OPEN ACCESS

Original research

Impact of cascade screening for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia type 1

Keiko Shimamoto ,¹ Seiko Ohno,² Koichi Kato,³ Koichiro Takayama,² Keiko Sonoda,² Megumi Fukuyama,³ Takeru Makiyama,⁴ Satomi Okamura,⁵ Koko Asakura,⁵ Noriaki Imanishi,⁶ Yoshiaki Kato,⁷ Heima Sakaguchi,⁷ Tsukasa Kamakura,¹ Mitsuru Wada,¹ Kenichiro Yamagata,¹ Kohei Ishibashi,¹ Yuko Inoue,¹ Koji Miyamoto,¹ Satoshi Nagase,¹ Kengo Kusano ,¹ Minoru Horie,³ Takeshi Aiba ^{1,8}

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2021-320220>).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Takeshi Aiba, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita 564-8565, Japan; aiba@ncvc.go.jp

Received 23 August 2021
Accepted 30 December 2021

ABSTRACT

Objective Human cardiac *ryanodine receptor 2* (*RYR2*) shows autosomal-dominant inheritance in catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia type 1 (CPVT1); however, *de novo* variants have been observed in sporadic cases. Here, we investigated CPVT1-related *RYR2* variant inheritance and its clinical significance between familial and *de novo* cases.

Methods We enrolled 82 independent CPVT1 probands (median age: 10.0 (7.0–13.0) years; 45 male) carrying the *RYR2* variants and whose biological origin could be confirmed by parental genetic analysis: assured familial inheritance (familial group: n=24) and *de novo* variants (*de novo* group: n=58). We examined the clinical characteristics of the probands and their family members carrying the *RYR2* variants.

Results In the *de novo* group, the *RYR2* variants were more likely located in the C-terminus domain and less likely in the N-terminus domain than those in the familial group. The cumulative incidence of the first cardiac events (syncope and cardiac arrest (CA) or CA only) of the probands at the age of 5 and 10 years was higher in the *de novo* group than in the familial group. Nearly half of the probands in both groups experienced CA events before diagnosis. Only 37.5% of their genotype-positive parents had symptoms; however, at least 66.7% of the genotype-positive siblings were symptomatic.

Conclusions CPVT1 probands harbouring *de novo* *RYR2* variants showed an earlier onset of symptoms than those with assured familial inheritance. Cascade screening may enable early diagnosis, risk stratification and prophylactic therapeutic intervention to prevent sudden cardiac death of probands and potential genotype-positive family members.

Ca²⁺ release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum to the cytosol during the plateau phase of the action potential responsible for myocardial contraction, and CPVT1-related *RYR2* variants have been reported to cause abnormal Ca²⁺ leak from sarcoplasmic reticulum, which may induce arrhythmias under elevated adrenergic tone.^{9–11}

Autosomal-dominant inheritance of the CPVT1-related *RYR2* variants was initially identified from a large family cascade screening.^{1–4} *De novo* variants have also been found in 35%–92% of CPVT1 probands,^{12–14} but the phenotypic differences between *de novo* and familial cases remain unclear. Generally, mutation-specific genetic testing for family members is recommended if a disease-causative *RYR2* variant is identified in the CPVT proband.^{15–16} However, limited evidence exists as to whether inheritance can be assessed by the phenotypes and who would benefit from genetic testing. Moreover, as genetic testing sometimes raises sensitive discussion and some family members are hesitant to undergo testing, further clinical data to support cascade screening are required. Hence, we aimed to investigate the inheritance of CPVT1-related *RYR2* variants, their clinical significance between *de novo* and familial probands, and clinical features of family members in the familial group.

METHODS

Settings and participants

Among 346 Japanese patients (probands) with suspected CPVT and had undergone *RYR2* genetic screening at the National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Japan (2006–2021), or Shiga University of Medical Science, Japan, (2005–2020), 170 *RYR2*-negative probands and 13 probands who did not meet the CPVT criteria (n=12) or whose parents had an apparent mosaic *RYR2* variant (n=2) were excluded. Probands who had a compound heterozygous variant inherited from both parents (n=1) and had a double mutation of maternal origin (n=1) were excluded. Furthermore, 72 probands whose family screening had not been completely performed were excluded. Therefore, in this retrospective study, we enrolled 82 sets of independent family lines whose probands were clinically diagnosed with CPVT carrying *RYR2* variants based on the 2013 expert consensus recommendation

INTRODUCTION

Variants of the human cardiac ryanodine receptor 2 gene (*RYR2*) are known arrhythmogenic underliers responsible for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia type 1 (CPVT1),^{1–4} identified in ~60% of patients with catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT)^{4–7} and clinically characterised as exercise-induced or emotional stress-induced polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (VT) capable of leading to sudden cardiac death, especially in young patients.⁸ The cardiac ryanodine receptor channel controls

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Shimamoto K, Ohno S, Kato K, et al. *Heart* Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2021-320220

Figure 1 Study profile. Study flowchart showing patients (proband) with CPVT with *RYR2* pathogenic (P) or likely pathogenic (LP) variants and those in which *RYR2* variants were determined to have or have not originated from either parents. CPVT, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia.

(figure 1).⁸ For the *RYR2* variants, we included only pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics guideline (online supplemental table 1).¹⁷

Written informed consent was obtained from the subjects before genetic testing at each institute. Affirmative agreement for

genetic and clinical studies from subjects and permission of their parents were obtained for child subjects. Some probands were previously described in studies from 2015, 2016 and 2018.^{14 18}

When only one of the parents was identified as carrying the same *RYR2* variant as the child (proband), we included him/her in the familial inheritance group, even if the other parent did

Figure 2 Location of the *RYR2* variants. The *RYR2* variants of the probands in this study. Red characters, maternal-originated variants; blue characters, paternal-originated variants and black characters, *de novo* variants. The encircled number adjacent to each variant shows the number of probands who had the same variant.

Figure 3 Family pedigrees of familial CPVT. Among 24 pedigrees of familial CPVT1 cases, 14 families underwent complete genetic screening for both parents. In the remaining 10 families, there was only one parent confirmed as genotype-positive, and the other was not completely confirmed as genotype-negative. CPVT, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia.

not undergo genetic testing. Contrastingly, when neither parent carried the same *RYR2* variant, we included them in the *de novo* group. In the inherited families, the genotype and phenotype of the siblings were also examined. The clinical characteristics of the *de novo* and familial groups, maternal-originated or paternal-originated variants, were assessed for all probands.

Clinical findings

Clinical information of the probands and family members were obtained from their medical records, and cardiac arrest (CA) and syncopal events were investigated. Syncope was defined as a transient loss of consciousness with or without seizures or confirmed ventricular arrhythmia that did not require resuscitation or defibrillation. The QT interval was corrected (QTc) for the heart rate using Bazett's formula. We defined bradycardia as heart rate below the second percentile for age.^{19 20} Bidirectional VT was defined as beat-to-beat alternation of the QRS axis present for more than four beats on any ECG recording.²¹

Genetic testing and location classification

Genetic screening of all probands and families was performed by combining the conventional Sanger method, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification and next-generation sequencing using MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA), as previously described.¹⁸ Variants detected with next-generation sequencing were further reconfirmed by Sanger sequencing for accuracy in results. We assessed the *RYR2* variant location distribution by classifying four groups based on the known disease-related variant cluster domain: (1) N-terminal domain (amino acid (AA) 44–466), (2) central domain (AA 2256–2434), (3) C-terminal domain (AA 3778–4201 and 4497–4959) and (4) others outside the three domains.^{9 22 23} As for missense variants, genetic significance was confirmed using information from public databases to exclude normal variation. This information was gathered in May 2021.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables are expressed as median (IQR). The Mann-Whitney U test was employed to compare continuous variables. Categorical variables are presented as number (n) and percentage (%) and compared using the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. To evaluate eccentricity distribution of *RYR2* variant locations, multiplicity-adjusted p values were calculated using the Bonferroni procedure. Gray's test was used to examine the equality of cumulative incidence for first syncope, first CA and any first cardiac event before CPVT diagnosis in probands among the familial and *de novo* groups, and multiplicity-adjusted p values were calculated using the Bonferroni procedure. We treated diagnosis and medication therapy initiation as competing risks in order to analyse the probands' event rate and diagnosis of probands or their siblings to analyse the siblings' event rate. The OR with a 95% CI was estimated using univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses and adjusted for sex to evaluate parent-predictive factors responsible for CA events in a proband. Results with a $p < 0.05$ based on a two-sided test were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using EZR (V.1.51; Saitama Medical Center, Jichi Medical University, Saitama, Japan),²⁴ a graphical user interface for R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and SAS software (V.9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

Patients and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of our research.

RESULTS

RYR2 variants and clinical phenotypes of probands

Among 82 CPVT1 probands, 45 (54.9%) were men, 61 (74.4%) had a history of syncope and 41 (50.0%) suffered from CA mainly triggered by exercise or emotional stress before diagnosis.

Sixty-two *RYR2* variants were identified, 59 of which were missense variants. The location of the *RYR2* variants and the clinical background of each proband are shown in figure 2 and online supplemental table 2. Most of the variants were located in the N-terminus (AAs 44–466), central (AAs 2246–2534) and C-terminus (AAs 3378–4201 and 4497–4959) domains, which are CPVT1 hotspots.²³ Information regarding missense variants, such as in silico prediction and allele frequency, obtained from public databases is shown in online supplemental tables 3 and 4.

Differences between *de novo* and familial probands

Fifty-eight probands formed the *de novo* group. Twenty-four probands were confirmed as the familial group, with the same *RYR2* variants identified in the father (n=7) or mother (n=17). Details of the family pedigree of familial cases are shown in figure 3.

The percentages of subjects with initial symptoms, either syncope or CA, and those with the worst symptoms before clinical diagnosis of CPVT did not differ between groups (table 1). However, age at occurrence of the first symptom, CA and clinical diagnosis were significantly lower, and bidirectional VT was more frequently documented in the *de novo* group than in the familial group. Neurological phenotypes such as epilepsy and intellectual disability did not significantly differ between the two groups and were not related to clinical phenotypes (table 1, online supplemental table 5). Distribution of variant location in *RYR2* differed between groups (p<0.001), with variants in the *de novo* group more likely to be located in the C-terminus domain (33/57 (57.9%) vs 3/24 (12.5%), adjusted p<0.001) and less likely located in the N-terminus domain (10/57 (17.5%) vs 14/24 (58.3%), adjusted p<0.001) than those in the familial group.

Figure 4 shows the cumulative cardiac incidence before diagnosis, and table 2 shows actual event rates at different ages and their differences between the groups. The cumulative incidence of syncope or CA was higher in the *de novo* group than in the familial group at 5 and 10 years of age. However, the total event rate at 15 years of age and the overall cumulative incidence were not significantly different between groups (adjusted p=0.36 and p=0.10) (figure 4A,B). The cumulative incidence of the first cardiac event was higher in the *de novo* group than in the familial group at 5, 10 and 15 years of age and cumulative incidence differed between groups (adjusted p=0.002) (figure 4C). This indicates earlier occurrence of the first event in the *de novo* group than in the familial group.

Effects of *RYR2*-variant-carrying parents on probands

Online supplemental table 6 shows the clinical characteristics of genotype-positive parents. Among 24 parents, including 7 (29.2%) fathers and 17 (70.8%) mothers, only 9 (37.5%) cases experienced syncope, including 1 (4.2%) concomitant history of CA. To investigate whether parental history of syncope or CA affected the total incidence of probands before diagnosis, we compared the probands with and without a parental history of syncope or CA (online supplemental table 7). Proband age at the first symptom was significantly lower in probands whose parents had a history of syncope or CA than in those without any symptoms in their parents (7.5 years vs 13.0 years, p=0.016). However, we observed no significant difference in other clinical features between groups. Logistic regression analysis revealed that parental clinical or genetic factors were not always associated with CA events in the CPVT1 probands (online supplemental table 8).

Table 1 Clinical characteristics and variant locations between *de novo* and familial probands

	<i>De novo</i> group	Familial group	P value
Number of probands, n	58	24	
Male sex, n (%)	34 (58.6)	11 (45.8)	0.34
Age at first symptom, years	6.5(5.0, 9.0)	10.0(8.3, 12.8)	<0.001
Age at clinical diagnosis, years	9.0(5.3, 12.0)	13.0(11.0, 14.8)	<0.001
Syncope*, n (%)	41 (70.7)	20 (83.3)	0.28
Syncope age, years	7.0(5.0, 9.0)	10.0(8.0, 13.0)	0.001
CA*, n (%)	31 (53.4)	10 (41.7)	0.47
CA age, years	8.0(5.0, 12.0)	12.0(11.0, 13.8)	0.010
Initial symptom: syncope/CA, n (%)	41/17 (70.7/29.3)	18/4 (81.8/18.2)	0.40
Worst symptom: syncope/CA, n (%)	27/31 (46.6/53.4)	12/10 (54.5/45.5)	0.62
Reason for the genetic test: syncope/CA/ polymorphic VT on exercise stress ECG, n (%)	27/31/0 (46.6/53.4/0)	14/8/2 (58.3/33.3/8.3)	0.036
ECG parameters			
Heart rate, beats per minute	63(55, 77)	59(54, 73)	0.34
QT, ms	402(380, 441)	411(400, 432)	0.42
QTc, ms	421(397, 440)	410(396, 447)	0.95
Bidirectional VT†, n (%)	26 (44.8)	4 (16.7)	0.023
Bradycardia for age, n (%)	16 (27.6)	4 (16.7)	0.40
Atrial fibrillation†, n (%)	6 (10.3)	0 (0)	0.17
Epilepsy, n (%)	13 (22.4)	2 (8.3)	0.21
Intellectual disability, n (%)	8 (13.8)	0 (0)	0.097
Variant location‡, n (%)			
N-terminus domain	10 (17.5)	14 (58.3)	<0.001
Central domain	10 (17.5)	1 (4.2)	
C-terminus domain	33 (57.9)	3 (12.5)	
Other area	4 (7.0)	6 (25.0)	

Data are represented as n (%) and median (IQR).

*All syncope and CA events before clinical diagnosis were counted on the list and some probands have both events.

†Documentation on any ECG recordings

‡Splicing error is not included.

CA, cardiac arrest; VT, ventricular tachycardia.

We further investigated how paternal or maternal origin affects the phenotype of probands, but we observed no significant difference in clinical findings between probands with paternal-originated or maternal-originated *RYR2* variants (online supplemental table 9).

Clinical manifestation of genotype-positive siblings

All family pedigrees of the familial group are shown in figure 3. Two individuals had died at ages 17 and 19, respectively, (families 23 and 28, respectively) before genetic screening. Twenty-eight siblings belonging to the familial group (10 brothers and 18 sisters) underwent genetic testing, with 12 of them (3 brothers and 9 sisters) identified as carrying the same *RYR2* variants as their probands.

Figure 4 Cumulative cardiac incidence before diagnosis of CPVT in probands with *RYR2* variants. Cumulative cardiac events of first syncope (A), first CA (B) and any of the first cardiac event (C) in probands harbouring *RYR2* variants inherited from the parent or those with *de novo* cases. CA, cardiac arrest; CPVT, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia.

Among 12 siblings with the same *RYR2* variants as the probands, 8 (66.7%) were symptomatic, 6 (families 3, 4, 22, 23, 24 and 52) had a history of syncope, 1 (family 4) had a history of CA and 1 (family 13) had experienced both CA and syncope. Figure 5 shows the cumulative first cardiac incidence of syncope (figure 5A) and CA (figure 5B) and any of the cardiac events (figure 5C) in genotype-positive siblings. None received medical treatment during genetic testing.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study that demonstrated complete trio genetic analysis of inheritance in CPVT1 probands. The main findings are the following: (1) age of any first cardiac event or CA was lower in the *de novo* group than in the familial group; (2) syncope comprised more than half of the first symptoms, and nearly half of the probands in both groups experienced a CA event before diagnosis; (3) one-third of genotype-positive parents were symptomatic; (4) two-thirds of genotype-positive siblings were symptomatic during genetic testing and (5) the locations of the *RYR2* variants differed between familial and *de novo* group.

Relationship between inheritance and clinical manifestation of CPVT1

Among the CPVT-causative genes, *RYR2* is the most common causative underlier and exhibits autosomal-dominant inheritance. Patients with CPVT1 are usually diagnosed by the age of 40 but can also be identified by genetic screening for idiopathic

ventricular fibrillation.^{7 25 26} In the present study, >70% of the CPVT1 probands had a syncopal episode and ~50% had experienced CA before diagnosis. The age of event onset was lower in the *de novo* group than in the familial group, aligning with a previous study.¹⁴ Since many parents carrying the same *RYR2* variants as probands were asymptomatic without any medication, the *RYR2* variants in the familial group may be less pathogenic than those in the *de novo* group, resulting in a concealed phenotype or CA event at an older age. One presumption is that lethality prior to reproductive age may result in a more severe phenotype in the *de novo* cases than familial cases. Furthermore, more than half of the probands were *de novo* cases, which is relatively higher compared with the past report;² however, we could not directly assess the familial/*de novo* ratio given the different genetic testing enquiry of parents between the studies. The popularity of large family cascade screening in CPVT-1 could have led to a more frequent diagnosis of the familial cases in the initial phase or a more aggressive recent genetic screening of asymptomatic families facilitated the diagnosis of the *de novo* cases.

RYR2 variants are associated with CPVT1 clusters in certain domains. Their structure-function analysis suggests that these loci are predominantly associated with intra-*RYR2* domain interactions and cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} -dependent channel modulation.² An association exists between *RYR2* variant location and the clinical phenotype of CPVT1, as patients harbouring variants in the C-terminus domain have an increased risk of non-sustained VT than those with variants in the N-terminus.¹² However, the CA

Table 2 Estimated cumulative cardiac incidence rate in probands and differences between *de novo* and familial cases at each age point

Age point	5 years old	10 years old	15 years old
<i>Syncope, % (95% CI)</i>			
<i>De novo</i> group	20.7	65.5	70.7
Familial group	8.3	45.8	70.8
Difference	12.4 (0.6 to 23.4)	19.7 (22.9 to 36.2)	-0.1 (-14.6 to 17.3)
<i>CA, % (95% CI)</i>			
<i>De novo</i> group	17.2	36.2	50
Familial group	0.0	8.3	37.5
Difference	17.2 (8.9 to 23.5)	27.9 (14.9 to 39.8)	12.5 (-4.3 to 30.9)
<i>Syncope or CA, % (95% CI)</i>			
<i>De novo</i> group	34.5	87.9	100.0
Familial group	8.3	54.1	83.3
Difference	26.2 (12.9 to 37.0)	33.8 (17.6 to 48.5)	16.7 (8.2 to 30.2)

CA, cardiac arrest; CI, confidence interval.

Figure 5 Cumulative cardiac incidence in siblings carrying the same *RYR2* variants as their probands. Cumulative cardiac events of first syncope (A), first CA (B) and any of the first cardiac event (C) in siblings harbouring *RYR2* variants (*RYR2*(+)) inherited from their parents. CA, cardiac arrest.

rate and age of the event in all probands did not differ between variants located in the N-terminus and C-terminus domains (online supplemental table 10). This suggested that variants within the same domain vary in severity and that the severity of each variant may determine its heritability.

Because mutational hotspots are largely related to loci prone to mutation during replication or DNA repair,²⁷ it is reasonable to identify the same variant in different probands. However, in the present study, most variants did not overlap between groups, even if they existed within the same hotspots. This maldistribution may have resulted from different family lines having the same ancestral origin, whereas many of the variants found in the *de novo* group were not inheritable by the next generation.

Clinical implications of genetic screening for family members

Familial CPVT1 probands exhibited a late onset of symptoms than the *de novo* group; however, there were similar levels of CA risk if they had no proper medication before diagnosis, thus highlighting the difficulty in diagnosis without symptoms at any age. As a beta-blocker, flecainide and left cardiac sympathetic denervation can decrease the risk of life-threatening cardiac events in CPVT.^{3 13 26 28} An active attempt to diagnose and introduce early therapy would reduce CA risk. Although a burst-exercise test can reveal typical ventricular arrhythmias related to CPVT, an ECG cannot fully estimate cardiac event risk.^{29 30} Therefore, our results support the idea that cascade screening should be recommended for all family members, even if they have no symptoms.^{8 15 16} Indeed, genetic screening for asymptomatic families is sometimes a sensitive issue from the standpoint of potential ethical, emotional and social consequences of the test results, and careful genetic counselling and explaining the purpose of the examination are necessary before the genetic test.

Generally, relatives carrying the *RYR2* variant exhibit a considerable phenotypic difference.¹² In the present study, syncope or CA was only documented in 37.5% of genotype-positive parents, indicating that inheritance cannot be predicted based on symptoms. The symptomatic rate among genotype-positive siblings was relatively high (66.7%). The actual frequency may have been considerably higher if the two siblings who died before genetic diagnosis had been included. Additionally, because a previous study demonstrated that CPVT phenotype prevalence in family members increases up to 20 years of age,¹² more asymptomatic genotype-positive siblings in the present study are expected to manifest the CPVT phenotype during the follow-up periods. Disease-modifier genes from the genotype-negative parent may also be a possible factor that results in phenotype presentation

between the parents and their children. Thus, for children, early genetic screening may be strongly beneficial in preventing sudden cardiac death. Furthermore, considering the possibility of parents with genetic mosaicism, which was reported in one of the 63 patients with CPVT1 with *RYR2* variants in a previous study,²³ a genotype-negative result in both parents does not always guarantee the negative genotype in siblings. Therefore, comprehensive genetic screening should be recommended for all family members in order to enable early diagnosis and initiation of therapeutic intervention (online supplemental file 2).

Limitations

First, only a small number of probands and their families were enrolled and there may be some selection bias in the enrolment. Several factors led to the small sample size of both groups, as *de novo* cases were difficult to be diagnosed, and familial cases were not included if their genotype-positive parents were deceased before cascade screening. However, given the lack of difference in rate of cardiac events rate, age of the events and bidirectional VT between the probands enrolled in this study and those excluded due to a lack of parental genetic test results (data not shown), selection bias based on the complete familial genetic screening would be limited. Second, cases of mosaicism cannot completely be ruled out by PCR-based Sanger sequencing³⁰ and germline mosaicism by testing other organs. Third, not all probands who have had their *RYR2* variants identified by Sanger sequencing underwent comprehensive genetic screening for other potential pathogenic variants. Fourth, not all probands and family members underwent exercise-stress testing to elucidate arrhythmogenic potential, especially the probands who had already suffered with VT or a ventricular fibrillation-storm episode, asymptomatic family members and younger children who could not undergo stress testing. Finally, this is a retrospective study and we could not fully follow up with patients after their diagnosis of CPVT1. As such, the prognostic difference between *de novo* and familial cases of CPVT1 after pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies remains unclear. Further investigation with larger samples is required.

CONCLUSION

De novo CPVT1 cases demonstrated earlier onset of initial symptoms as compared with familial-inherited cases. Because two-thirds of the genotype-positive parents were asymptomatic and inheritance could not be predicted by their symptoms, genetic screening of parents and siblings in all CPVT1 cases may

enable early diagnosis and prophylactic therapeutic intervention to prevent sudden cardiac death.

Key messages

What is already known on this subject?

- Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT), an inherited arrhythmia that is potentially fatal in children, is difficult to diagnose, because the resting ECG is mostly normal.
- *RYR2* variants are identified in ~60% of clinically affected patients with CPVT, and genetic testing for probands and family members is recommended.
- However, *de novo* variants are also identified in sporadic cases of CPVT probands and the phenotypic differences between *de novo* and familial cases of CPVT remain unclear.

What might this study add?

- This is the first study demonstrating trio analysis of inheritance using a large number of CPVT probands.
- CPVT probands harbouring *de novo* *RYR2* variants as compared with those with assured familial inheritance showed an earlier onset of initial symptoms.
- The distribution of the *RYR2* variant location differed between the two groups.
- Because not all genotype-positive parents were symptomatic and inheritance cannot be confirmed by parental symptoms, genetic screening of family members may help in risk stratification and early therapeutic strategies for CPVT.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

- This study highlights the importance of why advancing genetic screening is necessary for families of CPVT probands.
- To disclose the inheritance pattern, either *de novo* or familial, proband siblings should consider early genetic screening to prevent sudden cardiac death.
- This study is clinically important for personalised risk stratification of patients with CPVT and families and particularly for child and adolescent health.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan

²Department of Bioscience and Genetics, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan

³Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Shiga University of Medical Science, Otsu, Japan

⁴Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

⁵Department of Data Science, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan

⁶Department of Genomic Care, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan

⁷Department of Pediatric Cardiology, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan

⁸Department of Clinical Laboratory and Genetics, National Cerebral and Cardiovascular Center, Suita, Japan

Acknowledgements We thank Hiroaki Masuda, Kaori Kugo, Madoka Tanimoto, Arisa Ikeda and Kazu Toyooka for technical assistance with the genetic analysis.

Contributors All authors take full responsibility for data collection, analysis and interpretation; research conduct and manuscript submission. KS, SO, MH and TA contributed to study conception and design. SO, KS and NI performed genetic analysis. KK, KT, KS, MF, TM, YK, HS, TK, MW, KY, KI, YI, KM and SN collected the extracted data from patient records. SO and KA performed statistical analysis of all data. KS and TA drafted the manuscript, and SO, MH, TA and KK critically revised the manuscript. TA accepts full responsibility for the work as a guarantor.

Funding This study was supported by a grant from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED) (grant no. 17ek0109202 to SO and MH), a Health Science Research Grant from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan for Clinical Research on Measures for Intractable Diseases (grant no. H27-032 to MH, SO and TA), Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (grant no. 15K09689 to SO) and Japanese Circulation Society research grant for genome analysis project in cardiovascular diseases (to TA and MH).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Consent obtained directly from patient(s).

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by M21-031-7, M29-167-6 (NCVC Ethics committee) and G2011-128 (Shiga Univ Ethics committee). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Keiko Shimamoto <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6296-150X>

Kengo Kusano <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5760-9285>

Takeshi Aiba <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1779-7282>

REFERENCES

- Priori SG, Napolitano C, Tiso N, *et al*. Mutations in the cardiac ryanodine receptor gene (hRyR2) underlie catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2001;103:196–200.
- George CH, Jundi H, Thomas NL, *et al*. Ryanodine receptors and ventricular arrhythmias: emerging trends in mutations, mechanisms and therapies. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 2007;42:34–50.
- De Ferrari GM, Dusi V, Spazzolini C, *et al*. Clinical management of catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia: the role of left cardiac sympathetic denervation. *Circulation* 2015;131:2185–93.
- Laitinen PJ, Brown KM, Piippo K, *et al*. Mutations of the cardiac ryanodine receptor (RyR2) gene in familial polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2001;103:485–90.
- Swan H, Piippo K, Viitasalo M, *et al*. Arrhythmic disorder mapped to chromosome 1q42-q43 causes malignant polymorphic ventricular tachycardia in structurally normal hearts. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1999;34:2035–42.
- Cerrone M, Napolitano C, Priori SG. Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia: A paradigm to understand mechanisms of arrhythmias associated to impaired Ca(2+) regulation. *Heart Rhythm* 2009;6:1652–9.
- Sy RW, Gollob MH, Klein GJ, *et al*. Arrhythmia characterization and long-term outcomes in catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Heart Rhythm* 2011;8:864–71.
- Priori SG, Wilde AA, Horie M, *et al*. HRS/EHRA/APHRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of patients with inherited primary arrhythmia syndromes: document endorsed by Hrs, EHRA, and APHRS in May 2013 and by ACCF, AHA, PACES, and AEPC in June 2013. *Heart Rhythm* 2013;10:1932–63.
- George CH, Jundi H, Walters N, *et al*. Arrhythmogenic mutation-linked defects in ryanodine receptor autoregulation reveal a novel mechanism of Ca2+ release channel dysfunction. *Circ Res* 2006;98:88–97.
- Lehnart SE, Mongillo M, Bellinger A, *et al*. Leaky Ca2+ release channel/ryanodine receptor 2 causes seizures and sudden cardiac death in mice. *J Clin Invest* 2008;118:2230–45.
- Xie L-H, Weiss JN. Arrhythmogenic consequences of intracellular calcium waves. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2009;297:H997–1002.
- van der Werf C, Nederend I, Hofman N, *et al*. Familial evaluation in catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia: disease penetrance and expression in cardiac

- ryanodine receptor mutation-carrying relatives. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2012;5:748–56.
- 13 Sumitomo N, Harada K, Nagashima M, *et al*. Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia: electrocardiographic characteristics and optimal therapeutic strategies to prevent sudden death. *Heart* 2003;89:66–70.
- 14 Ohno S, Hasegawa K, Horie M. Gender differences in the inheritance mode of RyR2 mutations in catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia patients. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0131517.
- 15 Ackerman MJ, Priori SG, Willems S, *et al*. HRS/EHRA expert consensus statement on the state of genetic testing for the channelopathies and cardiomyopathies this document was developed as a partnership between the heart rhythm Society (HRS) and the European heart rhythm association (EHRA). *Heart Rhythm* 2011;8:1308–39.
- 16 Schwartz PJ. Cascades or waterfalls, the cataracts of genetic screening are being opened on clinical cardiology. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;55:2577–9.
- 17 Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, *et al*. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of medical genetics and genomics and the association for molecular pathology. *Genet Med* 2015;17:405–24.
- 18 Kawata H, Ohno S, Aiba T, *et al*. Catecholaminergic Polymorphic Ventricular Tachycardia (CPVT) Associated With Ryanodine Receptor (RyR2) Gene Mutations - Long-Term Prognosis After Initiation of Medical Treatment. *Circ J* 2016;80:1907–15.
- 19 Rijnbeek PR, Witsenburg M, Schrama E, *et al*. New normal limits for the paediatric electrocardiogram. *Eur Heart J* 2001;22:702–11.
- 20 Rijnbeek PR, van Herpen G, Bots ML, *et al*. Normal values of the electrocardiogram for ages 16–90 years. *J Electrocardiol* 2014;47:914–21.
- 21 Priori SG, Napolitano C, Memmi M, *et al*. Clinical and molecular characterization of patients with catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2002;106:69–74.
- 22 Priori SG, Chen SRW. Inherited dysfunction of sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} handling and arrhythmogenesis. *Circ Res* 2011;108:871–83.
- 23 Medeiros-Domingo A, Bhuiyan ZA, Tester DJ, *et al*. The RYR2-encoded ryanodine receptor/calcium release channel in patients diagnosed previously with either catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia or genotype negative, exercise-induced long QT syndrome: a comprehensive open reading frame mutational analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;54:2065–74.
- 24 Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZR' for medical statistics. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2013;48:452–8.
- 25 Leinonen JT, Crotti L, Djupsjöbacka A, *et al*. The genetics underlying idiopathic ventricular fibrillation: a special role for catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia? *Int J Cardiol* 2018;250:139–45.
- 26 Nesta AV, Tafur D, Beck CR. Hotspots of human mutation. *Trends Genet* 2021;37:30276–6.
- 27 Roston TM, Vinocur JM, Maginot KR, *et al*. Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia in children: analysis of therapeutic strategies and outcomes from an international multicenter registry. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2015;8:633–42.
- 28 Hayashi M, Denjoy I, Extramiana F, *et al*. Incidence and risk factors of arrhythmic events in catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *Circulation* 2009;119:2426–34.
- 29 Roston TM, Kallas D, Davies B, *et al*. Burst exercise testing can unmask arrhythmias in patients with incompletely penetrant catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology* 2021;7:437–41.
- 30 Roux-Buisson N, Egéa G, Denjoy I, *et al*. Germline and somatic mosaicism for a mutation of the ryanodine receptor type 2 gene: implication for genetic counselling and patient caring. *Europace* 2011;13:130–2.