100% each, when applying Agatston score thresholds of 0 and >400.

We thank Drs Vliegenthart Proença and Oudkerk for their considerations of the role of coronary calcium scoring in the management of patients with suspected significant atherosclerosis and the improved accuracy of dual-source CT-derived calcium scores. As mentioned above, patients from our study having an Agatston score of 0 did not have significant stenoses at catheter coronary angiography. Theoretically, further CT coronary angiography (CTCA) investigation could have been omitted in almost one-fifth of patients. However (and as mentioned in the “Discussion” section of our paper), up to 5% of patients having an Agatston score of 0 indeed have significant stenosis caused by non-calcified plaques. Consequently, omitting coronary angiography when calcium scoring discloses no calcifications would result in up to 5% false-negative classifications. We therefore favour a calcium score not as the sole measurement but as an important supplement for CTCA in patients with non-evaluative coronary segments; patients with one or two non-evaluative segments at CTCA but having an Agatston score of 0 will have a high likelihood of having no significant coronary stenosis. We agree that the reported high negative predictive value of calcium scoring favours the test to be the initial imaging modality when coronary artery disease is suspected. However, we believe that it should be followed by CTCA in every patient.
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