Predictors of adverse outcome in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/ cardiomyopathy: long term experience of a tertiary care centre
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Objective: To investigate the predictors for adverse clinical outcome in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy (ARVD/C) during long term follow up.

Methods: 61 patients with ARVD/C were studied to assess the impact of family history, clinical findings, surface ECG parameters, echocardiographic findings, and electrophysiological findings on clinical outcome. The prevalence of these risk factors were compared in two patient groups: group A (patients with adverse clinical outcome: sudden cardiac death, death from heart failure, or heart transplant) and group B (survivors excluding patients who received a heart transplant).

Results: Mean age at first diagnosis was 44 (14) years. The mean follow up duration was 55 (47) months. Ten patients (16%) died during follow up. The cause of death of eight of these patients was probably arrhythmic. Two patients died of advanced heart failure. Five patients underwent heart transplantation because of terminal heart failure. Risk factors significantly associated with adverse outcome were history of congestive heart failure (p < 0.001), the presence of left ventricular involvement on echocardiography (p < 0.001), left atrial dilatation (p < 0.05), prolonged PR duration (p<0.01), prolonged QRS in V1 (p<0.05), and bundle branch block (p<0.05). In multivariate analysis, history of congestive heart failure and presence of left ventricular involvement were identified as independent risk predictors for an adverse outcome.

Conclusions: Congestive heart failure and left ventricular involvement are independently associated with adverse outcome in patients with ARVD/C during long term follow up.

ARRHYTHMOGENIC RIGHT VENTRICULAR DYSPLASIA/CARDIOMYOPATHY (ARVD/C) is a genetically determined heart disease characterised by progressive fibrofatty replacement of the right ventricular myocardium. The disease is a major cause of sudden cardiac death in young adults and athletes. The clinical course of ARVD/C may be considerably variable. Cardiac electrical instability in ARVD/C may be associated with ventricular arrhythmias. Ventricular tachycardia and fibrillation are well documented causes of sudden death in ARVD/C. Non-sudden cardiac death in ARVD/C may result from progressive right or biventricular involvement leading to terminal heart failure. The purpose of this study was to identify the clinical, ECG, echocardiographic, and electrophysiological predictors of long term outcome in patients with ARVD/C.

METHODS

Study population

ARVD/C was diagnosed in 61 patients (mean age 44 (14) years; 44 men, 17 women) at our institution based on standardised diagnostic criteria. Presence of other heart diseases, such as coronary artery disease or dilative cardiomyopathy, was excluded in all patients. The analysed parameters were clinical and family history, surface ECG parameters, and echocardiographic and electrophysiological findings.

At presentation, 45 patients had ventricular tachycardia. Eleven patients had syncpe as the presenting symptom, 15 patients had presyncope, six patients had symptoms and signs of congestive heart failure, and 20 patients had palpitations. Three patients were asymptomatic but had a positive family history for ARVD/C or sudden cardiac death, and ARVD/C was diagnosed in one patient during a routine check up.

Analysis of clinical outcome

The impact of family history, clinical findings, surface ECG parameters, and echocardiographic and electrophysiological findings on clinical outcome were investigated in all patients. The prevalence of these risk factors was compared in two outcome groups: group A (patients with adverse outcome: sudden cardiac death, death from heart failure, and heart transplant) and group B (survivors and those who did not require heart transplantation).

Surface ECG

ECG analysis focused on the presence of epsilon waves, T wave negativity in precordial leads beyond V1, the presence of bundle branch block, and the duration of PR, QRS, and QT intervals. None of the patients had a Brugada-like ECG pattern during follow up, characterised by high take-off ST segment elevation ≥1 mm of “coved” or “saddle back” type. The PR interval was measured from the beginning of the P wave to the beginning of the Q wave. The duration of the QRS complex was measured in leads V1 and V6 from the beginning of the QRS complex to its end. When the offset of the QRS complex was difficult to determine due to a gradual
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fraction was calculated with the biplane area–length measured for patients with a bundle branch block.

The measurements were corrected for body surface area. The fractional area change (that is, fractional area change = [area D – area S]/area D).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Women</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>0.227</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of ARVD/C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis (years)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>42 (15)</td>
<td>38 (13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1 Population characteristics of 61 patients**

**Comparison at time of diagnosis**

**Group A (adverse outcome)**

- **Group B (survivors)**

Invasive diagnostic procedures

A standardised electrophysiological study was performed in 41 patients in the absence of antarrhythmic drugs, including the delivery of up to three extrastimuli at three basic cycle lengths and ventricular burst pacing at two right ventricular sites (right ventricular apex and outflow tract). Sustained ventricular tachycardia was defined as tachycardia of ventricular origin with a duration longer than 30 seconds or that leading to haemodynamic compromise.

In 29 patients, a right ventricular contrast angiogram was recorded by a standard approach with left anterior oblique and right anterior oblique projections. End diastolic volumes were measured by the surface length method: the long axis of the right ventricle was measured in diastole between the superior border of the tricuspid annulus and the ventricular apex. Other parameters investigated were right ventricular ejection fraction, presence or absence of deep fissures, and localised akinetic or dyskinetic bulges and outpouchings. Magnetic resonance imaging was performed in occasional cases to visualise the extent of the fatty infiltration.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analysed with Statistica (version 6.0; StatSoft, Inc, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA). The Kaplan-Meier product limit method was used to describe clinical outcome. The time of diagnosis for ARVD/C was considered to be the starting point for this analysis. The end points were death or heart transplantation. The survival plots were compared by the log rank test. Only significant parameters in univariate survival analysis were considered for multivariate testing. A forward variable selection (Cox proportional hazards) model determined independent variables with effect on time to death or heart transplantation. The multivariate analysis was limited to those variables that were complete and had no missing data.

**RESULTS**

The mean follow up period was 55 (47) months. Table 1 summarises the demographic data of the study population. Ten patients died during follow up. The annual rate of death was 4%. The cause of death of eight of these patients was probably arrhythmic (sudden cardiac death). Two patients died of advanced heart failure. Five of the 61 patients underwent successful heart transplantation because of terminal heart failure.

Figure 1 shows the clinical outcome analysis of the entire ARVD/C population. This analysis defines death or heart transplantation as survival end point for each patient. Age at diagnosis, sex, and family history of ARVD/C were not significant predictors for adverse clinical outcome. Table 2 lists symptoms and signs at time of diagnosis of ARVD/C and their prevalence in the two patient groups. Presence of signs and symptoms of congestive heart failure was found to be significantly associated with adverse outcome. On the surface ECG, prolonged PR interval, prolonged QRS duration in V1, and the presence of bundle branch block (13 patients: 11
right, two left) were associated with adverse outcome (table 3). Table 4 summarises the findings on echocardiography and right ventricular angiography. Significant predictors of adverse outcome were the presence of left ventricular involvement and left atrial dilatation.

Among 41 patients who underwent electrophysiological stimulation, 27 (66%) had an inducible sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia. Two patients had ventricular tachycardia with two different morphologies and one patient had three morphologies. Twenty-six patients presented with ventricular tachycardia with left bundle branch block pattern. Only one patient had an inducible ventricular tachycardia with a right bundle branch block pattern (inferior axis). Polymorphic ventricular tachycardia was induced in three patients and ventricular fibrillation was induced in four patients. Supraventricular tachyarrhythmias were commonly observed (induced or spontaneous) during follow-up (atrial fibrillation 5; Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome 4; atrial flutter 2; atrioventricular nodal re-entrant tachycardia 1). The survival analysis showed that arrhythmia inducibility in electrophysiological study was not associated with a lower rate of survival (log rank p = 0.810).

Medical treatment was as follows: 41 patients (67%) were taking β blockers, 19 patients (31%) were taking sotalol, and 16 patients (26%) were taking amiodarone. Twenty-four (39%) patients received an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) during follow-up. The indication for ICD implantation was ventricular tachyarrhythmia with haemodynamic compromise in 22 patients and family history of sudden death in the remaining two patients. During follow-up, 10 patients received appropriate shocks, whereas four patients had an inappropriate shock (atrial fibrillation in two patients, sinus tachycardia in one, and electromagnetic interference in one). Three patients with an ICD had heart transplantation during later follow-up. One ICD patient died of advanced biventricular heart failure and one patient died suddenly despite delivery of several device discharges. Among the remaining patients who died suddenly, four patients were taking β blockers (in combination with amiodarone in two patients) and one patient was taking sotalol.

**Multivariate analysis**

Among the variables showing a significant effect on survival in univariate survival analysis, presence of signs and symptoms of heart failure (fig 2) and left ventricular involvement on echocardiography (fig 3) were identified as independent predictors of a subsequent adverse outcome.

**DISCUSSION**

The clinical course of ARVD/C may vary considerably. Patients with ARVD/C die either of ventricular tachyarrhythmias or congestive heart failure. Cardiac electrical instability may be associated with ventricular arrhythmias of variable severity eventually culminating in sudden cardiac death. The disease may also progress to right or biventricular dysfunction with subsequent congestive heart failure leading to a heart transplantation or death from terminal heart failure. Previously published retrospective studies determined several predictors of subsequent mortality from sudden cardiac death, such as diffuse right ventricular dilatation, left ventricular involvement, and previous aborted cardiac death or ventricular fibrillation. Less well established factors for sudden cardiac death were family history of ARVD/C or sudden cardiac death, syncope, ventricular tachycardia, and inducible arrhythmias during programmed electrical stimulation. One study described the influence of congestive heart failure as a possible outcome predictor. The small number of patients studied and the relatively short follow-up periods were limitations of previous studies.

**Table 2** Symptoms and signs in 61 patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy (ARVD/C) at time of diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Survival analysis (log rank p)</th>
<th>Comparison at time of diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aborted SCD</td>
<td>8 (13%)</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>3 (20%) vs 5 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aborted SCD or syncope</td>
<td>27 (44%)</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>6 (40%) vs 21 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aborted SCD, syncope, or presyncope</td>
<td>42 (69%)</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>8 (53%) vs 34 (74%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart failure</td>
<td>7 (11%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
<td>6 (40%) vs 1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>46 (75%)</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>10 (67%) vs 36 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT with BBB</td>
<td>44 (72%)</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>9 (60%) vs 35 (76%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly associated with adverse outcome.

**Table 3** Twelve lead surface ECG findings in 59 patients with ARVD/C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ECG finding</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Survival analysis (log rank p)</th>
<th>Comparison at time of diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>13 (22%)</td>
<td>0.041†</td>
<td>5 (38%) vs 8 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T negative beyond V1</td>
<td>36 (62%)</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>8 (67%) vs 28 (61%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epsilon wave</td>
<td>21 (36%)</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>9 (69%) vs 12 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrasystoles</td>
<td>16 (27%)</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>4 (29%) vs 12 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS V1 ms</td>
<td>59 (100%)</td>
<td>0.044†</td>
<td>123 (26%) vs 101 (34%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QRS V6 ms</td>
<td>59 (100%)</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>90 (29%) vs 87 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTc (ms)</td>
<td>46 (78%)</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>460 (84%) vs 419 (39%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR (ms)</td>
<td>59 (100%)</td>
<td>0.008†</td>
<td>196 (63%) vs 160 (41%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No surface ECG was available for one patient with a first manifestation of SCD and no intrinsic rhythm was present in another patient.
†Significantly associated with adverse outcome.

BBB, bundle branch block.
should be alerted. With ARVD/C may be an ominous sign to which the physician outcome. Therefore, congestive heart failure in association this study, it was significantly associated with adverse late mortality is likely due either to arrhythmias or to pump failure. Congestive heart failure occurs in the late stage of biventricular involvement and, in this study, it was significantly associated with adverse outcome. Therefore, congestive heart failure in association with ARVD/C may be an ominous sign to which the physician should be alerted.

**Left ventricular involvement and congestive heart failure**

In the present study, left ventricular involvement and congestive heart failure were the only independent predictors of a subsequent adverse outcome. Since ARVD/C is a progressive disease, isolated right or global heart failure is common. While early mortality is almost always secondary to arrhythmic death, late mortality is likely due either to arrhythmias or to pump failure. Congestive heart failure occurs in the late stage of biventricular involvement and, in this study, it was significantly associated with adverse outcome. Therefore, congestive heart failure in association with ARVD/C may be an ominous sign to which the physician should be alerted.

**Table 4** Transthoracic echocardiography and angiography findings in 59 patients* with ARVD/C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison at time of diagnosis</th>
<th>Survival analysis (log rank p)</th>
<th>Group A (n = 13)</th>
<th>Group B (n = 46)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No LVA (n = 34)</td>
<td>0.0003†</td>
<td>9 (69%)</td>
<td>14 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With LVA (n = 25)</td>
<td>0.041†</td>
<td>7 (54%)</td>
<td>8 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV dilatation or reduced RV function</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>9 (69%)</td>
<td>19 (41%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ventricular abnormality</td>
<td>0.0003†</td>
<td>9 (69%)</td>
<td>14 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right atrial dilatation</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>9 (69%)</td>
<td>21 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left atrial dilatation</td>
<td>0.041†</td>
<td>7 (54%)</td>
<td>8 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>8 (62%)</td>
<td>40 (87%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No echocardiogram was available for two patients (ARVD/C diagnosed at necropsy).
†Significantly associated with adverse outcome.

**Without CHF**

- RV dilatation or reduced RV function
- Left ventricular abnormality
- Right atrial dilatation
- Left atrial dilatation
- Aneurysm

**With CHF**

- RV dilatation or reduced RV function
- Left ventricular abnormality
- Right atrial dilatation
- Left atrial dilatation
- Aneurysm

In univariate analysis, left atrial dilatation was also significantly correlated with adverse outcome in this study and was present in 15 patients. In 10 of these patients, the left ventricle was also affected, emphasising how the risk of adverse outcome in this disease is related to the extent of left heart involvement. Patients with a localised form of the disease such as aneurysms tended to have a more favourable clinical outcome probably because these findings enabled an early detection of ARVD/C.

**Surface ECG markers**

Conduction delay has already been investigated as a risk factor for death in ARVD/C. Dispersion of ventricular depolarisation (QRS dispersion ≥ 40–50 ms), dispersion of repolarisation (QT dispersion ≥ 65 ms), and complete right bundle branch block were previously shown to be more common in patients with a poor outcome. However, these studies did not have a long term follow up period. Our study showed, in univariate analysis, that prolonged PR interval, prolonged QRS in lead V1, and presence of bundle branch block were predictors for adverse outcome. These findings provide strong evidence that abnormalities of atrioventricular and intraventricular conduction have an important role in non-invasive risk prediction of poor outcome. Prolonged QRS duration is a marker of regional inhomogeneity of

**Figure 2** Kaplan-Meier survival analysis (cumulative proportion surviving) of 57 patients with ARVD/C (time from correct diagnosis to cardiac death or heart transplantation) comparing the group of patients with congestive heart failure (CHF) (n = 7) with the group without CHF (n = 50) (p < 0.0001). The numbers of patients remaining at risk for death or heart transplantation during follow up (FU) are listed beneath the plot.

**Figure 3** Kaplan-Meier survival analysis (cumulative proportion surviving) of 57 patients with ARVD/C (time from correct diagnosis to cardiac death or heart transplantation) comparing the group of patients with left ventricular abnormalities (LVA) (n = 23) with the group without LVA (n = 34) (p = 0.0003). The numbers of patients remaining at risk for death or heart transplantation during follow up (FU) are listed beneath the plot.
depolarisation and may reflect regional fibrous adipose replacement of myocardium caused by ARVD/C. Areas of slow conduction as shown during endocardial mapping studies are likely to cause the dispersion of depolarisation and provide a substrate for re-entrant arrhythmias in the right ventricle.18 23

Previous ventricular arrhythmias
Both ventricular tachycardia and ventricular fibrillation have been documented in patients with ARVD/C with sudden cardiac arrest.6 23 The presence of sustained ventricular arrhythmia is associated with ventricular morphological abnormalities. Such patients appear to have an increased incidence of sudden cardiac death.23 However, the survival analysis in the present study did not show a significant relation between previous ventricular arrhythmias and poor outcome. The most probable explanation for the difference between this and previous studies is that some patients in this study had an ICD implanted, which may have favourably influenced the later clinical outcome of these patients. A recently published non-randomised multicentre study that investigated the impact of ICD implantation in patients with ARVD/C showed that history of cardiac arrest or ventricular tachycardia with haemodynamic compromise, along with left ventricular involvement and younger age, were independent predictors of adequate device interventions for ventricular fibrillation/flutter.24 However, whether device implantation is superior to antiarrhythmic drugs in the ARVD/C population needs to be determined in controlled randomised trials.

Value of electrophysiological study
In the present study, inducibility of ventricular tachyarrhythmias during electrophysiological studies was not associated with adverse outcome. The role of electrophysiological testing in the prediction of poor outcome in ARVD/C is difficult to assess. This is because an abnormal electrophysiological test will invariably lead to medical or device implantation, which potentially influences outcome. The efficacy of the treatment and the stage of the disease will also influence the outcome. Therefore, the most appropriate comparison may be to assess the outcome of patients who underwent electrophysiological testing versus those who did not. However, this may create selection bias, since the indication for electrophysiological studies depends on the stage of the disease.23 Patients with inducible ventricular arrhythmias combined with extensive right or left ventricular involvement are more at risk for sudden cardiac death.24 On the other hand, the presence of multiple configurations of spontaneous or induced ventricular tachycardia in a patient may express the advanced progression of disease and, therefore, may be speculated to be an indicator for adverse outcome.

Atrial tachyarrhythmias in ARVD/C
Spontaneous atrial tachyarrhythmias, especially atrial fibrillation or flutter, are relatively common in patients with ARVD/C and may precede ventricular tachyarrhythmias. In addition, supraventricular arrhythmias are often inducible in ARVD/C.23 Increased atrial myocardial vulnerability is an expression of direct involvement of the atrial myocardium or can be an indirect result of advanced ventricular myocardial disease. Our study population had a high incidence of documented supraventricular arrhythmias that could be explained by increased atrial vulnerability to the consequences of ARVD/C. These observations may lead to speculation that supraventricular arrhythmia that occurs in an otherwise healthy young patient may be the first manifestation of ARVD/C and may warrant further investigation and follow up to rule out ARVD/C.

Study limitations
The effect of drug treatment or ICD implantation on clinical outcome could not be adequately assessed because of the retrospective design of the study and the small number of patients studied in a single centre. It is possible that ICD provided protection by effectively terminating life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias in some patients. However, precise data are not available on the efficacy of ICDs compared with antiarrhythmics in patients with ARVD/C, since previous studies were not randomised. We chose not to include patients who had appropriate ICD interventions as an adverse outcome in our study, since the primary objective of this study was to identify patients who are at risk despite the best medical or device treatment in a tertiary care centre setting over the long term. However, it is possible that our study was influenced by a selection bias having included a highly selected population of patients with ARVD/C.

Conclusions
Risk factors significantly associated with adverse clinical outcome in patients with ARVD/C are congestive heart failure, the presence of left ventricular involvement on echocardiography, left atrial dilatation, prolonged PR duration, prolonged QRS in V1, and bundle branch block. The presence of congestive heart failure and left ventricular involvement on echocardiography were determined to be independent risk predictors in this disease. Congestive heart failure usually occurs in the late stage of ARVD/C and may be an ominous sign to which the physician should be alerted.
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