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**ABSTRACT**

The diagnostic management of patients with angina pectoris typically centres on the detection of obstructive epicardial CAD, which aligns with evidence-based treatment options that include medical therapy and myocardial revascularisation. This clinical paradigm fails to account for the considerable proportion (approximately one-third) of patients with angina in whom obstructive CAD is excluded. This common scenario presents a diagnostic conundrum whereby angina occurs but there is no obstructive CAD (ischaemia and no obstructive coronary artery disease—INOCA). We review new insights into the pathophysiology of angina whereby myocardial ischaemia results from a deficient supply of oxygenated blood to the myocardium, due to various combinations of focal or diffuse epicardial disease (macrovascular), microvascular dysfunction or both. Macrovascular disease may be due to the presence of obstructive CAD secondary to atherosclerosis, or may be dynamic due to a functional disorder (eg, coronary artery spasm, myocardial bridging). Pathophysiology of coronary microvascular disease may involve anatomical abnormalities resulting in increased coronary resistance, or functional abnormalities resulting in abnormal vasomotor tone. We consider novel clinical diagnostic techniques enabling new insights into the causes of angina and appraise the need for improved therapeutic options for patients with INOCA. We conclude that the taxonomy of stable CAD could improve to better reflect the heterogeneous pathophysiology of the coronary circulation. We propose the term ‘stable coronary syndromes’ (SCS), which aligns with the well-established terminology for ‘acute coronary syndromes’. SCS subsumes a clinically relevant classification that more fully encompasses the different diseases of the epicardial and microvascular coronary circulation.

**INTRODUCTION**

Ischaemic heart disease (IHD) persists as the leading global cause of death and lost life years in adults.1 Reductions in morbidity and mortality are not consistent across subgroups, with mortality being persistently high in younger women.2 Overall, stable ischaemic heart disease (SHD) remains a worldwide public health problem of unmet need.

Stable coronary artery disease (CAD), or SHD, refers to the syndrome of recurrent, transient episodes of chest pain reflecting demand-supply mismatch, that is, angina pectoris. In this article, we reappraise the causes of angina based on new insights into coronary pathophysiology. We focus on disorders of coronary artery function and their clinical relevance.

**Taxonomy**

Given the unmet need of IHD, recent advances in diagnostics and the need for further improvements in primary and secondary prevention, we propose the term ‘stable coronary syndromes’ (SCS) to succinctly reflect the heterogeneous pathophysiology of epicardial, microvascular and endothelial abnormalities in patients with stable angina. SCS aligns with terminology for acute coronary syndromes, and helps to standardise the hierarchy of IHD endotypes, including ischaemia with no obstructive coronary artery disease (INOCA)3 and myocardial infarction with no obstructive CAD (figure 1).

**The clinical conundrum of angina**

Classically, angina is considered to be due to flow-limiting CAD,4 which by definition results in a supply-demand mismatch in myocardial perfusion. Anatomical thresholds for CAD severity vary. A widely used cut-off for obstructive CAD is taken as a stenosis of 70% in a main coronary artery (>2.5 mm) in one angiographic projection, or 50% in two projections, and 50% of the left main coronary artery.5 The management of patients with angina appropriately centres on the detection of obstructive epicardial CAD, which may be challenging to diagnose objectively (eg, mild tandem lesions in series may cause flow-limiting disease). Systemic problems including anaemia and aortic stenosis also influence the propensity to angina and should be considered. In patients with obstructive epicardial CAD, the treatment involves optimal medical therapy and consideration of myocardial revascularisation with either percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). However, this paradigm fails to account for one-third or more patients with angina in whom obstructive CAD is excluded. A US registry of 398 978 patients referred for coronary angiography demonstrated that 39.2% of patients had no evidence of epicardial CAD.6 Also, angina may persist following PCI and CABG. The reasons for ‘negative’ coronary angiography are multifactorial. However, a growing body of evidence supports the use of coronary function tests, especially since a disorder of coronary artery function may be the unifying diagnosis in a patient with symptoms not explained by anatomical imaging.7 Historically described as cardiac syndrome X, the term coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD) is used to describe abnormalities that result in microvascular angina (MVA). CMD is classified into five groups (table 1).8 The pathophysiology of CMD involves functional and/or structural abnormalities in the coronary microcirculation. MVA is...
Anatomical abnormalities in the coronary circulation

In addition to evidence-based management of obstructive atherosclerotic CAD, other structural coronary problems (including anomalous coronary vessels, coronary artery fistula, certain coronary artery bridges or aneurysms) should be considered.

Coronary microvascular disease may reflect anatomical abnormalities including microvascular remodelling (ie, reductions in capillary luminal size) and number (ie, rarefaction), and therefore increased microvascular resistance to myocardial blood flow (Poiseuille’s law). Angina may result from systemic disorders, such as hypertension, or myocardial pathology such as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, which involves remodelling of intramural coronary arterioles, vascular rarefaction and perivascular fibrosis.11

In vivo, the diagnosis of anatomical changes in coronary small vessels is challenging. Yamamoto et al12 performed endomyocardial biopsy in 11 patients with angina and no angiographic obstructive CAD, and demonstrated cardiomyocyte hypertrophy and replacement fibrosis compared with a control population. Osimichi et al13 undertook endomyocardial biopsy in 24 patients with MVA and demonstrated smooth muscle cell hypertrophy and narrowed microvasculature due to basement membrane thickening. In contrast, Richardson et al14 performed endomyocardial biopsy in seven patients with invasively diagnosed MVA and found no significant morphological abnormality.

Functional microvascular abnormalities

Functional abnormalities of the epicardial arterioles and microvessels relate to (1) enhanced vasoconstriction, (2) impaired vasodilation secondary to endothelium-independent or endothelium-dependent mechanisms, or (3) a combination of these problems. Disorders of coronary vasomotion include epicardial and/or microvascular coronary spasm, impaired coronary artery vasorelaxation and endothelial dysfunction-related reduced myocardial blood flow.15 Various vasoactive substances maybe implicated. For example, endothelin-1 (ET-1) concentrations are elevated in patients with primary CMD; in 1034 patients who underwent stress positron emission tomography (PET) imaging for the investigation of angina, Johnson et al16 identified abnormal diffuse heterogeneous myocardial perfusion that was associated with CMD. In an animal model, this abnormal perfusion pattern was recreated using intracoronary infusions of ET-1, implying that ET-1 contributes to abnormal vasoconstriction in patients with CMD.17

The coronary endothelium regulates vascular tone and myocardial blood flow via nitric oxide (NO)-dependent mechanisms.18 Abnormal vasoconstrictive responses to acetylcholine infusion, consistent with impaired endothelial function, occur in patients with angina and non-obstructive epicardial CAD.19 Abnormal endothelium-independent vasodilator function may involve resistance to NO, adenosine and prostacyclin.18

Angina-myocardial ischaemia discordance and propensity to ischaemia

The ‘ischaemic threshold’ (the heart rate–blood pressure product at the onset of angina or ECG changes) differs between individuals.19 Inmate variations in neurogenic vascular tone and endocrine changes (eg, menopause) dictate the propensity to vasospasm while environmental factors including cold temperature, exertion and mental stress are relevant.20

Silent ischaemia is common and prognostically important.21 Interestingly, the large US CLARIFY registry highlighted the importance of symptoms, showing that angina with or without concomitant ischaemia was more predictive of adverse cardiac events compared with silent ischaemia alone.22 Variations in pain thresholds and cardiac innervation

Table 1 Classification of coronary microvascular dysfunction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1 (Primary CMD)</td>
<td>In the absence of underlying myocardial disease or obstructive epicardial CAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>In the presence of myocardial disease (eg, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, hypertensive heart disease)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>In the presence of obstructive CAD (either stable CAD or acute coronary syndrome)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>Iatrogenic CMD secondary to myocardial revascularisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 5</td>
<td>CMD following cardiac transplantation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAD, coronary artery disease.
and diabetic neuropathy are all potential mechanisms for the discordance between symptoms and ischaemia. Patients with MVA may have abnormal adrenergic function, increased painful sensitivity to innocuous cardiac stimuli (e.g., radio- graphic contrast), and a lower pain threshold and tolerance to the algogenic effects of adenosine (thought to be the main effector of ischaemia-mediated chest pain).

Advances in the diagnosis of disorders of coronary artery function
Coronary angiography has a resolution of 500 µm and the microvasculature is not visible on CT or invasive angiography. Myocardial biopsy is not a feasible diagnostic option. Therefore, currently, the diagnosis of CMD is empirical when specific tests of coronary function are not used (figure 3).

Non-invasive assessment of coronary artery function
Microvascular disease may be a generalised process resulting in diffuse myocardial perfusion abnormalities. Therefore, traditional non-invasive ischaemia tests may be normal in patients with CMD due to the absence of regional perfusion abnormalities typically seen in obstructive CAD. Myocardial perfusion scintigraphy has comparatively low spatial resolution (−1 × 1 cm per pixel), and is thus relatively insensitive for detection of subtle perfusion abnormalities secondary to microvascular dysfunction. Stress transthoracic Doppler echocardiography (TTDE) is typically performed in the left anterior descending, and is a potentially feasible and cheap method of assessing flow velocity at rest and during maximal hyperaemia to estimate coronary flow reserve (CFR); however, TTDE lacks accuracy and does not interrogate all myocardial segments.

The reference-standard non-invasive assessment of myocardial blood flow is stress PET imaging, which permits quantitative flow derivation in mL/g/min. Clinically, PET-derived quantification of myocardial blood flow can assist in the diagnosis of diffuse and impaired CFR, which is associated with increased risk of major adverse cardiac events (MACE). In real-world practice, the use of PET is limited by its availability (including radioisotopes), cost and exposure to ionising radiation.

Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging holds most promise as a preferred non-invasive imaging option. Although CMR is also comparatively expensive, it has clear benefits, including lack of ionising radiation, high spatial resolution (e.g., −2.5 × 2.5 mm at 1.5 Tesla, −1 × 1 mm at 3.0 Tesla), high sensitivity and specificity for perfusion abnormalities, and multiparametric imaging techniques (reference-standard left ventricular volumes and function, myocardial tissue characterisation with late gadolinium enhancement imaging and parametric mapping). Panting et al demonstrated the qualitative detection of inducible circumferential subendocardial perfusion defects in patients with syndrome X. Semiquantitative assessment of CFR (the myocardial perfusion reserve index, MPRi) from CMR has been shown to predict abnormal response to invasive coronary reactivity testing, and is an important prognosticator in a large natural history study of women with non-obstructive CAD. Novel pixel-wise absolute perfusion quantification of myocardial perfusion by CMR will likely improve the efficiency of absolute quantification of myocardial blood flow by CMR.

Invasive guidewire-based techniques
Invasive tests of coronary artery function are the reference standard for the diagnosis of CMD. We contend that a complete diagnostic evaluation of the coronary circulation should assess structural and functional pathology. Pressure-derived indices, such as fractional flow reserve (FFR), contrast-enhanced FFR,
instantaneous wave-free ratio (fFR) and resting Pd/Pa, are useful tests to guide revascularisation decisions. However, as is the case with coronary angiography, these indices do not inform the clinician about microvascular resistance and or vasodilator potential.

CFR reflects the ratio of hyperaemic flow to basal flow and was first described by Gould et al in 1974. Microvascular resistance may be measured by thermodilution (index of microcirculatory resistance, IMR) or Doppler (hyperaemic microvascular resistance, HMR). CFR and IMR/HMR reflect distinct properties of vascular (dys)function and discordance (normal/abnormal) is common. CFR reflects the combined vasodilator capacity of the epicardial coronary artery and its subtended microvasculature. There are some limitations to using invasively measured CFR in isolation due to its sensitivity to systemic haemodynamics, myocardial contractility and challenges with establishing true resting coronary blood flow during invasive coronary angiography. Specific measures of microvascular resistance (i.e., IMR and HMR) are more reproducible, specific and are directly informative about microvascular disease.

Sezer et al assessed coronary physiology in patients with diabetes and INOCA, showing that early reduction in CFR was driven by disturbed coronary regulation and high resting flow. In long-standing diabetes, elevated microvascular resistance may reflect structural remodelling of small vessels. This process parallels the paradox of microvascular disease in diabetic nephropathy where increased glomerular filtration rate (GFR) typifies the early stages of disease prior to later structural damage and reduction in GFR.

Functional disorders of coronary vasomotion

FFR, CFR and IMR are typically derived using intravenous adenosine, which is an endothelium-independent vasodilator. Assessment of coronary endothelial function has distinct prognostic utility. Abnormal flow response to endothelial agonist can be assessed using symptoms and angiography alone (ie, >20% angiographic reduction in coronary luminal diameter during acetylcholine infusion), intracoronary Doppler flow measurement or with thermodilution. Acetylcholine may be used at higher bolus doses (eg, 100–200 μg) in a provocation test to detect abnormal coronary vasoreactivity (ie, vasospasm). A consensus document by the Coronary Vasomotion Disorders International Study Group (COVADIS) defines the criteria for a positive provocative test as meeting the following criteria: (1) reproduction of the usual chest pain, (2) ischaemic ECG changes and (3) >90% vasoconstriction on angiography (figure 4).

RECENT CLINICAL EVIDENCE

Detection and incidence

Lee et al prospectively enrolled 139 consecutive patients in a single-centre study with angina and no obstructive CAD. During comprehensive invasive multimodality assessment at angiography, all patients had atherosclerosis on intravascular ultrasound, 21% had abnormal IMR, 44% had endothelial dysfunction and only 23% had no explanation for their symptoms. Coronary vasoreactivity testing with acetylcholine is generally safe and effective for the detection of epicardial and/or microvascular spasm. The prevalence of microvascular spasm and vasospastic angina (VSA) is not fully resolved, but these conditions may occur in up to two-thirds of patients with a ‘negative’ angiogram.

Coronary atherosclerosis and abnormal vasomotion are inextricably linked. A Korean study of CFR and IMR in
Figure 4  Schematic illustration of the diagnostic work-up for SCS following exclusion of obstructive epicardial CAD. (1) Non-invasive diagnostic testing with multiparametric stress perfusion CMR imaging assessment demonstrating pixel-wide fully quantitative myocardial blood flow analysis from cardiac base to apex, cine imaging, native T1 parametric mapping and late gadolinium enhancement imaging. (2) Invasive diagnostic testing with (A) dual pressure-sensitive and temperature-sensitive coronary wire or coronary Doppler and pressure-sensitive wire, and (B) endothelial and vasospastic testing with intracoronary acetylcholine. CAD, coronary artery disease; CFR, coronary flow reserve; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; FFR, fractional flow reserve; HMR, hyperaemic microvascular resistance; iFR, instantaneous wave-free ratio; IMR, index of microcirculatory resistance; PET, positron emission tomography; SCS, stable coronary artery syndrome; TTDE, transthoracic Doppler echocardiography.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCS endotype</th>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Pathophysiology</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Side effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microvascular angina secondary to impaired vasodilation</td>
<td>Reduced CFR and/or increased microvascular resistance</td>
<td>Anatomical remodelling, vascular rarefaction, disturbed coronary regulation</td>
<td>8-blockers</td>
<td>Reduction in myocardial oxygen consumption</td>
<td>Fatigue, blurred vision, cold hands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ACE inhibitors</td>
<td>Improve CFR, reduce workload, may improve microvascular remodelling</td>
<td>Cough, renal impairment, hyperkalaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ranolazine</td>
<td>Improves MPRi in patients with MVA and reduced CFR</td>
<td>Nausea, dizziness, headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phosphodiesterase inhibitors</td>
<td>↓ cGMP degradation, ↑ vascular smooth muscle relaxation and → CFR for those with baseline CFR &lt;2.5</td>
<td>Flushing, tinnitus, headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microvascular angina secondary to abnormal vasocostriction</td>
<td>Hyper-reactivity to stimuli (e.g., acetylcholine, exercise, stress)</td>
<td>Endothelial dysfunction, inappropriate prearteriolar vasocostriction</td>
<td>ACE inhibitors</td>
<td>Improves endothelial vasomotor dysfunction</td>
<td>Cough, renal impairment, hyperkalaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Calcium antagonists</td>
<td>Vascular smooth muscle relaxation, reduction in myocardial oxygen consumption</td>
<td>Constipation, ankle swelling, flushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nicorandil</td>
<td>Potassium channel activator with coronary microvascular dilatory effect</td>
<td>Dizziness, flushing, weakness, nausea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>Improved coronary endothelial function, pleiotropic effects including reduced vascular inflammation</td>
<td>Myalgia, headache, cramps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>Beneficial effect on endothelium, ↓ resting blood flow and ↑ vasodilatory capacity</td>
<td>Muscle fatigue, myalgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hormone replacement therapy</td>
<td>Oestrogen therapy improves endothelial function short term in CMD</td>
<td>↑ Risk of breast cancer, marginally ↑ risk of CVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microvascular angina secondary to abnormal pain processing</td>
<td>Enhanced nociception</td>
<td>Dysfunction of cortical pain processing</td>
<td>Tri cyclic antidepressants</td>
<td>Improved symptom burden potentially through reduced viseral pain</td>
<td>Blurred vision, dry mouth, drowsiness, impaired coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Xanthine derivatives</td>
<td>Antialgogenic effect (due to the direct involvement of adenosine in cardiac pain generation)</td>
<td>Nausea and vomiting, palpitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epicardial and/or microvascular coronary vasospasm</td>
<td>Propensity to coronary vasospasm</td>
<td>Vascular smooth muscle hyper-reactivity</td>
<td>Calcium channel antagonists</td>
<td>↓ Spontaneous and inducible coronary spasm via vascular smooth muscle relaxation and ↓ oxygen demand</td>
<td>Constipation, ankle swelling, flushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nitrates</td>
<td>↓ Spontaneous and inducible coronary spasm via large epicardial vasodilation, ↓ oxygen demand; lack of efficacy in microvascular angina with potentially deleterious effect</td>
<td>Headaches, dizziness, flushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rho-kinase inhibitors</td>
<td>↓ Calcium sensitivity of smooth muscle by ↑ phosphatase activity reducing phosphorylated (active) myosin light chains</td>
<td>Rash, dizziness; not licensed for use in Europe or USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjunctive non-pharmacological interventions</td>
<td>May be useful in all endotypes</td>
<td>Metabolic syndrome, endothelial dysfunction, cardiovascular risk factors, anxiety/depression</td>
<td>Smoking cessation, exercise, cardiac rehabilitation, Mediterranean diet, cognitive behavioural therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CFR, coronary flow reserve; CMD, coronary microvascular dysfunction; MPRi, myocardial perfusion reserve index; MVA, microvascular angina; SCS, stable coronary syndrome; CVD, cardiovascular disease; cGMP, Cyclic guanosine monophosphate.
angiographically moderate epicardial lesions demonstrated around a quarter of 516 coronary arteries had an elevated IMR and a similar proportion had reduced CFR (<2.0). Both low CFR with elevated IMR were associated with poor prognosis.

Prognosis of patients and no obstructive CAD
The prognosis of SCS is linked with the underlying pathophysiological mechanism and varies depending on the population studied.

Table 3 Proposed comprehensive research strands for patients with ischaemia and no obstructive coronary artery disease (INOCA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comprehensive INOCA research strands</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stratified medicine trials</td>
<td>Diagnostic tests (rule-in/rule-out)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular science</td>
<td>Stratification of endotypes for evidence-based therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging and modelling</td>
<td>Investigations of disease mechanisms, for example, endothelial dysfunction and dysregulation of the endothelin system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathology and vascular histopathology</td>
<td>Clinical trials of quantitative perfusion CMR versus standard methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic trials</td>
<td>Patient-specific computed models of disease to predict responses to novel therapeutics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health informatics and value assessments</td>
<td>Protein assay-based scores and genetic variants are potential biomarkers for disorders of coronary function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient and public involvement</td>
<td>Identification of drug targets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therapy
Pharmacological symptomatic therapy
A detailed review of therapy for the different disorders of coronary artery function is beyond the scope of this review. A summary of currently available therapies aligning with the different SCS disease endotypes is shown in table 2 (see additional references in online supplementary file 1). Robust evidence for the treatment of SCS is lacking. The treatment effect in many studies is potentially diluted by enrolment of heterogeneous groups of patients with distinct pathophysiological mechanisms of CMD that may respond differently to specific treatment modalities. Current European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines provide recommendations for patients with CMD suggesting ß-blockers as first-line therapy, and calcium antagonists recommended if the former is not tolerated or efficacious. Unlike in patients with angina and obstructive CAD, nitrates are not generally effective for treating SCS due to CMD. In a randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trial of ranolazine led by the WISE investigators, although there were no overall improvements in angina and MPri with ranolazine, benefit was observed in the subgroup of patients with a reduced CFR (<2.5) at baseline. Patients with VSA may benefit symptomatically from treating SCS due to CMD. Rho-kinase inhibitors and endothelin-receptor antagonists represent potential future therapeutic options.

Secondary prevention
ESC guidelines support the use of secondary prevention with aspirin and statin therapy. In contrast, the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guideline-95 on management of stable angina cites the enigmatic term ‘Syndrome X’, but guidance on the diagnosis and management is limited, reflecting the limited evidence base. Contemporary guidelines should be followed for the management of vascular risk factors in patients with INOCA. In patients with CMD, statin therapy reduces ischaemia as revealed by reduced ST-segment deviation following exercise testing and improves exercise capacity and flow-mediated dilatation in the brachial artery in patients with CMD. ACE inhibitors (ACEi) improve endothelial dysfunction and vasoreactivity via NO stimulation helping to reverse vascular hypertrophy and improve vascular compliance.

Non-pharmacological therapy
Lifestyle measures promote well-being in patients with INOCA through smoking cessation, healthy eating (eg, Mediterranean diet) and physical activity. The Comprehensive Treatment of Angina in Women With Microvascular Dysfunction trial (NCT02910154) will randomise women with angina and CMD to comprehensive multimodality intervention (dietary, exercise, and statin and ACEi therapy) or control therapy to determine whether angina and microvascular dysfunction can be improved.

Future directions
Overall, there is a critical missing link between the use of relevant diagnostic tests of coronary artery function, therapeutic agents with proven efficacy and health outcomes of patients with angina without obstructive CAD. This gap in evidence is currently being addressed in the British Heart Foundation CORonary MICrovascular Angina randomised controlled trial (CorMicA NCT03193294). A personalised approach to therapy is desirable and it is reasonable to target those patients with impairment of microvascular dilatation characterised by reduced CFR with anti-anginals that reduce heart rate and oxygen consumption (eg, ß-blockers), whereas vasodilators or ACEi would be more appropriate for patients with evidence of in microvascular constriction.

‘Stable coronary syndrome’ reflects a disease-based, clinically relevant classification that reflects the interaction between structural and functional disorders throughout the coronary circulation. The paucity of pathway-specific therapy presents an opportunity for novel research using stratified medicine. Our vision is for a personalised medicine approach whereby SCS endotypes, defined by the results of coronary function tests, may benefit from targeted therapy. Further
research is needed to determine whether this paradigm may benefit patients (table 3).

**Twitter** @UofGICAMS

**Acknowledgements** We acknowledge the patients who have participated in research studies cited in this article.

**Contributors** CB conceived the article, TJF and DC provided the first draft, figures and revisions. All authors have approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** The British Heart Foundation has supported DC (FS/14/15/30661), TJF (RE/13/3/30177) and CB (RE/13/5/30177; FS/14/15/30661; FS17623744; PG-17-25-32884).

**Competing interests** CB is employed by the University of Glasgow, which holds consultancy and research agreements with companies that have commercial interests in the diagnosis and treatment of angina. The companies include Abbott Vascular, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Menarini Pharmaceuticals and Siemens Healthcare. None of the other authors have any disclosures.

**Ethics approval** Obtained.

**Provenance and peer review** Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, build upon this work for non-commercial purposes, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ © Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

**REFERENCES**


