated that intensive homecare programmes delivered by nurses were associated with a notable decrease in the need for hospitalisation and improved the functional status of elderly patients with severe CHF; moreover, among a cohort of high risk patients with CHF, home based intervention was associated with reduced frequency of unplanned readmissions plus out-of-hospital deaths within six months of discharge from hospital.

Care provided by specialist nurses has been shown to improve outcomes for patients with CHF, significantly reducing the number of unplanned readmissions, length of hospital stay, mortality, and hospital costs.

Furthermore, patients with CHF have fewer hospitalisations for heart failure and are significantly more active when managed by heart failure specialists working in a dedicated heart failure programme rather than by physicians with limited expertise in heart failure.
Yet despite such evidence, even today there are still many centres around the UK that do not have a heart failure service, a situation that has prompted government action. The National Service Framework for CHD stated that doctors should arrange for people with suspected heart failure to be offered appropriate investigations (for example, electrocardiography, echocardiography) that will confirm or refute the diagnosis. For those in whom heart failure is confirmed, its cause should be identified—the treatments most likely to both relieve symptoms and reduce their risk of death should be offered. This was to be demonstrated by clinical audit data no more than 12 months old. In achieving this aim, the National Service Framework envisaged:

- specialist heart failure nurses
- nurse led heart failure clinics
- outreach and community services.

It is now widely accepted that a specialist heart failure nurse working under medical supervision can make a major difference to meeting the many needs of these patients (fig 3). Specialist nurses have a wealth of knowledge and expertise in patient management and support. Instead of a standard 10 minute consultation, a nurse can spend time with patients and relatives, dealing with their worries and concerns and providing support and understanding when it is needed. This leads to increased patient satisfaction and, as problems are addressed, to improved quality of life.

Management of heart failure encompasses both pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches. The nurse can play an important role in initiating and up-titrating appropriate medications. Most patients will have a variety of co-morbid conditions for which they are likely to be receiving many medications. Against this background, it takes time, knowledge and expertise to ensure that patients’ medications are safely optimised, time which most general practitioners and consultants are unable to give.

Providing education is crucial. Patients with heart failure need to understand their condition, how to manage it, and above all when to ask for assistance. Moving away from the traditional view of the patient as a passive recipient of treatment specifically for heart failure patients

Figure 3 The multiple needs of a heart failure patient following diagnosis.
post-MI heart failure, by promoting evidence based practice, educational sessions, and care pathway documentation.

If no integrated care pathways are in place then patients can easily slip through the care net without receiving good evidence based treatment and management. In order to enable nurses to improve standards of care throughout their centres, the Omada programme has now developed three core integrated care pathways, designed to address:

- post-MI management
- clinical signs of acute heart failure
- CHF.

In addition, there is a proforma for post-MI audit.

Following an MI, patients with signs of heart failure may access the nurse led clinic through a variety of means depending on local pathways. These include:

- during inpatient stay
- referral from cardiology outpatient clinics
- referral from cardiac rehabilitation
- referral from other outpatient clinics
- referral from general practice.

Table 1 shows how a heart failure clinic fits into a typical post-MI treatment pathway.

| Table 1: How a heart failure clinic fits into a typical post-MI treatment pathway |
|---|---|
| Day 1–3 | CCU |
| Day 3–10 | General medical ward |
| Week 6 | Cardiology clinic |
| Week 8+ | Nurse run HF clinic |
| Month 6 | Cardiology clinic |
| 6–12 monthly review | |

Learning points

- Early identification of patients with post-myocardial infarction heart failure allows the opportunity to improve their quality of life, prolong their life, and keep them out of hospital
- Nurse led clinics are ideally placed to empower patients by giving them the education and tools they need to deal with their condition
- A systematic, protocol driven approach can optimise the uptake of evidence based drug treatments.
- The Omada nurses offer a useful resource to others interested in setting up nurse led clinics.
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