Site of successful slow pathway ablation relates to clinical tachycardia rate in patients with atrioventricular nodal re-entrant tachycardia
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Low pathway ablation provides curative treatment for patients with atrioventricular nodal re-entrant tachycardia (AVNRT). The major concern remains the induction of complete atrioventricular (AV) block. To minimise the risk, many centres apply a stepwise approach, targeting initially inferoposterior sites of the septal annulus of the tricuspid valve. If the first ablation attempts are unsuccessful, the catheter is positioned at more medial and finally anterosuperior sites. Thus, the longest possible distance from the AV node is kept during energy delivery.

Previous investigations suggest that the successful ablation site may be related to the conduction interval of the slow pathway. Since this interval is a determinant of the tachycardia cycle length, we hypothesised that the successful ablation site may be related to the heart rate during tachycardia. Such a finding would be important, since it would possibly allow a rough prediction of the successful ablation site and, thus, an approximate stratification of the risk of AV block based on ECG documentation of the tachycardia.

METHODS

We studied 109 patients (44 men, 65 women, mean (SD) age 47 (16) years) with AVNRT undergoing slow pathway ablation.

After providing informed written consent, patients underwent the procedures in the fasting, non-sedated state. All antiarrhythmic agents had been discontinued for at least five drug elimination half lives.

The stepwise approach was applied as follows. The septal annulus of the tricuspid valve, extending from the most inferior area of the annulus adjacent to the coronary sinus ostium to the His bundle recording site, was divided into three areas: inferior, medial, and superior. The His bundle recording site was defined as the most inferior site with a detectable His bundle recording. The ostium of the coronary sinus was determined by the position of a coronary sinus catheter. For slow pathway ablation, the ablation catheter was initially positioned in the inferior, then in the medial, and finally in the superior area, if necessary. After each radiofrequency application, programmed stimulation was used to assess the presence of slow pathway conduction and inducibility of AVNRT.

To assess the usefulness of tachycardia rate with regard to risk stratification before ablation, we used the heart rate during clinical tachycardia episodes for analysis. Clinical tachycardia was not documented by ECG for 22 of the 109 patients; for these patients, we used the heart rate of tachycardia induced in the electrophysiological study under baseline conditions—that is, in the drug-free state, without administration of isoprenaline or other drugs and without sedation.

Data are presented as mean (SD) and range. Dichotomous variables were compared by the χ² test. Heart rates during tachycardia episodes in the three groups were compared by one way analysis of variance, followed by the Student-Newman-Keuls test for multiple comparisons. Differences with p < 0.05 were considered significant. Data were analysed with the software package SigmaStat version 2.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA).

RESULTS

The ablation procedure was successful in 107 patients (98.2%). One patient (0.9%) developed AV block necessitating pacemaker implantation. In this case, the ablation catheter was placed at a medial site.

The successful ablation site was located in the inferior region in 38 patients (35.5%, group I), in the medial in 54 patients (50.5%, group M), and in the superior region in 15 (14.0%, group S).

The average heart rate during tachycardia increased progressively from group I to group M to group S: 179 (28) beats/min (range 133–260) in group I versus 193 (30) beats/min (range 146–270) in group M versus 212 (38) beats/min (range 150–280) in group S (p = 0.002, analysis of variance). All pairwise comparisons between the three groups showed a significant difference (fig 1).

The proportion of patients with a tachycardia rate > 200 beats/min was higher in group S (11 of 15 (73.3%) patients) than in groups M and I (31 of 92 (33.7%) patients, p = 0.009). A tachycardia rate < 200 beats/min had a negative predictive value of 0.94 for ablation in the superior region. A tachycardia rate > 200 beats/min had a positive predictive value of 0.26 for ablation in the superior region.

![Figure 1](http://heart.bmj.com)  Heart rate during tachycardia episodes in the three groups. Bars depict the standard deviation in each group. Tachycardia rate differed significantly between the groups (analysis of variance p = 0.002). Group I, patients with successful ablation site in the inferior region; group M, patients with successful ablation site in the medial region; group S, patients with successful ablation site in the superior region.
Analysis of the heart rate of the tachycardia induced in the electrophysiological study in the drug-free state showed the same relation between tachycardia rate and successful ablation site: 161 (22) beats/min in group I versus 178 (25) beats/min in group M versus 192 (28) beats/min in group S (p = 0.001, analysis of variance).

**DISCUSSION**

The main finding is that the successful ablation site of the slow pathway depends on the tachycardia rate. In patients with slower tachycardias, the slow pathway is ablated at more inferior sites with a lower risk of AV block.

A tachycardia rate < 200 beats/min has a high negative predictive value for ablation in the superior region; ablation in this area carries the highest risk of AV block due to its vicinity to the compact AV node. Thus, ECG documentation of the tachycardia may provide significant information regarding the ablation site and risk of AV block development.

Up to now, almost no clinical information has allowed stratification of the procedure associated risk of AV block before ablation. These findings allow for the first time a limited risk stratification based on the easily measurable tachycardia rate. This not only is important as clinical information, it also may influence the way physicians inform patients concerning the procedure related risk, reassuring those with slow tachycardias of an even lower likelihood of the need for pacemaker implantation.

It is widely accepted that the risk of inadvertent AV block substantially increases with proximity of the target site to the AV node. Nevertheless, ablation in the medial or even inferior area does not exclude the possibility of AV block.1

Our findings are consistent with previous studies showing a greater distance between the successful ablation site and the His bundle recording in patients with longer AH intervals during slow pathway conduction.3–5

Autonomic tone influences tachycardia rate. To assess the usefulness of tachycardia rate with regard to risk stratification before ablation, we analysed the heart rate during clinical tachycardia episodes. Analysis of the heart rate of the tachycardia induced during the electrophysiological study in the drug-free state confirmed these results, showing the same relation between tachycardia rate and ablation site.

The successful ablation site of the slow pathway depends on the tachycardia rate. In patients with slower tachycardias, the slow pathway is ablated at more inferior sites with a lower risk of AV block. A tachycardia rate < 200 beats/min has a high negative predictive value for ablation in the superior region. ECG documentation of the tachycardia may provide significant information regarding the ablation site and risk of procedure associated AV block.
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