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INTRODUCTION

Arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy (ACM) is a genetic heart muscle disease characterised by substitution of the ventricular myocardium by fibrofatty tissue.\(^1\) The disease was originally termed ‘arrhythmogenic right ventricular (dysplasia/) cardiomyopathy’ (ARVC) to define a condition which distinctively affected the right ventricle (RV) and predisposed to potentially fatal ventricular arrhythmias, particularly in young individuals and athletes.\(^2–4\) New insights arising from postmortem investigations, genotype–phenotype correlation studies and myocardial tissue characterisation by contrast-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) led to increased awareness that the disease often also involves the left ventricle (LV).\(^5–11\) The current designation of ‘arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy’ better reflects the evolving concept of a heart muscle disease affecting both ventricles, with some phenotypic variants characterised by a parallel or predominant involvement of the LV. The adjective ‘arrhythmogenic’ refers to the distinctive clinical propensity of the disease to cause ventricular arrhythmias in relation to the fibrofatty scar tissue, which is a recognised arrhythmogenic myocardial substrate. Patients with a long-standing disease may develop RV or biventricular dysfunction.\(^4\)

This definition of ACM substantially differs from that provided by the 2019 expert consensus statement endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS),\(^12\) that is, a non-specific entity which encompasses a heterogeneous group of heart muscle disorders, including ‘systemic’ diseases such as sarcoidosis and amyloidosis; ‘inflammatory’ diseases such as myocarditis; ‘infectious’ diseases such as Chagas disease; and ‘genetic’ diseases such as desmosomal and non-desmosomal forms; and ‘ion channel diseases’. According to the HRS document, the vague common denominator of this miscellaneous group of ‘arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathies’ was the ‘clinical presentation with symptoms or documentation of atrial fibrillation, conduction disease, and/or RV and/or LV arrhythmia’. The HRS definition appears inappropriate because ACM is a nosographically distinct condition characterised by typical cardiomyopathic features.

The current classification of ACM includes the following major phenotypes: (1) the original ARVC phenotype with predominant RV involvement and no LV abnormalities (‘dominant-right’ variant); (2) the phenotypic variant characterised by the equal involvement of both ventricles (‘biventricular’); and (3) the phenotypic variant characterised by predominant LV involvement with no or minor RV abnormalities (‘dominant-left’), also referred to as ‘arrhythmogenic left ventricular cardiomyopathy (ALVC)’.\(^13–14\)

In 2000 we had the privilege to write a commissioned article for Education in Heart on ‘Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy: pathogenesis, diagnosis and therapy’.\(^15\) Twenty years later, we are proud to provide for the same educational section of Heart with an updated article on ‘arrhythmogenic left ventricular cardiomyopathy (ALVC)’, which represents the more recently characterised variant at the other end of the broad spectrum of the phenotypic expression of ACM.

The present article addresses the available knowledge on the genetic background, phenotypic features including clinical, ECG, arrhythmic and imaging findings, risk stratification and therapy of ALVC. The 2020 international diagnostic criteria for ACM (the ‘Padua criteria’), which included criteria for diagnosis of left-sided phenotypic variants, will also be discussed.\(^14\)

PHENOTYPE

The increasing availability of pathological and clinical studies on patients with left-sided phenotypic variants of ACM has provided significant insights into the prevalence and the clinical manifestations of ALVC.\(^5–23\)

In the seminal pathological study on the heart of sudden cardiac death (SCD) victims (or patients undergoing heart transplantation) with ACM, evidence of macroscopic and/or histological LV involvement was found in 76% of cases, a figure showing that the disease affects the LV in the majority of cases.\(^6\) LV lesions usually affected both the LV free wall and the septum, more often regionally, with a predilection for the posteroseptal and posterolateral areas. The LV lesion was most often located in the outer layer of the wall musculature and consisted of large areas of subepicardial (and less frequently mid-myocardial) fibrofatty myocardium replacement, similarly to the RV lesions. A more recent autopsy study confirmed that LV
involvement was observed in majority (87%) of ACM-related SCD victims (20% athletes) and appeared as an isolated pathological finding (ALVC) in almost a fifth of cases. The most common areas of fibrofatty infiltration were the LV posterobasal (68%) and anterolateral (58%) walls. Postmortem genetic testing yielded pathogenic variants in ACM-related genes in 25% decedents.

CMR studies in living patients fulfilling the 2010 International Task Force (ITF) criteria have consistently shown that LV involvement in terms of morphofunctional (LV global or regional systolic dysfunction) and/or structural (LV late gadolinium enhancement (LGE)) abnormalities is identified in more than half of patients.

According to the available findings of clinical studies, phenotypic features of left-sided ACM include the following (figure 1): (1) ECG abnormalities such as low-amplitude QRS complexes (peak to peak <0.5 mV) in limb leads and T-wave inversion or flattening in the lateral (or interlateral) leads, although the ECG is often normal; (2) ventricular arrhythmias with a right bundle branch block (RBBB) morphology of the ectopic QRS (denoting the origin from the LV); (3) normal or slightly depressed LV systolic function with no (or mild) dilatation; (4) large amount of myocardial fibrosis evidenced by contrast-enhanced CMR as LGE; and (5) ‘non-ischemic’ pattern of LGE, predominantly involving the subepicardial layers of the inferior and the inferolateral regions. This last finding is in agreement with the notion that the wave-front of myocardial loss and fibrofatty replacement in the LV wall proceeds from the epicardium to the endocardium, with scar tissue mostly confined to the epicardial layers of the wall. The subendocardial layer which mostly accounts for the regional LV wall contractility is usually conserved, a factor which explains the normal results of echocardiography, cine-CMR and left ventriculography in a sizeable proportion of patients, due to the preservation of global LV systolic function and the lack of wall motion abnormalities.22 23 As a corollary, an imaging approach limited to a mere evaluation of the LV function, either global or regional, by echocardiography, angiography or cine-CMR appears insufficient to detect LV involvement and characterise the LV phenotype in patients with ACM.

GENOTYPEDiagnosis

Most of the pathogenic mutations of ACM affect genes encoding structural proteins that are involved in the organisation of intercellular junctions.1 4 26 27 These include genes encoding cardiac desmosomal proteins most frequently, such as plakophilin (PKP2), desmoplakin (DSP), desmoglein (DSG2) and desmocollin (DSC2), and rarely (<1%) genes encoding for adherens junctional proteins of the ‘area composita’, such as α-T-catenin (CTNNAA3) and N-cadherin (CDH2). ACM-causing mutations have also been found in non-desmosomal genes such as phospholamban (PLN), filamin C (FLNC), desmin (DES), titin (TTN) and lamin A/C (LMNA), which are associated with other cardiomyopathies, such as dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and neuromuscular cardiomyopathies, and may lead to overlapping phenotypes. Causal mutations in non-desmosomal genes, such as transmembrane protein 43 (TMEM43) and transforming growth factor beta-3 (TGFβ-3) genes, have been uncommonly identified. Genotype–phenotype correlation studies have demonstrated that mutations in DSP, PLN and FLNC genes are the most common gene defects causing left-sided ACM (figure 2).28 29

Desmoplakin is one of the major components of the desmosome that connects the cytoskeletal networks to the cellular membrane, specifically linking the desmoscrons and desmolegs to the intermediate filament through interaction with plakoglobin and plakophilin. A number of human DSP gene mutations have been linked with ACM, which manifest characteristically with early LV involvement occurring in isolation or preceding RV disease.28 Of note, in the initial report of the DSP gene mutation responsible for ‘Carvajal syndrome’, the cardiac phenotype resembled that of DCM as opposed to the classic ARVC phenotype.26

Phospholamban normally inhibits the sarcoendoplasmic reticulum calcium transport ATPase, and PLN gene mutations cause dysregulated calcium flux, predisposing to prominent arrhythmia and ventricular dysfunction. Mutations in PLN gene are relatively common in the Netherlands, where a founder mutation of PLN (p.Arg14del) is found in up to 15% of probands with ACM. PLN mutation most frequently gives rise to an ACM predominantly affecting the LV similar to DCM.21

Mutations of the FLNC gene were initially associated with a particular form of skeletal myofibrillar myopathy. The protein product, filamin C, is mainly expressed in skeletal and cardiac muscles and functions at Z-discs and in the subsarcolemmal regions. Truncating mutations of FLNC were subsequently shown to lead to cardiomyopathy, without skeletal muscle involvement. Isolated FLNC-related cardiomyopathy shows an overlapping phenotype with DCM and is characterised by LV systolic dysfunction with mild dilatation and marked fibrosis.19

DIAGNOSIS

In 2020, an international expert report critically reviewed the 2010 Task Force (TF) criteria for the diagnosis of ARVC with the aim to identify areas of potential improvement.13 The 2010 TF guidelines exclusively targeted the original RV phenotype features, did not provide diagnostic criteria for the left-sided forms, and lacked tissue characterisation findings by gadolinium-enhanced CMR for detection of myocardial fibrosis, which are determinants of an accurate diagnosis of the LV phenotype.13 22-24

A 2020 international expert consensus document provided upgraded criteria for the diagnosis of ACM, with the introduction of specific diagnostic criteria for the LV phenotype.14 The 2020 international criteria, also known as the ‘Padua criteria’, represents a modern approach to diagnosis
Education in Heart of ACM based on research achievements and the clinical and imaging progress over 30 years at the Medical School of the University of Padua. The Padua criteria were reviewed and shared by several international experts, resulting in an international consensus document.

According to the 2020 international criteria, the diagnosis of biventricular and left-dominant variants of ACM based on research achievements and the clinical and imaging progress over 30 years at the Medical School of the University of Padua. The Padua criteria were reviewed and shared by several international experts, resulting in an international consensus document.

According to the 2020 international criteria, the diagnosis of biventricular and left-dominant variants
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**Figure 1**  Phenotypic features of arrhythmogenic left ventricular cardiomyopathy. ECG strips showing low QRS voltages (<0.5 mV) in the limb leads (arrow) (A), negative T-waves in leads V4–V6 (arrows) (B), premature ventricular beat with a right bundle branch block and superior axis (C), and ventricular tachycardia with a right bundle branch block and inferior axis morphology (D). Two-dimensional echocardiogram (diastolic frame in four-chamber view) showing a non-dilated and normokinetic (not shown) left ventricle (E). Cardiac magnetic resonance (diastolic frame of four-chamber view on postcontrast sequences) showing diffuse late gadolinium enhancement involving the subepicardial layer of the left ventricle free wall and the septum (F). Histological panoramic view of the posterolateral left ventricular wall showing replacement-type myocardial fibrosis mostly involving the subepicardial layer (trichrome stain) (G).
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**Figure 2**  Disease-causing genetic defects in arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy according to predominant ventricular involvement. Mutations in DSP, PLN and FLNC genes are the most common gene defects causing arrhythmogenic left ventricular cardiomyopathy. LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle.
of ACM is based on the same multiparametric approach recommended by the 2010 TF criteria for classic ARVC, with six categories of criteria which encompass morphofunctional ventricular abnormalities, structural myocardial tissue alterations, electrocardiographic changes of ventricular depolarisation and repolarisation, ventricular arrhythmias, and familial and genetic findings. The criteria considered necessary for the diagnosis of LV disease variants are classified as ‘major’, while those not necessary but contributing to the refinement of LV phenotype characterization as ‘minor’.

The proposed 2020 international criteria by different categories for the diagnosis of RV and LV phenotypes are summarised in Table 1.

While based on the 2010 TF criteria myocardial tissue characterisation relied exclusively on the histopathological study by endomyocardial biopsy samples, the 2020 international criteria recommend that structural myocardial abnormalities are investigated by the CMR LGE technique, which allows the identification of replacement of the LV myocardium by fibrofatty scar tissue. The accuracy of the 2020 diagnostic scoring system for phenotypic diagnosis of left-sided ACM varies according to different disease forms. In case of biventricular ACM, the fulfilment of the diagnostic criteria for the RV phenotype ensures that the concomitant left-sided abnormalities are disease-specific. Hence, the diagnosis of biventricular ACM can be reliably made in the presence of phenotypic features such as morphofunctional and structural abnormalities of both ventricles.

In patients without clinically detectable RV abnormalities, LV phenotypic criteria in isolation do not allow a conclusive diagnosis of ALVC as the morphofunctional and structural LV abnormalities are not sufficiently disease-specific due to the overlap with the phenotypic features of other heart muscle diseases such as DCM, myocarditis or cardiac sarcoidosis. Hence, in the presence of consistent LV phenotypic features, demonstration of a pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutation of ACM related genes is mandatory for diagnosis of ALVC.

According to the 2020 international criteria, the diagnosis of each phenotypic variant of ACM requires that at least one criterion from category 1 (ie, morphofunctional ventricular abnormalities) or category 2 (ie, structural myocardial abnormalities), either major or minor, is met. The reason is that ACM is not a channelopathy whose diagnosis relies on demonstration of pathogenic mutations, ECG abnormalities or arrhythmias in the presence of a normal heart, but a cardiomyopathy with distinctive structural ventricular abnormalities, which are an integral part of the phenotype.

Although CMR has become the leading imaging modality for diagnosis of ACM due to its unique capability of identifying fibrofatty myocardial replacement by LGE tissue characterisation, novel and sensitive ultrasound techniques such as strain echocardiography can be useful for detection of early ventricular dysfunction.

In the 2020 international criteria, the scoring system for diagnosis of left-sided phenotypic variants of ACM is substantially unchanged compared with the 2010 TF criteria for diagnosis of ARVC. The biventricular variant is diagnosed in patients fulfilling morphofunctional and/or structural abnormalities of both the RV and the LV. The diagnosis is classified as definite when two major, one major and two minor, or four minor criteria of different categories from both ventricles are fulfilled; borderline, one major and one minor, or three minor criteria; and possible, one major or two minor criteria. Of note, ≥1 morphofunctional and/or structural criteria, either major or minor, are needed for each diagnosis. The diagnosis of ALVC, without clinical evidence of RV abnormalities, needs demonstration of ACM-causing gene mutations or familial ACM, in association with consistent LV structural abnormalities (Figure 3).

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

The ALVC phenotype can overlap with that of other genetic cardiomyopathies, neuromuscular diseases, or non-genetic disorders such as acquired DCM, myocarditis and sarcoidosis. These latter heart muscle disorders represent the most challenging differential diagnoses with ALVC.

Dilated cardiomyopathy

DCM is the most common condition requiring a differential diagnosis with ALVC due to overlapping phenotypic features such as LV systolic dysfunction and myocardial fibrosis. The main discriminant features between the two cardiomyopathies are reported in Table 2. Significant differences are identified by CMR imaging study, which consist of the specific LV remodelling pattern, the extent and regional distribution of myocardial fibrosis as evidenced by LGE, and the relation between the amount of LGE and LV systolic dysfunction. The typical ventricular remodelling pattern of ALVC consists of a hypokinetic (or normokinetic) LV, with no or mild cavity dilatation, in comparison with that of DCM characterised by significant LV ventricular dilatation and systolic dysfunction. Tissue characterisation findings by CMR offer the potential to identify and quantify the myocardial LGE/fibrosis. While LGE is detected in <50% of DCM cases, 100% of patients with ALVC with LV systolic dysfunction show the presence of LV LGE. The distribution of LGE differs between the two conditions, predominantly affecting the subepicardial inferolateral regions in ALVC versus mid-mural septal segments in DCM (Figure 4). In ALVC, the coexistence of fatty myocardial infiltration is often observed on dedicated sequences in the same regions of LGE or in remote LV areas.

The amount of LV myocardial fibrosis/LGE is significantly greater in patients with ALVC than in patients with DCM (online supplemental figure 1) and directly related to the severity of LV systolic dysfunction (online supplemental figure 2) because it acts as a pathological marker of...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>The ‘Padua criteria’ for diagnosis of arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category</strong></td>
<td><strong>Right ventricle</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Morphofunctional ventricular abnormalities</td>
<td>By echocardiography, CMR or angiography: Major: Regional RV akinesia, dyskinesia or bulging plus one of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Structural myocardial abnormalities</td>
<td>By CE-CMR: Major:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Transmural LGE (stria pattern) of ≥1 RV region(s) (inlet, outlet and apex in two orthogonal views).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>By EMB (limited indications): Major:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Fibrous replacement of the myocardium in ≥1 sample, with or without fatty tissue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Repolarisation abnormalities</td>
<td>Major:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Inverted T-waves in right precordial leads (V1, V2 and V3) or beyond in individuals with complete pubertal development (in the absence of complete RBBB).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Inverted T-waves in leads V1 and V2 in individuals with complete pubertal development (in the absence of complete RBBB).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Inverted T-waves in V1, V2, V3 and V4 in individuals with complete pubertal development in the presence of complete RBBB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Depolarisation abnormalities</td>
<td>Minor:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Epsilon wave (reproducible low-amplitude signals between end of QRS complex and onset of the T-wave) in the right precordial leads (V1–V3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Terminal activation duration of QRS ≥55 ms measured from the nadir of the S-wave to the end of the QRS, including R', in V1, V2 or V3 (in the absence of complete RBBB).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Ventricular arrhythmias</td>
<td>Major:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Frequent ventricular extrasystoles (&gt;500 per 24 hours), non-sustained or sustained ventricular tachycardia of LBBB morphology.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Frequent ventricular extrasystoles (&gt;500 per 24 hours), non-sustained or sustained ventricular tachycardia of LBBB morphology with inferior axis (‘RVOT pattern’).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Family history/genetics</td>
<td>Major:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ ACM confirmed in a first-degree relative who meets the diagnostic criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ ACM confirmed pathologically at autopsy or surgery in a first-degree relative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Identification of a pathogenic or likely pathogenetic ACM mutation in the patient under evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minor:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ History of ACM in a first-degree relative in whom it is not possible or practical to determine whether the family member meets the diagnostic criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➢ Premature sudden death (&lt;35 years of age) due to suspected ACM in a first-degree relative.ACM confirmed pathologically or by diagnostic criteria in a second-degree relative.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACM, arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy; BSA, body surface area; CE-CMR, contrast-enhanced-cardiac magnetic resonance; EDV, end diastolic volume; EE, ejection fraction; EMB, endomyocardial biopsy; LBBB, left bundle branch block; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricle; RBBB, right bundle branch block; RV, right ventricle; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract.

Myocardial contractile reserve. On the contrary, LV LGE in DCM represents an epiphenomenon and is unrelated to the reduction of the LV systolic function. 22

In the current guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology, the so-called ‘hypokinetic, non-dilated LV cardiomyopathy’ has been classified as part of the clinical spectrum of DCM and considered as a disease variant with a less expressed phenotype. 37 However, there is compelling evidence that this cardiomyopathy remodelling, distinctively characterised by extensive, non-transmural scaring of the LV which scarcely affects the LV global and regional systolic function, fits better with the phenotype of ALVC, suggesting that a sizeable proportion of cardiomyopathies previously diagnosed as
morphologically mild expression of DCM should be reclassified as ALVC.\textsuperscript{16 17 22}

The LV systolic dysfunction of ALVC may become more severe in advanced stages, as a result of a disease progression by increasing the extent of LGE which affects multiple segments of the LV free wall and septum, with a more transmural involvement.\textsuperscript{1 15} Demonstration of an ACM-causing gene mutation in association with a consistent phenotype is mandatory for diagnosis of ALVC.\textsuperscript{14}

Myocarditis

Isolated LV LGE with subepicardial/mid-myocardial distribution (ie, non-ischaemic) is traditionally interpreted as the consequence of a previous myocarditis, although there is increasing evidence that it may reflect a segmental ALVC (Figure 5).\textsuperscript{25 38 39} Similar to ALVC, a postinflammatory scar may induce ECG changes, such as low-amplitude QRS complexes in limb leads, T-wave inversion and ventricular arrhythmias with RBBB. Moreover, because of its focal and non-transmural wall involvement, the myocardial scar may be undetectable by echocardiography.\textsuperscript{38 39}

Non-ischaemic myocardial scar has been reported to act as a myocardial substrate for life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias and cardiac arrest, mostly in young people and athletes. A previous study reported that during a mean 3-year follow-up 22% of athletes with LV scar-related ventricular arrhythmias experienced major arrhythmic events including SCD, compared with none of the control athletes with ventricular arrhythmias in the absence of LV scar.\textsuperscript{25} The most important clinical implication of detection of a non-ischaemic LV scar is that it cannot be dismissed as a benign sign of a healed remote inflammatory process but deserves proper

---

**Table 2** Differential diagnosis between ALVC and DCM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ALVC</th>
<th>DCM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Predominant genetic background</td>
<td>Mutations of genes encoding for desmosomal proteins, phospholamban and filamin C.</td>
<td>Mutations of genes encoding for cytoskeleton, muscular sarcomer and nuclear envelope proteins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main clinical manifestations</td>
<td>Palpitations, syncope and cardiac arrest.</td>
<td>Heart failure and cardiac arrest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG abnormalities</td>
<td>Low QRS voltages in limb leads; negative T-waves in (infero-)lateral leads.</td>
<td>Left ventricular hypertrophy with a strain pattern of ST segment; LBBB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiography and CMR imaging features</td>
<td>Non-dilated and hypokinetic LV with a large amount of non-ischaemic (subepicardial) LGE (inferolateral LV wall). Regional wall motion abnormalities (common). Systolic LV dysfunction related to the global extent of LGE.</td>
<td>Dilated and hypokinetic LV with no or patchy non-ischaemic (mid-myocardial) LGE (septum). Regional wall motion abnormalities (uncommon). Systolic LV dysfunction unrelated to the global extent of LGE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histopathological features</td>
<td>Fibrofatty myocardial replacement.</td>
<td>Non-specific myocardial abnormalities: fibrosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of ventricular arrhythmias</td>
<td>PVBs, NSVT and monomorphic sustained VT (RBBB pattern; both LBBB and RBBB patterns in biventricular form); VF.</td>
<td>PVBs and NSVT (RBBB pattern); uncommon sustained VT; VF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of VT</td>
<td>Scar-related re-entry.</td>
<td>Scar-related or functional re-entry (branch to branch re-entry).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most common site of VT origin</td>
<td>Subepicardial infrolateral LV free wall.</td>
<td>Intramural septum.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALVC, arrhythmogenic left ventricular cardiomyopathy; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy; LBBB, left bundle branch block; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricle; NSVT, non sustained ventricular tachycardia; PVBs, premature ventricular beats; RBBB, right bundle branch block; VF, ventricular fibrillation; VT, ventricular tachycardia.
Figure 4  Left ventricular remodelling and regional distribution of LGE in ACM-LV phenotype versus DCM-LV phenotype. Comparison between CMR findings in the subgroup of patients with ACM (left) and patients with DCM (right) with overlapping LV phenotypes (ie, LV systolic dysfunction and LV LGE) shows that (1) ACM has lower LV volume and mass, less depressed LVEF (not shown) and greater amount of LV LGE (ie, ‘hypokinetic, non-dilated and fibrotic left ventricle’) compared with patients with DCM; (2) LV LGE predominately affects the inferolateral segments in ACM and septal segments in DCM; and (3) in ACM the LV LGE appears as a stria pattern that more frequently affects the subepicardial layers, compared with the spot/patchy pattern and the mid-myocardial location of DCM. Modified from Cipriana et al.22 ACM, arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy; ARVC, arrhythmogenic right ventricular (dysplasia) cardiomyopathy; CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.
Affected patients should be evaluated for a family history of cardiomyopathy, clinical history of acute myocarditis, presence of symptoms and the arrhythmogenicity of the myocardial fibrosis. Molecular genetic testing with demonstration of ACM gene mutation is needed in selected non-familial cases for a conclusive differential diagnosis between ALVC and myocarditis.

Cardiac sarcoidosis
Clinical manifestations common to cardiac sarcoidosis and ALVC include myocardial scarring with dilatation/dysfunction leading to life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias and heart failure. The most important feature distinguishing cardiac sarcoidosis from ALVC is the presence of infrahisian conduction abnormalities such as bundle branch block and atrioventricular block as a consequence of the predilection of granulomatous infiltration for the basal interventricular septum, which is usually absent in ALVC. Although definitive diagnosis of sarcoidosis is made by demonstration of granulomatous infiltration on endomyocardial or extracardiac biopsy, non-invasive imaging modalities such as CMR and positron emission tomography are increasingly used in the clinical setting.

Suggestive of cardiac sarcoidosis are findings of LGE localised in the basal septum and regional fluorodeoxyglucose uptake which indicates active inflammatory lesions (figure 6).

RISK STRATIFICATION AND THERAPY
The first observational study on the clinical impact of implantable cardioverted defibrillator (ICD) therapy on the natural history of 134 patients with ARVC showed that nearly half of patients had at least one episode of ventricular tachyarrhythmia that required ICD intervention over a mean follow-up period of 3.3 years and 24% experienced ventricular fibrillation/flutter that in all likelihood

Figure 5 Cardiac magnetic resonance features and histopathological findings of structural changes in myocarditis and ALVC. Myocarditis (A and B): postcontrast T1 inversion recovery sequence in short-axis view showing subepicardial LGE of the inferolateral LV wall (arrows) (A); corresponding panoramic histopathological view of the inferolateral LV wall showing extensive fibrous tissue replacement in the subepicardial layer of the myocardium (B). Desmosomal gene-related ALVC in a sudden cardiac death victim carrying a DSP mutation (C and D): postcontrast T1 inversion recovery sequence in short-axis view showing subepicardial LGE of the inferolateral LV wall (arrows) in a DSP gene mutation carrier (C). Panoramic histopathological view showing fibrofatty myocardial replacement of the outer layer of the inferolateral LV wall (D). Modified from Corrado et al13 ALVC, arrhythmogenic left ventricular cardiomyopathy; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricle.
Arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy (ACM) is a biventricular muscle disease with left ventricle (LV) involvement which may parallel (biventricular) or exceed (left-dominant/arrhythmogenic left ventricular cardiomyopathy (ALVC)) the severity of the right ventricle (RV) disease. ‘Functional’ imaging evaluation of the LV is insufficient for diagnosis of ALVC, which requires myocardial tissue characterisation by the late gadolinium enhancement technique. In patients with an ALVC phenotype (and no RV abnormalities), demonstration of a pathogenic mutation in ACM-related genes is mandatory for diagnosis. Implantable cardioverted defibrillator implantation for primary prevention should be considered in the presence of a large arrhythmic ventricular scar, even if the LV systolic function is not severely depressed.

**Key messages**

- Arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy (ACM) is a biventricular muscle disease with left ventricle (LV) involvement which may parallel (biventricular) or exceed (left-dominant/arrhythmogenic left ventricular cardiomyopathy (ALVC)) the severity of the right ventricle (RV) disease.
- ‘Functional’ imaging evaluation of the LV is insufficient for diagnosis of ALVC, which requires myocardial tissue characterisation by the late gadolinium enhancement technique.
- In patients with an ALVC phenotype (and no RV abnormalities), demonstration of a pathogenic mutation in ACM-related genes is mandatory for diagnosis.
- Implantable cardioverted defibrillator implantation for primary prevention should be considered in the presence of a large arrhythmic ventricular scar, even if the LV systolic function is not severely depressed.
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Figure 6  Magnetic resonance and cardiac positron emission tomography features of cardiac sarcoidosis. Postcontrast T1 inversion recovery sequence in four-chamber view showing LGE of the interventricular septum (black arrows) and subepicardial lateral LV wall (white arrows) (A). Topographic concordance between the epicardial spot of LGE involving the anterior (B, single white arrow) and inferior (B, white arrows) LV regions and the areas of fludeoxyglucose uptake on positron emission tomography (C, white arrows pointing to yellow–red areas). Modified from Corrado et al. LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; LV, left ventricle.

would have been fatal without termination by the device. On multivariate analysis, independent predictors of ventricular fibrillation/flutter included history of cardiac arrest or ventricular tachycardia with haemodynamic compromise, younger age and left ventricular involvement (evaluated as a reduction of the LV ejection fraction). Subsequently, a study aimed to identify the risk factors for long-term prognosis among 130 patients with ARVC showed that during a mean follow-up of 8 years, 24 patients died (21 from cardiovascular death), with an annual mortality rate of 2.3%. Clinical signs of RV failure and LV dysfunction were independently associated with cardiovascular deaths. Recent imaging studies reported that LV involvement and LV-dominant presentation (ie, ALVC) predicted a worse prognosis compared with isolated RV disease or normal CMR.

The appropriate management strategy for ALVC is not completely established. Restriction of sports activity and prophylactic β-blocker therapy are indicated to prevent ‘adrenergic dependent’ ventricular arrhythmias. Successful mapping/catheter ablation of scar-related sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT) is feasible using an epicardial approach through the pericardial space to reach the re-entry circuit which is located in the outer layer of LV wall. Only the implantation of an ICD has been proven to successfully prevent SCD by interrupting potentially lethal ventricular arrhythmias. According to the 2015 TF consensus documents, three categories of arrhythmic risk (‘high’, ‘moderate’ and ‘low’ risk) are considered for indication to ICD implantation. It is noteworthy that the LV systolic function was a key factor for risk stratification. The ‘high-risk’ category (class I recommendation to ICD implantation) comprised patients with a history of cardiac arrest or haemodynamically unstable VT or those with severe ventricular dysfunction, either right (RV fractional area change ≤17% or RV ejection fraction ≤35%) or left (LV ejection fraction ≤35%). ICD implantation was also indicated (class IIa recommendation) in patients from the ‘intermediate risk’ category with ≥1 ‘major’ risk factors, such as syncope, non-sustained VT or moderate right (RV fractional area change 17%–24% or RV ejection fraction 36%–40%) and/or left (LV ejection fraction 36%–43%) ventricular dysfunction. This reflects the concept that, at variance with DCM, the pathogenesis of life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias in ALVC extends beyond the severe depression of LV systolic function, being strongly related to the large amount of myocardial fibrosis, which is an independent arrhythmic risk factor. Thus, ICD therapy for primary prevention of SCD should be considered earlier in the disease course, when the degree of LV systolic dysfunction is still mild or moderate. Subcutaneous ICD has been proven to substantially reduce lead-related complications but maintaining efficacy and should be considered for primary prevention in young patients.

Patients with advanced ALVC and severe systolic dysfunction are treated with the traditional heart...
failure therapy. Heart transplantation is the final therapeutic option for those patients with either end-stage and unresponsive congestive heart failure or VT/ventricular fibrillation storms refractory to catheter (and surgical) ablation and/or ICD therapy.

**SUMMARY/CONCLUSIONS**

The spectrum of ACM phenotypes is broader than previously believed and besides ARVC includes biventricular variants and ALVC. The 2010 TF criteria lacked specific criteria for diagnosis of left-sided phenotypes, which resulted in underdiagnosis of ACM. The 2020 international diagnostic criteria were provided to fill the gaps of previous TF guidelines, mostly by introduction of a new criteria for the diagnosis of the LV phenotype, mostly based on CMR myocardial tissue characterisation findings which are determinants for detection of ALVC. Clinical studies on large patient cohorts are needed to validate the accuracy and quantitate the impact of the 2020 international criteria in diagnosing the entire spectrum of ACM variants, with particular reference to ALVC.

Future directions of research include comprehensive understanding of the pathogenesis, increased awareness of clinical manifestations and outcome, as well as more accurate risk stratification and effective therapy for ALVC.
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